

1 **MEETING IS CALLED TO ORDER:**

2

3 MR. LEVITON: Okay. Good evening board. I would like to call
4 this meeting to order and ask you all to stand for a salute to our
5 flag.

6

7 **SALUTE TO OUR FLAG**

8

9 MR. LEVITON: Okay. To facilitate matters for Ms. McGrath
10 sitting in for our recording secretary this evening, we're going to
11 take all of our resolutions en masse unless anyone has an objection.
12 Mike, Dan, Stacey, John, Jessica, and Temika are all eligible to make
13 motions and/or to second. So, you're all identical on all four of the
14 resolutions. The resolutions to be memorialized are ZBE2529, ZBE2546,
15 ZBE2601, ZBE2547, and without objection we'll take them altogether.

16

17 MR. MARMERO: Yeah, and I can give you a brief summary too.
18 ZBE2529 was the Lehman application. This is where the applicant was
19 constructing or proposing to construct a two-story addition and the
20 primary relief that was needed was that F.A.R. variance which was the
21 D variance and then there was a couple of bulk variances that went
22 along with that as well. ZBE2546 was the Crowell application and I'm
23 pulling up that resolution right now for the Crowell application. This
24 was the gentleman that was seeking to construct a two-story addition
25 with garage space and living space. That applicant had a lot of sheds
26 with cars stored in them and he was going to relocate those to that
27 addition and the applicant required bulk variance relief mainly
28 because of an existing, non-conforming front yard setback, and then
29 ZBE2601 was the Morelli application. And in the Morelli application,
30 the applicant was seeking to expand or improve the existing breezeway
31 essentially connecting the home to the garage by enclosing the
32 breezeway. There the applicant had an existing, non-conforming
33 condition which was basically the undersized lot which would mean that
34 any improvement would necessitate a variance. The breezeway itself met
35 all required setbacks. It was just the undersized lot that created the
36 variance condition and then finally we had the Soriano application
37 which was ZBE2547. And there the applicant was seeking to legitimize a
38 non-compliant side yard setback. It was a previously approved
39 addition/portico which was supposed to have a fifteen foot side yard
40 setback, but was constructed with a thirteen foot side yard setback.

41

42 MR. LEVITON: Thank you counselor. I'm all out of sorts. I
43 neglected to read the Open Public Meetings Act statement and I'm going
44 to do that before we call for a motion. Pursuant to section five of
45 the Open Public Meetings Act, notice of this meeting of the Manalapan
46 Township Zoning Board of Adjustment was sent and advertised in the
47 Asbury Park Press. A copy of that notice was posted on the bulletin
48 board where public notices are displayed here in the municipal

1 building. In addition, a copy of this notice is and has been available
2 to the public and is on file in the office of the municipal clerk.
3 Accordingly, this meeting is deemed in compliance with the Open Public
4 Meetings Act. And before I call for a motion, Mr. Marmero, one of our
5 Board members, Ms. Baker-Levenson, had a question regarding the
6 resolution ZBE2546.

7
8 MR. MARMERO: Sure.

9
10 MR. LEVITON: You addressed it privately with her?

11
12 MR. MARMERO: Yes.

13
14 MR. LEVITON: Ms. Baker-Levenson, was it to your
15 satisfaction?

16
17 MS. BAKER-LEVENSON: Yes, thank you.

18
19 MR. LEVITON: Tremendous. And then I'll ask publicly, does
20 anyone object to taking these en masse?

21
22 MS. MCGRATH: Should we do roll call Chair?

23
24 MR. LEVITON: Oh, let the record reflect that each Board
25 member is in attendance except for.

26
27 ALL: Adam.

28
29 MR. LEVITON: Mr. Weiss. God I'm so old. Thank you everyone.
30 Okay, okay will someone move to memorialize the four resolutions?

31
32 MR. HARRINGTON: I'll move to memorialize all four
33 resolutions.

34
35 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Harrington. And will someone
36 second it?

37
38 MR. WECHSLER: I'll second.

39
40 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Wechsler, Mike Wechsler. Okay
41 and we're going to VOTE All opposed? All in favor?

42
43 ALL: Aye.

44
45 MR. LEVITON: The resolutions have been memorialized. Okay
46 thank you all. Okay there are no minutes, to memorialize tonight. So,
47 we're going to go right into our public hearing and the only one on
48 the agenda this evening is ZBE2545. Mr. Pospelov is back and as he

1 makes his way forward. Come on up sir. Come on up. Even though there's
2 no one in attendance, let me state publicly and for the record that
3 application 2529 PRC Development, Incorporated and their proposal for
4 a car wash request will not be heard this evening. We do not have any
5 date as of yet and new noticing will be provided prior to the eventual
6 hearing. Okay, having stated as much Mr. Pospelov, you're back. You
7 were here with us last on March 19th. You are still under oath sir.
8 You're still under oath. You're fine and the last time you were here,
9 you indicated that you'd be coming back with an engineer who would be
10 testifying that the pavers at half a foot from the property line would
11 be necessary to affect your proposal. I don't see the engineer. So,
12 I'm going to ask you where are we at with that?

13

14 MR. POSPELOV: I did talk to Crest Engineering. Rob, I'm
15 sorry I don't know his last name. So, he agreed with my concept, but
16 he says it probably helps out, but nobody in the world can guarantee
17 it's going to resolve the situation.

18

19 MR. LEVITON: Yes sir. So, what are we going to do now?

20

21 MR. POSPELOV: So, he says.

22

23 MR. LEVITON: Let me remind the Board that we had gone
24 through all of his requested variance relief and the Board was good
25 with the first four variances that you had requested and the last one
26 to be dealt with is this one with the pavers up to the property line.
27 So, what is it that you want to ask of the board this evening
28 regarding those pavers?

29

30 MR. POSPELOV: So, my conversation with Rob, he says
31 whatever I can get so it'll help. If I can get whatever I can extend
32 existing patio and cover an open side of the land so the water is not
33 going to go underneath. It will probably stabilize or help to
34 stabilize the sap soils.

35

36 MR. LEVITON: Yes, I understand that. I need you to make a
37 request.

38

39 MR. POSPELOV: Can I get --- I have a sketch. It's
40 preliminary, like an idea.

41

42 MR. LEVITON: We know what it looks like. We saw it last
43 time. It's just a question of where do you want to locate it.

44

45 MR. POSPELOV: Can I get three, four feet?

46

47

1 MR. LEVITON: Well, you're going to need to be specific and
2 I'm only going to call one vote, one. So, you can take your shot or
3 you can go with what you know is going to work. One, one shot Mr.
4 Pospelov. It's going to be an early evening here. You've had plenty of
5 time to think about it. I'm calling you now. What are we going to do?
6

7 MR. POSPELOV: I request four feet.
8

9 MR. LEVITON: Okay. Will someone make a motion? Oh, let's go
10 to our attorney Mr. Marmero. Any thoughts Mr. Marmero?
11

12 MR. MARMERO: No, I mean we've heard the application in the
13 past. You kind of discussed where we left it last time and the main
14 thing was the side yard setback which was close to a zero side yard,
15 really about a half foot, but we were dealing with variances that are
16 pointed out on the application itself where the denial of the zoning
17 certificate is listed. So again, the applicant was seeking side yard
18 setback relief for principal structures in the R20 zone. Fifteen feet
19 is needed. The proposed side setback to the addition is thirteen feet.
20 For a side yard setback for accessory structures, fifteen feet is
21 needed. The proposed side setback to the shed is one foot. The minimum
22 required rear setback for accessory structures is ten-foot. He
23 proposed a rear setback to the shed is five feet, and then there's the
24 condition that a porch deck patio or similar structure that has no
25 roof and is not more than six inches above grade and enjoins the
26 principal structure shall conform to the setback requirements for
27 accessory structures. Again, that's fifteen feet and the applicant
28 were seeking the 0.5 feet, but it sounds like that's being revised to
29 four feet?
30

31 MR. LEVITON: Mr. Pospelov, would you affirm for counselor
32 that you're revising the request to four feet?
33

34 MR. POSPELOV: Yes sir.
35

36 MR. LEVITON: Okay. Anything further barrister?
37

38 MR. MARMERO: No, I think that covers the relief unless. Is
39 there anything else that you had pointed out Brian?
40

41 MR. LEVITON: Well, there are members on the dais tonight
42 who were not in attendance on March 19th and they have listened to the
43 recording and signed an affidavit affirming.
44

45 MR. MARMERO: Yeah, so if anyone who wasn't present that
46 evening that did listen to the recording and signed a certification as
47 of now, they would be eligible to vote.
48

1 MR. LEVITON: And that would be Mr. Wechsler.

2
3 MS. MCGRATH: Mr. Harrington.

4
5 MR. LEVITON Mr. Mantagas and Mr. Harrington.

6
7 MS. KLOMPUS: And I.

8
9 MR. LEVITON: Of course. Okay so Ms. Klompus, Mr. Wechsler,
10 and Mr. Harrington have all certified that they have listened to the
11 recording.

12
13 MR. MARMERO: Yes.

14
15 MR. LEVITON: And are eligible to vote.

16
17 MR. MARMERO: Yes, and then with the revised, essentially
18 the revised application. Again, the public would have the ability to
19 say something, but there's no public here and the motion, the meeting
20 was carried last time. So, anyone with interest would be here this
21 evening so.

22
23 MR. LEVITON: In the spirit of the Sunshine Law, I will open
24 up to public and seeing none I will close public. Brian, anything from
25 the office?

26
27 MR. BOCCANFUSO: No, nothing further. Any engineering issues
28 will be worked out as part of permitting if the application is
29 approved. I'm confident that we can get that resolved. I would just
30 also point out that the Board does have the ability if you have
31 additional questions or would like to offer additional comments, you
32 do have that opportunity before a motion is made.

33
34 MR. LEVITON: Anyone want to add something?

35
36 MR. HARRINGTON: I just have one quick question.

37
38 MR. LEVITON: Sure.

39
40 MR. HARRINGTON: You've been living in that house for the
41 last two years if I'm correct.

42
43 MR. POSPELOV: Yes.

44
45 MR. HARRINGTON: And you've obviously seen this happen over
46 the last two years at least two times I believe, if I heard your
47 testimony correctly.

48

1 MR. POSPELOV: Yes.

2

3 MR. HARRINGTON: Have you had to fill your pool back up with
4 water? Has your pool drained at all during the season?

5

6 MR. POSPELOV: It was regularly, the cold bucket, yes. When
7 I have an operation so what you do, you fill the buckets in level with
8 the bucket and the pool. So, if the levels are the same, that means
9 there's no leaking pool. So, I did test it at multiple times and there
10 was no leak detected and I did it myself. I had two contractors to do
11 so. When I noticed it start to falling down so I had a couple
12 contractors and I did talk to the previous owner. They told me they've
13 been fixing it already in 2000, I think five years ago. Before I
14 bought it five years ago so now it's seven years ago and they had
15 similar issues. They fixed the pool, new concrete slab, and it still
16 breaking and falling down.

17

18 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay, that answers the one question I had.
19 I don't really have any other questions.

20

21 MR. LEVITON: Anyone else?

22

23 MR. WECHSLER: I do. So, here's my question. Are you going
24 to have the pool fixed prior to putting the paver blocks down?

25

26 MR. POSPELOV: The concept is I'm not going to -- The
27 concept is I want to you know those concrete lifters, contractors? So
28 they will level out the concrete slabs. So that's the first step. It
29 will stabilize the pool and then I will deck it out with the pavers.
30 So hopefully that will help. The biggest problem, the way I'm at right
31 now, so the pool is not concrete pool. It's a liner pool. So, the
32 panels are filled up with the gravel on back side. So, my subsoils are
33 pure sand. So, any time it rains, the sands get washed into the gravel
34 and it loosens up the support and that's why I'm figuring the walls
35 keep falling down because I need to get some water remediation to get
36 the water out of that space that will help me out with the subsoil
37 stabilization.

38

39 MR. WECHSLER: And then another question I have because I
40 listened to the transcript last night. What is the purpose of the
41 drain that you have, proposed drainage?

42

43 MR. POSPELOV: The drainage, I have two pipes right.

44

45 MR. WECHSLER: Yeah.

46

47 MR. POSPELOV: I don't know, if you have my sketch.

48

1 MR. WECHSLER: I have your sketch. I just said that.

2
3 MR. POSPELOV: Number one or number two?

4
5 MR. WECHSLER: It is the survey you have that says chain
6 link fence with a periscope going up past it.

7
8 MR. POSPELOV: May I resubmit one sketch that is pretty much
9 going to give you an idea? Is that possible?

10
11 MR. LEVITON: Actually, I'm going to restrict any new
12 evidence at this time.

13
14 MR. POSPELOV: Okay.

15
16 MR. LEVITON: And I'm going to help Mr. Wechsler. It's okay.
17 It would need to be marked and it would need to be submitted. You've
18 already entered testimony on the record. Michael, he testified that
19 the drainage will take water away from the pool.

20
21 MR. WECHSLER: So, this is the water?

22
23 MR. LEVITON: And that the walls have been collapsing and
24 this is his way of mitigating collapsing walls.

25
26 MR. WECHSLER: Gotcha, I'm good.

27
28 MR. LEVITON: Okay. Anything else Michael?

29
30 MR. WECHSLER: No.

31
32 MR. LEVITON: Okay. How about here? No? Anyone else? Dan?

33
34 MR. POCHOPIN: How you doing sir? So, the last meeting, I
35 had a little experience myself. Of course, I'm only one vote. It would
36 be a little more convincing if we did have the engineer here, but I
37 understand about your pavers and your drainage, but if you are going
38 to do the concrete over again, I would suggest that you do the
39 Sonotubes. Like I said that will definitely hold the new concrete that
40 you're going to pour with soft conditions of soil and highwater table
41 perhaps. It'll keep it stable and it won't happen again.

42
43 MR. POSPELOV: What do you mean Sonotubes?

44
45 MR. POCHOPIN: Sonotubes are underneath and they go right to
46 the collar of the footing around the pool, around the structure of the
47 pool and then that holds up the structure of the new concrete you're
48 going to pour. Just a suggestion from me.

1
2 MR. POSPELOV: Yeah, probably may be done when I do the pool
3 repair.

4
5 MR. POCHOPIN: Yes, well that's what I meant.

6
7 MR POSPELOV: As of now to be honest, it's going to cost a
8 lot of money and I have to rip out the whole pool in order to ---
9

10 MR. POCHOPIN: I understand, but this is the issue that
11 you're having about your pool caving in. I'm only one vote. I'm just
12 saying. I'm trying to, excuse me?
13

14 MR. POSPELOV: But in defense of that, my house is probably
15 let's say twenty feet away and my basement is eight foot deep and the
16 sand pit is another three feet. I never had a sump pump in there so
17 there is no underground water. That's what is confusing. The water's
18 not coming from underneath. Even if I put the drainage, it's probably
19 not going to do anything because the water coming from the top down
20 and it washes the top layer of subsoil. That's why the wall is not
21 going down. The wall is going out of the pool. I submitted the picture
22 and it says on picture you see three and a half inches off the level.
23 Its shallow side of the pool is like thirty inches deep, up to thirty-
24 six inches and on thirty-six inches it's already almost four inches
25 out on one corner.
26

27 MR. POCHOPIN: I understand. I'm just trying to reiterate
28 from the last meeting, you revised your setback, your need, but if
29 that doesn't work which I don't really have too much confidence in,
30 and it's still going to cave in. Again, we're going to be back to
31 square one with your pool structure. So if that was your main concern
32 about it on that side setback, the other issues were already addressed
33 so.
34

35 MR. POSPELOV: I have some ideas so even if I do what four
36 feet is going to give to me. These four feet I can probably put some
37 kind of water barrier, like plastic or heavy plastic, and lead it over
38 to the end of the property or to the drain pipe. So that may take the
39 rest of the water go into the drain pipe, perforated pipe, and take it
40 away with the drainage outside of the pool area.
41

42 MR. POCHOPIN: In theory, thank you.
43

44 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Dan. Anyone else? Okay, Brian
45 anything further from you?
46

47 MR. BOCCANFUSO: No just to reiterate I mean I understand
48 the concerns relative to drainage. The board does as well, but this is

1 a variance hearing for the setback alone. So, I think the board needs
2 to remain focused on that when you consider how you're going to act on
3 the application. The drainage issues are Mr. Pospelov's responsibility
4 and of course any improvements that he proposes such as the ones he
5 just mentioned with some type of heavy plastic, impermeable surface
6 treatment and drainage along the property line, those will all be
7 reviewed during permitting if the application is approved and I'm sure
8 that he and I and his engineer and his professionals can find some
9 common ground there. So, the board should just stay focused on the
10 setback relief that's necessary.

11
12 MR. LEVITON: And Mr. Boccanfuso, will you affirm to the
13 board that you've met with Mr. Pospelov regarding these issues
14 multiple times both before March 19th when he first appeared and
15 subsequent to his appearance on March 19th?

16
17 MR. BOCCANFUSO: I think Mr. Pospelov and I met twice. Once
18 before, once since the public hearing a few days after, I also spoke
19 to Mr. Sive at some point who is Rob Sive is the engineer that Mr.
20 Pospelov had spoken to.

21
22 MR. LEVITON: And you've made clear your thoughts as
23 township engineer and zoning administrator?

24
25 MR. BOCCANFUSO: I'm not sure I understand the question.
26 Made my thoughts clear on what and to whom?

27
28 MR. LEVITON: You've made it clear to Mr. Pospelov that the
29 efficacy of his plan may or may not be viable and that there are other
30 ways to solve this problem?

31
32 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Sure, I think his logic is sound. There
33 certainly could be, the issue could be caused by the reasons that he
34 mentioned, but he alluded to earlier as Mr. Sive told him there's no
35 guarantee. We don't really know with a hundred percent certainty what
36 the problem is, but what he's trying to achieve could be achieved
37 other ways besides extending the patio to a zero foot setback. That's
38 why he's come back with a modified application tonight.

39
40 MR. LEVITON: So, we appreciate your charge. We will focus
41 exclusively on the setback. Michael?

42
43 MR. WECHSLER: So instead of fifteen eight, does that make
44 it eleven eight?

45
46 MR. LEVITON: No.

47
48 MR. WECHSLER: Off of the property?

1
2 MR. LEVITON: No it doesn't.

3
4 MR. WECHSLER: So.

5
6 MR. BOCCANFUSO: The proposed patio on the right hand side,
7 the north side of the pool would extend to. So that fifteen eight is
8 to the house.

9
10 MR. WECHSLER: Right.

11
12 MR. BOCCANFUSO: The patio is probably roughly twelve feet.
13 In the existing condition it would be four feet. So he would be adding
14 somewhere in the ballpark of eight feet of patio to that property line
15 leaving four feet from the edge of that patio to the property line.
16 That's the proposal that's before you right now.

17
18 MR. WECHSLER: Okay.

19
20 MR. LEVITON: Mr. Marmero, one last time sir, anything
21 further?

22
23 MR. MARMERO: No, I think that covers it. I summed up the
24 variance relief which included which is now a revised application. You
25 did open it up to the public. There was, no members of the public and
26 then we've had some additional questions. So, no I mean at this point
27 I think it's all summed up unless there's something the applicant
28 wanted to add or the board wanted to add.

29
30 MR. LEVITON: Mr. Pospelov, would you care to summate? Are
31 you comfortable with the way you've left the proposal?

32
33 MR. POSPELOV: Yeah, I'm comfortable.

34
35 MR. LEVITON: Okay, then I'll ask the board for a motion.

36
37 MR. WECHSLER: I'll make a motion to accept ZBE2545 with
38 conditions that were put in place.

39
40 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Wechsler. Will someone second
41 that please?

42
43 MR. MANTAGAS: I'll second that Mr. Chairman.

44
45 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Mantagas. And Ms. McGrath will
46 call the vote.

47
48 **ROLL CALL**

1
2 MS. MCGRATH: Okay, I'll just go down the list. Mr.
3 Shalikar?

4
5 MR. SHALIKAR: No.

6
7 MS. MCGRATH: Mr. Mantagas?

8
9 MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.

10
11 MS. MCGRATH: Mr. Wechsler?

12
13 MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

14
15 MS. MCGRATH: Mr. Pochopin?

16
17 MR. POCHOPIN: No.

18
19 MS. MCGRATH: Ms. Klompus?

20
21 MS. KLOMPUS: No.

22
23 MS. MCGRATH: Mr. Harrington?

24
25 MR. HARRINGTON: No.

26
27 MS. MCGRATH: And Chair Leviton?

28
29 MR. LEVITON: It's moot at this point Mr. Pospelov. You
30 don't have enough votes to carry the motion and your application is
31 therefore denied.

32
33 MS. KLOMPUS: Can I go up and basically just from what I
34 heard say something or no?

35
36 MR. LEVITON: Sure.

37
38 MS. KLOMPUS: I came for the second half so I just listened
39 to the first half and I really thought I was going to hear from an
40 engineer that was going to tell us if this will work or if it won't
41 and that you need this amount based on what this engineer said. So,
42 for me that's where I think going forward, my recommendation is you
43 may want to just talk to a professional and get a more solid idea of
44 what you want to do and what will work. I don't know if everybody
45 agrees with me or not, but.

46
47 MR. POCHOPIN: Yes, I agree and that's what I thought we
48 were going to be discussing tonight so.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

MR. LEVITON: So, Mr. Pospelov isn't prohibited from working on his pool, but he's going to need to come back if he wants variance relief for all of the things he's requested because we took them en masse.

MR. MARMERO: Correct.

MR. LEVITON: Can you explain that to him?

MR. MARMERO: Sure. So, I guess the most basic explanation is there were several different variances that were applied for. Each of those variances as of right now is denied. So, there's no relief given. That doesn't mean you can't return to the board. There is a principle called --- which means you can't apply for the same exact relief, but you can come back with a somewhat altered application. It seemed, I don't want to put words in anyone's mouth, but it seemed like the side yard setback perhaps was the biggest issue remaining still for the board. So, if an application came back with that altered a bit even with the other relief kept in the same fashion, I think you'd avoid --- and could return to this board. I think that sums it up the best.

MR. LEVITON: Or he can build within the framework of the ordinances the way they're written.

MR. MARMERO: Sure.

MR. LEVITON: And with that Mr. Pospelov, your hearings concluded. We wish you well.

MR. POSPELOV: Thank you.

MR. LEVITON: You're welcome. Thank you, sir. So, someone asked me earlier today if I was, if it would be permissible to contact Albert directly and my answer was absolutely, a hundred percent. There was a time when the Board chair would prohibit contact with the attorney because the attorney is going to charge for his time and rightfully so, but I never mind if Albert makes a couple of extra bucks. I think he's underpaid and I wouldn't mind ever if someone contacted him with a question of legality. His acumen is beyond reproach, and I'd like to have Jessica say a few words about Kids Fest. In case folks don't know, Jessica sits on our arts council and under Marty Gelfond and they put together for children an amazing event and she's going to speak a little about it. It's open to all residents' grandchildren, what have you, Jessica.

1 MS. BAKER-LEVENSON: Thank you. It's April 18th this
2 Saturday from 10:00 to 1:00 Field M. We are celebrating America's
3 250th. We are going to have the county truck there which is really
4 exciting so the county --- We're going to have two magic shows, 10:45
5 and 11:45. We have a DJ dance party, Touch A Truck, a lot of different
6 sponsors and local businesses. No one is selling anything. They're
7 just there to meet people and it really it's just a good vibe for the
8 kids that there's not something to buy every three feet. I think it
9 makes ---

10
11 MR. HARRINGTON: For a change.

12
13 MS. BAKER-LEVENSON: Yeah.

14
15 MS. KLOMPUS: What's the typical age range?

16
17 MS. BAKER-LEVENSON: So normally we'd be getting eight and
18 under, but I think this year because we're doing magic, we're doing a
19 DJ maybe expanding a little higher. Definitely not for your teens,
20 definitely for your younger kids, but it should be a really exciting
21 celebration. We have some historical elements with Historical Society,
22 put together a little wall which highlights Manalapan during the
23 Revolutionary War, and there's a lot of really cool things that I
24 didn't know that took place right here. The Battle of Monmouth was a
25 really interesting battle. It wasn't really a true turning point, but
26 it really changed the perception of the American --- and it happened
27 right here and the Old Tennent Church was a mini hospital during the
28 battle. There's a lot things that I don't know maybe you guys learned
29 this in first or second grade, but this was really cool stuff for kids
30 to hear about and --- here.

31
32 MR. LEVITON: I'm not a congregant at that church, but
33 according to my understanding are blood-stained pews still.

34
35 MR. SHALIKAR: Yes, in the old church.

36
37 MR. LEVITON: In the old church.

38
39 MR. SHALIKAR: On the wood floors, there's still blood
40 stains.

41
42 MS. BAKER-LEVENSON: --- did not to put that on the sign. --
43 - That there's so many historical figures that are here in town.
44 That's really cool for kids to see and read about, particularly it's
45 going to be an exciting event.

1 MR. HARRINGTON: --- It --- It did its own work for the
2 Underground Railroad. The mausoleums, chapel underneath and I believe
3 it's Craig Road is the old schoolhouse.

4
5 MR. LEVITON: Fascinating.

6
7 MR. HARRINGTON: And that came from --- Englishtown Road.

8
9 MR. LEVITON: And of course, the lyrics in Hamilton indicate
10 that Charles Lee had a problem here and Hamilton himself took over
11 after Washington fired Lee for not following orders at the Battle of
12 Monmouth.

13
14 MS. BAKER-LEVENSON: So that's the summary on the historical
15 walk. We highlighted that was in the play Hamilton and that was here.

16
17 MR. LEVITON: Yeah, yeah.

18
19 MS. BAKER-LEVENSON: So, there's a lot of really cool things
20 going on.

21
22 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Jessica.

23
24 MS. BAKER-LEVENSON: Celebrating 250 for the whole county.
25 So, if you haven't checked out the county website, there's going to be
26 fleet week where the ships are coming. A lot of really cool events so
27 if you want to check out the county, Monmouth 250, a lot of cool
28 things just in New Jersey to celebrate the 250.

29
30 MR. LEVITON: Will someone move to adjourn? So, Nancy can
31 turn off the recording.

32
33 MR. HARRINGTON: I make a motion.

34
35 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Harrington.

36
37 MR. SHALIKAR: I'll second.

38
39 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalika for the second and we
40 are in adjournment.

41
42
43 *****
44
45
46
47