MEETING IS CALLED TO ORDER:

MR. LEVITON: Testing, okay. Greetings everyone. Okay, I'm going to call the meeting to order and ask you all to stand for a flag salute.

SALUTE TO THE FLAG

1 2

 MR. LEVITON: Pursuant to section five of the Open Public Meetings Act, notice of this meeting of the Manalapan Township Zoning Board of Adjustment was sent and advertised in the Asbury Park Press. A copy of that notice was posted on the bulletin board where public notices are displayed here in the municipal building. In addition, a copy of this notice is and has been available to the public and is on file in the office of the municipal clerk. Accordingly, this meeting is deemed in compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act. Roll call, please.

ROLL CALL

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?

MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Weiss? Absent. Mr. Harrington?

MR. HARRINGTON: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus?

MS. KLOMPUS: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas is absent today. Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin?

MR. POCHOPIN: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Ms. Levenson?

MS. LEVENSON: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Ms. Latilla?

MS. LATILLA: Here.

4th.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Hughes? MR. HUGHES: Here. MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? MR. LEVITON: Here. Okay, we need to accept the minutes from August 21st. Will someone move to do so? MS. KLOMPUS: I move to accept the minutes. MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Klompus, and will someone second that motion? MR. HARRINGTON: I'll second the motion. MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Harrington. ROLL CALL MS. MOENCH: Mr. Harrington? MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus? MS. KLOMPUS: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Ms. Levenson? MS. LEVENSON: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Ms. Latilla? MS. LATILLA: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Hughes? MR. HUGHES: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? MR. LEVITON: Yes. We also need to accept the minutes from September 4th. Will someone move to do so? MS. KLOMPUS: I move to accept the minutes from September

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Klompus, and will someone second the motion? MS. LATILLA: I'll second it. MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Latilla. ROLL CALL MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar? MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Harrington? MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus? MS. KLOMPUS: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? MR. POCHOPIN: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Ms. Latilla? MS. LATILLA: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Hughes? MR. HUGHES: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? MR. LEVITON: Yes. Okay, there are two resolutions to memorialize. The first one is ZBE2527. Mr. Marmero, it was a denial. MR. MARMERO: Yes, yes. This was an application where the applicant was looking to legitimize an existing driveway with a zero foot setback. The application was amended during the presentation to a three foot setback, but nonetheless it was a denial. MR. LEVITON: Will someone move to memorialize? MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make a motion to memorialize.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar. Will someone make a 1 2 motion 3 MS. MOENCH: No, Mr. Shalikar is unable to vote. 4 5 MS. LATILLA: Yeah, he can't. 6 7 MR. SHALIKAR: I can't vote? 8 9 MS. MOENCH: Because you voted no on the application. 10 11 MR. LEVITON: Yes. 12 13 14 MR. LEVITON: Stacey, Dan, or Temika need to move to 15 memorialize. 16 17 MS. KLOMPUS: So, I'll move to memorialize. 18 19 MR. LEVITON: Thank you, thank you Stacey, and will one of you? 20 21 MS. POCHOPIN: I'll second. 22 23 MR. LEVITON: And thank you Dan for seconding the motion. 24 25 26 MS. MOENCH: So, the first was Ms. Klompus and the second 27 was Mr. Pochopin? 28 MR. LEVITON: Correct. 29 30 MS. MOENCH: Thank you, okay. 31 32 ROLL CALL 33 34 MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus? 35 36 MS. KLOMPUS: Yes. 37 38 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? 39 40 MR. POCHOPIN: Yes. 41 42 MS. MOENCH: Ms. Latilla? 43 44 MS. LATILLA: Yes. 45 46 47 MR. LEVITON: Alright, and the next one, Mr. Marmero, is ZBE2524. 48

1 2 MR. MARMERO: Sure, the next one the applicant is looking to do a single-family home on a recently or somewhat recently subdivided 3 lot. He lives nearby. He's going to be living at this new location. 4 That single-family home ---5 6 7 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Albert. Will someone move to 8 memorialize? 9 10 MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make the motion for this one to memorialize . 11 12 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar. Will someone second 13 it? 14 15 MS. KLOMPUS: I'll second. 16 17 18 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Klompus. 19 20 ROLL CALL 21 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar? 22 23 MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. 24 25 26 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Harrington? 27 28 MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. 29 30 MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus? 31 32 MS. KLOMPUS: Yes. 33 34 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? 35 MR. POCHOPIN: Yes. 36 37 38 MS. MOENCH: Ms. Latilla? 39 40 MS. LATILLA: Yes. 41 42 MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? 43 MR. LEVITON: Yes. Our first public hearing tonight is Ambe 44 Holding, and on behalf of Mr. Halari, we have Mr. Alfieri. 45 46 47 MR. ALFIERI: Yes sir.

MR. LEVITON: Welcome to both of you. Bhaskar, I imagine you're just going to be quiet and let him do all the talking?

MR. HALARI: Yes.

MR. LEVITON: Good. We'll have you out of here in thirty seconds.

MR. ALFIERI: Thank you Mr. Chair. Members of the board, Salvatore Alfieri of Cleary, Giacobbe, Alfieri & Jacobs. I'm here on behalf of the applicant.

 $\,$ MR. LEVITON: Turn the microphone on. At the bottom push the button.

MR. ALFIERI: Is that better?

MR. LEVITON: Yes.

 MR. ALFIERI: Yes? Apologies, as I said Sal Alfieri of Cleary, Giacobbe, Alfieri, & Jacobs on behalf of the applicant. We're here tonight to get an extension of a prior approval. More specifically there's two aspects of that approval we're seeking an extension on. The prior approval was for a minor subdivision which also included a use variance. The use variance was associated with specific uses at the site, more specifically, indoor recreation and activities. So, we're here tonight because we need an extension on two aspects. One to perfect the minor subdivision and before we can perfect that minor subdivision my client needs additional time to complete a planting aspect of the prior approval. So, we're looking for an extension to the end of the year which would give us time to get the plantings in, and then perfect that minor subdivision.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Alfieri. Board members, any objection? Will someone move to grant the extension of time please? I'm sorry. Let's go to Mr. Marmero first.

MR. MARMERO: So, it's a couple aspects. One of the things is you need some additional time to perfect the subdivision. This Board does have power to grant that additional time. With respect to the extension of the subdivision or I guess --- we need some testimony --- has been with respect to that subdivision.

MR. AFLIERI: Absolutely and we do have the Applicant who's also the engineer who can provide that testimony.

MR. MARMERO: Yes, or it could be you just summing it up.

MR. ALFIERI: Absolutely so essentially the reason why we can't perfect the subdivision is we need to complete the plantings here at the site. Once we complete those plantings then we can file the map with the town, then we can go ahead and perfect this minor subdivision. So, we need to put, we can't put the cart before the horse here. We need to go and complete those plantings, and then once we complete those plantings we could move forward, and get the subdivision perfected.

1 2

MR. MARMERO: Okay, and with respect to the plantings is that the landscape screen as part of that condition?

MR. ALFIERI: Yes exactly. So, there was a condition that it be completed by May of this year and there were some delays with that and if needed we can get into the details, but essentially, we expect the plantings to be completed in short order.

MR. LEVITON: This is the berm that's up high?

MR. MARMERO: This is - - they were here previously this year there was some problems. - -

MR. LEVITON: Yeah.

MR. MARMERO: So, we did a apply a condition that the screen needed to be completed by May of 2025. So, this would extend that timeline as well which it sounds like you're talking to the engineer.

MR. ALFIERI: Well, the screen, we actually expect to get done before that. The additional time after to the end of the year is to perfect the subdivision just so that with holidays, vacations, etc.

MR. LEVITON: Anything else?

MR. MARMERO: No, I just wanted to give you guys a background if —— the ability to extend the timeline on the subdivision and with respect to the screening, the landscape screening, that was a condition you guys applied. So, you can amend that as well.

MR. LEVITON: Any thoughts? Then will someone move to approve the extension of time?

MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make a motion to approve the extension.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar, and will someone second it?

MR. WECHSLER: I'll second it.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Wechsler. ROLL CALL MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalika MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Harrington? MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus? MS. KLOMPUS: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler? MR. WECHSLER: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? MR. POCHOPIN: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Ms. Levenson? MS. LEVENSON: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? MR. LEVITON: Yes. Before I let you go, are you still friends with Dustin? MR. ALFIERI: Yes. MR. LEVITON: Send him my best regards. MR. ALFIERI: Absolutely. MR. LEVITON: Okay. MR. ALFIERI: Thank you. Thank you everyone. Have a great night. MR. LEVITON: Mr. Halari, we'll see you soon. Okay, Mr. Rago application ZBE2521, and it's your turn. Come on up. You're here for

the proposal of an oversized garage and you've brought.

talking?

1 2 MR. RAGO: Correct, yes. 3 4 MR. LEVITON: You've brought whom? 5 MR. RAGO: My father-in-law. 6 7 MR VACARELLO: I'm the father-in-law. 8 9 10 MR. LEVITON: Oh, then you're not both Rago's. 11 MR. RAGO: No. 12 13 MR. VACARELLO: No, no. 14 15 MR. LEVITON: You're? 16 17 MR. VACARELLO: Rob Vaccarello. 18 19 MR. LEVITON: Mr. Vaccarello, very nice to meet you. You'll 20 21 both be sworn in by our board attorney. 22 MR. MARMERO: If you would both raise your right hand. Do 23 you swear the testimony you will provide tonight will be the truth, 24 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 25 26 MR. RAGO: Yes, we do. 27 MR. VACARELLO: Yes. 28 29 MR. MARMERO: Okay then please state your name for the 30 31 record. 32 MR. VACARELLO: Robert Vaccarello. 33 34 MR. RAGO: Joseph Rago. 35 36 37 MR. VACCARELLO: 162 Sunnymede Englishtown, New Jersey. 38 MR. MARMERO: And what's the first name? I'm sorry. 39 40 MR. VACARELLO: Robert. 41 42 MR. MARMERO: Robert? And you're testifying? 43 44 MR. VACARELLO: Yes. 45 46 47 MR. LEVITON: Okay. Who's going to be doing the primary

 MR. VACARELLO: Well, I guess I'll talk. We're looking to build an oversized garage. My son-in-law purchased the house in 2016. We did some upgrades in it. It was a ranch home. In 2017, they got married. They moved in there and so they're there now. The property was built in 1960. I think it's 4.3 acres, and so we're looking, and in 2022, we took out a permit and we built a second story on the house.

MR. LEVITON: The proceedings here are recorded, and then they're transcribed later. So, every word spoken needs to be picked up by the mic.

MR. VACARELLO: And so, in '22 we took out a permit. We put a second story on it. We were going to do an attached garage, but we decided that we would do it at a later time. My son-in-law and myself, we collect antique cars, motorcycles, and boats and so we were looking to build an oversized garage on the property in the rear of the yard. The property is unique. There's only one neighbor to the right of it looking at the property from the street. There's a multi-dwelling across the street, and then there's a commercial site to the left of the property. So, the structure that we're looking to develop, it would be all the way in the back of the property like I said. The site is 4.3 acres. We initially came in with a large.

MR. LEVITON: I'm going to interrupt because when you say all the way in the back that might be a little misleading because there's an encroachment into the front setback as well. The front setback is 200 feet. You're going to be maybe 160. I don't have it in front of me.

MR. VACARELLO: Right. Right, but it's sort of in the middle of the property. It's behind the house.

MR. LEVITON: Okay, but there's a front yard encroachment and let's make that clear for the record. Continue.

MR. VACARELLO: But I think that issue was when the issue came up on the 80 by 100, we reduced it now to 60 by 80, and I believe that a lot of those non-compliant issues were resolved.

MR. LEVITON: Well.

MR. VACARELLO: I submitted new plans.

MR. LEVITON: So.

MR. VACARELLO: And I guess Mr. Chairman at the end.

MR. LEVITON: I understand you submitted your initial application requesting an 80 by 100 foot pole barn that you were going to use as a garage for your collection, and you noticed to the public for that. So, anything that substantially or materially different than that, this board may have to hear at a later date. I just want to let you know. Tell us what it is that you change about your plan.

1 2

MS. MOENCH: Can I just? I just want to, everything that's in front of you guys is the original plan.

MR. LEVITON: 80 by 100.

MR. VACARELLO: Right.

 MS. MOENCH: The Board members are looking at your original submission. You have a revised plan. That plan was not submitted to our office within 10 days of the meeting so the plan you are speaking about is not what they have in front of them.

MR. VACARELLO: I have.

MS. MOENCH: I understand, but like I said it was too late. So, if you want to present the plan as an exhibit, then the Board members will be looking at the same plan you are speaking about. And everyone will be on the same page

MR. VACARELLO: Okay.

MR. LEVITON: Any exhibit that you'll present will be marked and recorded for the record.

MR. VACARELLO: Okay.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Rago. Janice?

MR. MARMERO: For the record, --- exhibit so exhibit A would be the October 8, 25 central elevations and exhibit B would be revised plot plan.

MR. LEVITON: Janice?

MR. MARMERO: Sorry A1, A2.

MR. LEVITON: Janice? I believe I've seen what the applicant has just distributed. It's already in the file. I reviewed the file earlier this afternoon.

MS. MOENCH: It's on the Google Drive, correct. But that is not what is front of you.

MR. LEVITON: Okay.

MR. LEVITON: I understand. Everyone else understands now as well. So, if you have not reviewed the application today, then the material that was just handed to you is currently what the applicants are proposing.

MR. MARMERO: And Mr. Chairman just so you know, Janice brought it to my attention that they were going to bring some exhibits tonight. It was the plans for - - including the packet. You guys ask a lot of applicants to amend the application to something less and as long as it's something less in scope it's fine. If they came with a plan that was bigger than what's on file, you'd have an issue. So, you have the ability to evaluate and potentially approve this, but if you gave them more time or if you have questions based on what's been submitted, you have that - - - too.

MR. LEVITON: You've reduced the size of the pole barn from 8,000 square feet to 4,800 square feet.

MR. VACARELLO: Correct.

MR. LEVITON: Forty-eight hundred square feet can hold comfortably twenty-five cars.

MR. VACARELLO: Yeah, we were thinking between twenty and twenty-three cars. You need the opening at the entrance to jack your cars around.

MR. LEVITON: How many cars do you have?

MR. VACARELLO: I have a dozen motorcycles, cars, and boats.

MR. LEVITON: And Mr. Rago?

MR. RAGO: I have.

MR. LEVITON: Rago, forgive me.

MR. RAGO: No problem, a twenty-eight foot boat which also includes the trailer which also needs room to get in and out. I have ATVs and trailers that I would like to keep inside instead of outside as an eye sore. I have five or six trucks that I would like to fix up and restore and enjoy instead of them being outside and getting weathered and rusty.

MR. LEVITON: I understand. Gentlemen, let me just go to my administrator Mr. Boccanfuso. You wrote a memo to the board. Do the applicants have access to that? Have they seen it?

4 5 6

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes.

7 8

9

MR. LEVITON: So, I'm referring to the memo that he wrote to us dated May 14th.

10 11

MR. VACARELLO: Right, I have that one. That's the May 14th letter, right with the items.

12 13

MR. LEVITON: You've read it? You've seen it?

14 15 16

MR. VACARELLO: Yes.

17 18

19

20

MR. LEVITON: So, you have every right to represent yourself. There's no need for you to hire a lawyer, if you are prepared to discuss tonight the technical and the legal aspects required for this board to grant you the relief that you seek?

212223

MR. RAGO: Yes.

2425

MR. VACARELLO: Yes.

2627

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

MR. LEVITON: Because one of the things that Mr. Boccanfuso advised this board, let me find it for you and I'll tell you where it is. Number two, he asks that for this board to grant variance relief that you need, you're going to need to demonstrate that the Pole barn advances one or more of the purposes of the municipal land use law. That you're seeking a C2 variance for this pole barn that we can grant to you. We have the ability to do that, but we need to hang our hat on something. It's not a hardship, that'd be a C1. This is a C2 variance and you need to advance one of the purposes of the MLUL and I've got to say that's a heavy lift. I often like to help applicants get to where they need to be and I would love to help you, but I have to be honest. The one that I always go to is I, purpose I. It's the ninth purpose on the municipal land use law which most applicants can use because it talks about promoting a desirable visual environment. Mr. Boccanfuso, I'm at a loss here. I've reviewed it closely several times. I don't know which one can help these applicants and they're staring at me like I'm speaking French.

43 44

MR. RAGO: I was just listening.

45 46 47

48

MR. LEVITON: I know, but it's such a heavy lift. Brian, any thoughts?

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2728

29

30 31

32

33

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah, I mean it certainly is. It's a much larger garage than what our ordinance envisions and permits. I think that the purpose of the land use law that you described, purpose I, the promotion of the desirable visual environment is the one that this board often looks to in cases like this. It's somewhat difficult to connect the dots here, but perhaps the board could get there. You heard Mr. Rago and Vaccarello talk about the vehicles they own and the hobbies that they have. There's nothing in the land use law or in our zoning regulation that prohibits folks from having hobbies. What they could do as of right, is they could certainly extend their driveway on this property to some extent, and then have all these vehicles on the driveway. Now certainly that's not preferable to the applicant because as they alluded to, they'd be subject to the elements and things of that nature, but from the board's standpoint I think that that solution to their problem may be inferior to the relief that's being requested because instead of having all those vehicles in a garage where they're not exposed to the public eye by the travelling public or neighbors or other residents. They would be enclosed within a garage. Now, whether the deviations from the requirements specifically a garage that's about six times as large as what's permitted is needed to satisfy that criteria? I mean that's the question, that's the balance I think that the Board needs to reconcile. Can that benefit be realized with something smaller? I don't know. It's there, but you as Board members have to decide whether or not it's sufficient to satisfy the criteria along with whether there are any detriments, or substantial detriments. Whether the benefits substantially outweigh those detriments if there are any. So that's kind of the long and short of it. As you know, I'm not licensed as a planner and I certainly don't have the other purposes of the act committed to memory. I don't know if Mr. Marmero wants to weigh in on it, but I think that if there is one that's probably the most logical one that the board could use and that the applicant could use to satisfy the statutory criteria.

343536

MR. LEVITON: Counselor?

373839

MR. MARMERO: I mean there's also kind of just the promotion of general welfare which is one we usually kind of always lean if we're ever grasping at straws.

41 42

40

MR. LEVITON: What letter is that?

43 44

MR. MARMERO: I can't get the internet in here so.

45 46

47

48

MR. LEVITON: Yeah I always use my hotspot by the way. If it wasn't for my phone I couldn't either. They all? None of them really do it. As a stretch maybe letter M which is really, it's really about

government agencies and private developers working together in a way that makes sense, and it talks about making good use of space. So I'll throw that out there and to the administrator's point, we don't want to see your vehicles on your driveway. We don't want to see them on your lawn.

6 7

 $\,$ MR. RAGO: Or taken apart in a million pieces on the driveway.

8 9 10

MR. LEVITON: Yeah, we don't want that. Let's go out.

11 12

MR. RAGO: I've refrained heavily from doing that previously so like I said that's the whole point.

13 14

MR. LEVITON: Well good for you.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. BOCCANFUSO: One point of order Mr. Chairman, on the lawn is strictly prohibited. That is a code violation and we would send out notices of violation and if unaddressed it could rise to the level of a summons. So, they couldn't have them on the lawn, but they could pull permits to expand the driveway and keep them on that expanded driveway or driveway extension.

222324

MR. LEVITON: Yeah.

25 26

MR. BOCCANFUSO: So, I think the point remains.

2728

MR. LEVITON: So.

2930

31

32

33

MR. MARMERO: Again, to your question Mr. Chairman, that general welfare one is known as the letter A, --- is to encourage municipal action to guide the appropriate use of development --- to promote public health, safety, morals, and general welfare. It's kind of --- but you may hear some testimony to advance one of these.

343536

MR. LEVITON: It could be applicable. There are two that we can hang our hat on. Let's see what the board members think. Michael?

373839

MR. WECHSLER: I have nothing at this time, but reserve the right to go back at a future moment.

40 41 42

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mike. Joshua?

43 44

MR. LEVITON: Josh?

45 46 47

48

MR. SHALIKAR: I don't know if I have anything because we haven't heard testimony.

MR. LEVITON: Do you want to finish testifying? Do you want to tell? He wants more information.

MR. SHALIKAR: It's more of.

MR. VACARELLO: We don't really have too much testimony. We're going to do lighting, no water, no new gas service, no bathroom or anything like that. We're just going to do electric for lighting in there.

MR. LEVITON: Well, hold on.

MR. SHALIKAR: I think when, what's that?

MR. LEVITON: One of these.

MR. SHALIKAR: Is a hose bibb.

MR. LEVITON: One of these that you just handed out indicates that all of those things that you just said weren't going to be, are planned.

MR. VACARELLO: Just the lighting is on there.

MR. SHALIKAR: I saw a hose bibb as well, water.

MR. LEVITON: There's also cabinetry for like a kitchen. Yeah, I'll find it for you. I need a minute.

MR. VACARELLO: Cabinetry? That wasn't part.

MR. RAGO: That's news to us.

MR. VACARELLO: Yeah that's new, that wasn't part of the plan.

MR. SHALIKAR: Let me just articulate why.

MR. VACARELLO: It's just going to be a storage facility.

MR. SHALIKAR: I understand that. I think the point still stands, right? You heard our professional, you heard the zoning officer, you heard the chairman. I think the reality is that you have to move one of those conditions forward to prove the need for this variance or for the relief. Again, going back to what the chairman said to set you up for success, it would probably be beneficial to have the right testimony against those factors. That's all it is.

48

1 2 MR. VACARELLO: Okay. 3 4 MR. SHALIKAR: I understand the project. I think it's a cool project, but we're regulated by a law, and that's kind of where we're 5 6 coming from. 7 MR. VACARELLO: Yeah, I'm going to have to get more prepared for that to come back. 8 9 10 MR. LEVITON: Josh, you're good? 11 MR. SHALIKAR: I'm good. 12 13 14 MR. LEVITON: Alright so it's in the general notes section 15 of the document that's titled new construction residential space. 16 17 MR. VACARELLO: Okay. 18 19 MR. LEVITON: And in that general note section, I'll direct your attention to the continued part. It's on the second page of it 20 and on the left hand side. 21 22 MR. RAGO: These are on our plans we gave you? 23 24 25 MR. LEVITON: Yes. 26 27 MR. VACARELLO: Okay. 28 MS. MOENCH: Can you just refer to --- A1 or A2 when you ---29 30 31 32 MR. LEVITON: You know I'm looking at it digitally. I don't 33 know. 34 35 MR. SHALIKAR: Third page. 36 37 MR. LEVITON: It's probably. 38 MS. MOENCH: You're referring to A1? 39 40 MR. LEVITON: I'll tell you in a second. It's A1. I'm 41 42 referring to A1 and I'm calling your attention to this second page of general notes and to the left column. 43 44 45 MR. RAGO: Okay. 46

MR. LEVITON: There are areas called toilet accessories,

toilet partitions. There's cabinetry, kitchen and bath cabinets, the

plumbing, the plumbing fixtures. It's specified and delineated in what your engineer delivered to you and you in turn gave to us.

MR. RAGO: We were not aware of that. We apologize.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Mr. Chairman I don't want to put words in the applicant's mouth, but I have seen plans from this architect before. I suspect those are probably general notes that go on every plan set. If the applicant's testimony is that there will be no utilities aside from electric in the building, and if the board were comfortable with getting to an approval whether it's tonight or some other night, you could certainly impose that condition that they can't have plumbing, and then they would not be able to obtain permits to do that going forward. Unless they were to return and amend the approval of course.

MR. LEVITON: So I will take you at your word, but I will as Mr. Boccanfuso recommended insure that as a condition of approval if the Board gets there. This structure can never be used residentially.

intent.

MR. VACARELLO: We didn't.

MR. LEVITON: You'll have no problem because it's not your

MR. VACARELLO: It was never our intention to do that.

MR. RAGO: That was never the plan.

MR. LEVITON: I take you at your word.

MR. VACARELLO: It was just a storage facility.

MR. LEVITON: But we'll make it a condition.

MR. RAGO: Absolutely.

 MR. LEVITON: When we impose conditions on applicants, there's always a question of enforcement. However, with your application it's so big and you're a mechanic, I will also ask the Board members to back me and ask our counselor to include as a condition of approval that once we memorialize a resolution that's passed the way you saw us do earlier, that you'll need to bring it to get recorded. So that our approval runs with the land and not with you personally so that Mr. Rago, we believe you won't use the pole barn commercially for your mechanics business, but by having you record it in the same place that deeds are recorded, the county clerk's office,

that will ensure that it runs with the land and no one will be able to 2 use it commercially.

3 4

1

MR. VACARELLO: Correct.

5 6

MR. RAGO: I don't have a problem with that.

7 8

9

MR. LEVITON: So, I just throw that out there before we go on, and now let's go onto the rest of the Board and see what they think. Dan?

10 11 12

13

14

MR. POCHOPIN: Thank you Mr. Chair. So just to reiterate what everybody's saying, it looks as if you're not going to use this for a commercial body shop, etc., just your own personal hobby uses cars, motorcycles, etc.

15 16 17

MR. RAGO: Correct. There will be working on vehicles, but not commercially, our own personal vehicles.

18 19 20

MR. POCHOPIN: Right so any utility hook ups like you said, you're just stating there would be electric.

21 22 23

MR. VACARELLO: There would be electric.

24 25

MR. POCHOPIN: But no water or anything like that? Like detailing cars?

26 27

28

29

MR. RAGO: Maybe in the future, maybe in the future, just maybe possibly water for a hose bibb just to wash a car and possibly maybe gas for heater in the future.

30 31

MR. POCHOPIN: Okay.

32 33 34

MR. RAGO: No utilities of any sort.

35 36

MR. POCHOPIN: Well, that's pretty much utilities.

37 38

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Those are two utilities though. So no utilities and ---

39 40 41

MR. RAGO: Not like a stove and an oven for residential, but heater for just climate control not for residential use.

42 43 44

45

46

MR. POCHOPIN: And of course, I'm not going to get too detailed, but if it is body work or anything like that, there's extra, as a few of our members are on fire department, fire codes, etc., safety booth, things like that.

3

5

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

2122

23

242526

27

28

29

30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42 43

44

45 46

1 MR. RAGO: No, not body just mechanical.

MR. VACARELLO: There's no body work.

MR. POCHOPIN: Mechanical. Okay, alright thank you.

MR. VACARELLO: Just mechanical, yeah.

MR. RAGO: No painting, nothing like that.

MR. POCHOPIN: Very good, thank you. Thank you Mr.Chair.

MR. LEVITON: Before I go to you John, I just want to redirect your attention to Mr. Boccanfuso's memo to the Board. He's recommended that we include as a condition, our attorney is taking notes right now, that you're going to need to get a letter of interpretation from the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection because there needs to be a transition between your garage and the wetlands that are identified behind it. So, you need the L.O.I.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Mr. Chairman if I can just address that.

MR. LEVITON: Go ahead.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I know that we have a new exhibit here which the board members may not have had an opportunity to fully review and digest, and we haven't gotten a comprehensive summary of the changes here. Obviously the most substantial change is the reduction in size of the building, but another significant change is that the area of disturbance has been reduced and the limit of disturbance has been shifted substantially away from the rear of the site. As a result, the building is over a hundred feet further from the rear of the site and from the apparent regulated areas as compared to what was originally shown. So, with this modification, they are over a hundred feet further from where those potential regulated areas are. So given that substantial change, I don't have the same, I don't think it's as necessary for this letter of intent. I think what they've done is moved away from those regulated areas, apparent regulated areas, by a sufficient margin that it no longer appears that the disturbance is proposed.

MR. LEVITON: Then your recommendation number eight, also needs to fall by the wayside since they're under five thousand square feet.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: No, number eight, number eight would remain because they still are disturbing in excess of five thousand square feet. They would need Freehold Soil's certification.

1 2

MR. LEVITON: Their building's only 4,800 square feet.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: It's disturbance. The Freehold Soil, the trigger for Freehold Soil certification is disturbance not building area.

MR. LEVITON: Okay.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay, their area of disturbance is a little over 30,000 square feet. So it's not quite that acre which would trigger the need for compliance with the stormwater management regulations that's item number seven in the supplemental memo, but they are in excess of the five thousand square feet. So it would be treated as an outside agency approval if the board were to grant an approval prior to permitting or in connection with permitting, they would need to go to Freehold Soil and get the necessary certification, but circling back to item number six, I don't think that the application to D.E.P. for an L.O.I. would be strictly required. I think that a statement or certification from their engineer would suffice. Now if their engineer's unwilling to do that, then they made need to go to the D.E.P. and get the L.O.I.

MR. LEVITON: They're shaking their heads affirmatively. So I assume they have no objection and Albert you have that down as a condition?

MR. MARMERO: I do, and the only question I have, Brian for number seven, did you say that is not a problem any longer or it still is?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Well, it applies, but one of the other changes that was made, I did speak with the applicant's engineer on the phone about this particular comment. They advised Mr. Rago correctly, I think, that he should make every effort to reduce the scope of his proposal so that he did not trigger the need for compliance with these major development standards, and because of the reduction in building area as well as total disturbance, it no longer appears that they rise to the level that would trigger the need for compliance. Not only that, their engineer has put on the revised plot plan, exhibit A2, a note stating that because the increase in impervious coverage of 1,906 square feet and total area of disturbance of 35,198, project does not qualify as a major stormwater development. So they don't need to meet those more complicated and difficult standards. There are still standards that they're going to need to meet, but not the heavy ones.

1 2 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Brian. 3 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Of course. 4 5 MR. LEVITON: John? 6 7 MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, really quick, the height of the 8 building is twenty feet if I remember correctly? 9 10 MR. VACARELLO: Twenty feet, yeah. 11 12 MR. HARRINGTON: I'm sorry? 13 14 15 MR. VACARELLO: Twenty feet on the peak, seventeen on the 16 sides. 17 18 MR. HARRINGTON: On the sides and as far as I believe it was 19 fourteen feet for the garage door openings? 20 21 MR. VACARELLO: Fifteen. Oh, fourteen for the garage. 22 MR. RAGO: I believe fourteen by fourteen. 23 24 25 MR. HARRINGTON: And there's two of them, correct? 26 MR. VACARELLO: They did make changes. I don't remember 27 which one was which, but I know we wanted two, but I only see there's 28 29 only one on there. 30 31 MS. LATILLA: There's one with two exit doors. 32 33 MR. HARRINGTON: Oh, okay I see it. So, they did make 34 changes. 35 MR, VACARELLO: There's two exit doors and one garage door. 36 37 38 MR. HARRINGTON: I mean because there's a couple things I 39 noticed and I didn't see that, but I did notice the hose bibb that we were talking about a little bit earlier, and you're looking to use it 40 obviously to store your vehicles that are restored. 41 42 MR. VACARELLO: Storage facility. 43 44 MR. HARRINGTON: Your vehicles that are restored plus you're 45 looking at using it to do I guess some more project cars, trucks? 46

48

twenty foot height of his?

MR. RAGO: I do have project cars and trucks already that 1 2 slowly get done because they're outside and there's not much you can do outside. Some of them are at my shop, but I would like to bring 3 them inside and get them done and driving. 4 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Some place where it's climate controlled. 6 7 MR. RAGO: Yeah and where if you sand something it doesn't 8 get weld. It doesn't get rusty right away from being outside. 9 10 MR. HARRINGTON: Any concerns with the fire protection at 11 all? Let's say parts washers and fumes. 12 13 MR. VACARELLO: We're not going to do it. It's going to be 14 15 strictly a storage facility. We're not going to be doing any. He has a shop to do the work. 16 17 18 MR. RAGO: Yeah I have all that type of stuff wouldn't be 19 done there. 20 21 MR. VACARELLO: In his shop. This is just a storage 22 facility. 23 24 MR. HARRINGTON: But I thought initially. 25 26 MR. LEVITON: Albeit a huge one. 27 28 MR. VACARELLO: A huge one, right. 29 MR. HARRINGTON: Albeit I mean size is, no I'm just looking 30 at a standpoint of in that zone, R20, what's the height? 31 32 MR. LEVITON: It's fifteen feet. They're five feet over, 33 34 roughly. It's in that neighborhood. It's not exact. Brian's here. 35 MR. POCHOPIN: Twenty feet they said. 36 37 38 MR. LEVITON: Brian, do you remember? 39 40 MS. KLOMPUS: Twenty feet, yeah. 41 42 MR. BOCCANFUSO: What was the question? 43 MR. HARRINGTON: R20. 44 45 MR. LEVITON: How much over what he's permitted is the 46

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah so garages specifically are permitted 2 to be fifteen feet. He's proposing twenty feet, nominal height as shown on the architecturals. There's a little bit of a slight deviation in the grade around the building. So we're talking twenty inches or twenty feet, one inch or two inches. For all intents and purposes, it's roughly twenty feet which is roughly five feet greater than what's permitted.

7 8 9

10

11

1

3

5

6

MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. I mean that's really, the main thing is I'm looking at safety. You're saying you're doing restoration which to me, I'm not in that business and everything for you guys, but I was more looking at a safety kind of concern.

12 13 14

MR. LEVITON: This Pole barn, it's a kit that's going to be put together, right?

15 16 17

MR. RAGO: We didn't get that far, but for the most part I believe so.

18 19 20

MR. LEVITON: And are we talking metal or wood?

21 22

MR. VACARELLO: Metal.

23 24

MR. RAGO: Well, the framing I believe is wood and then outside is metal.

25 26 27

MR. LEVITON: Which I only bring up to allay concerns of Mr. Harrington, yeah. Stacey?

28 29 30

31

32

MS. KLOMPUS: Sorry so on the elevation plan it's referencing to a second floor. So is this going to be an open space? Are you having lifts in there and will there be a second floor --inside?

33 34 35

MR. VACARELLO: No, there's only one, no second floor.

36 37

MR. RAGO: No, no second floor.

38 39

MS. KLOMPUS: Okay because it references a second floor.

40 41

42

MR. VACARELLO: Yeah, I don't know. It's a one story facility. I don't think you're able to do two story garages. So I don't think you're able to do.

43 44 45

MR. LEVITON: Those Pole barns come with lofts.

46 47

48

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah, that's correct. The garage requirements specifically say you can't have a second level of

storage. So if that were proposed it would be additional variance 1 2 relief. The applicant's saying it's not. 3 MS. KLOMPUS: I just wanted to make sure since it does say 4 5 it. 6 7 MR. LEVITON: And what about her other question, hydraulics? 8 MS. KLOMPUS: Right. Are you going to be having lifts to 9 10 have multiple cars? 11 12 MR. VACARELLO: No. no just regular standard garage level. 13 14 MS. KLOMPUS: So, if you're just doing it on one level, why 15 do you need so much height? 16 17 MR. VACARELLO: Again, we don't need any additional height. I'm assuming the peak, the Pole barn is set up that way. I don't think 18 they have and again we can certainly inquire if they have a garage 19 with a lower peak. 20 21 MR. LEVITON: They're buying a kit. 22 23 MR. VACARELLO: It's a kit. 24 25 26 MS. KLOMPUS: Right, but the kits come in different. 27 MR. SHALIKAR: I would assume a twenty-eight foot pull out 28 trailer also requires a certain height. 29 30 MR. RAGO: Yeah, and a certain height - - -31 32 MS. KLOMPUS: That height because of how wide you're doing 33 34 it. 35 MR. RAGO: Yeah, I need a certain height because of the 36 37 garage door. 38

41 42 43

44

45

46 47

48

39

40

removed.

MR. LEVITON: Jessica, before I go to you and forgive me please, I just have another question for Albert. I've never recorded a resolution that's been memorialized. I've requested that you make a note of the recording as it relates to non-commercial usage, but does he need to record it additionally as non-residential or?

hose bibb was on here. So, if you're not having water to have it

MS. KLOMPUS: Okay, my only other I did also notice that the

MR. MARMERO: In the list, I have conditions we obviously have no commercial purpose, no residential purpose that'll all appear in there so just recording the resolution by itself would accomplish that. The resolution does technically run with the land anyway so it would bar future use, but recording it puts future users on notice. So, it does make sense, but just get one condition of recording for resolution would take care of both of those.

1 2

MR. LEVITON: And one more thing, see forgive me. In my mind, it's massive even though they've reduced the footprint. It's still huge and it sticks in my craw. I can't get past it, but for me I want to ask you, why is it that there's nothing in the MLUL that speaks to massing as it relates to C variances. We know F.A.R. for D variances, but there's nothing here that falls under our purview as a board to speak to this mass.

MR. MARMERO: Well, they really leave it to your local zone. I mean your local zone speaks to the mass by restricting this to I think to 860 square feet. I mean it's in your zone. We can give them a permit to do that, but that would also give you the purview to depart from that if you hear some testimony that'll allow you to do that. We've kind of thrown out some ideas, but I'm not sure we truly heard testimony yet.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Mr. Chairman I'd also point out to some extent the zoning does speak to massing by virtue of the building coverage requirements. Anything that's covered with a roof is included in the building coverage and even with this large structure, the applicant complies with the building coverage. So if this garage were attached to the regular dwelling, we probably wouldn't even be here right now. They'd probably be able to call it a zoning permit and get it approved. Of course, it's hypothetical. We'd have to look at what's proposed inside and so on and so forth, but the reason that we're here is because this is a detached garage and the zoning ordinance has specific regulations for detached garages. So, to some extent maybe that speaks to the massing a little bit.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah, both of you, thank you, very helpful. Jessica?

MS. LEVENSON: I just want to go back to your earlier testimony about what's being stored in the garage. You went through it a little quickly. Obviously, vehicles, was there a boat that was also mentioned and what was the size of the boat?

MR. RAGO: Yes, twenty-eight feet.

MS. LEVENSON: And you mentioned ATVs?

47

1 2 MR. RAGO: ATVs, yes. 3 4 MS. LEVENSON: How many ATVs? 5 MR. RAGO: Between ATVs and dirt bikes and side by sides, 6 7 probably four or five. 8 MS. LEVENSON: And then you mentioned trailers. 9 10 MR. RAGO: Yeah. 11 12 MS. LEVENSON: So what do you mean exactly by trailers? 13 14 15 MR. VACARELLO: Boat trailers. 16 17 MR. RAGO: Well the boat is on a trailer, then I have a car 18 trailer and a utility trailer for the ATVs and the dirt bikes. 19 MS. LEVENSON: So I have no frame of reference for what 20 those are. Are these large? I'm picturing a double decker car port. 21 22 MR. RAGO: No, no picture if your landscaper would come to 23 the front of the house with the lawnmowers on the trailer, on the back 24 of the truck. That, but I don't, like I said, I don't want to leave it 25 outside to weather. I would like to bring everything inside, 26 especially curb appeal for the --- . 27 28 MR. VACARELLO: They're open utility trailers. 29 30 MS. LEVENSON: Okay, that definitely clarified to several of 31 those that would be stored in this garage. 32 33 34 MR. RAGO: I have three plus the boat trailer. 35 36 MR. LEVITON: Gentlemen you need to talk into the mic. 37 38 MR. RAGO: Sorry, three plus the boat trailer that are on 39 the property. 40 MS. LEVENSON: Okay, okay thank you. That definitely helps 41 42 clarify what trailer is that you would be using for this. I don't have any further questions right now, but I might have some as more people 43 ask. Thank you. 44 45

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Baker-Levenson. Ms. Latilla?

MS. LATILLA: Hello. I don't have any questions. I guess just a comment. I like the reduction in size and just given the list of everything that's being stored in there, I understand why you're looking for this larger size. How far back is it? Actually, I do have a question. How far back is this set now from the road?

1 2

MR. RAGO: I would say about 275 feet if I'm looking at, probably more than that, probably three hundred feet from the road.

MS. LATILLA: Okay. Yeah, because visually, you won't see it as much driving since it won't be right at your face.

 $\,$ MR. RAGO: Right. Also, if I can make a note. The engineer did draw it the wrong way. So right now, the side facing the road is eighty feet.

MS. LATILLA: Okay that would make sense because when I was looking at the image of where the doors were, it looked smaller. So, you're saying the doors are supposed to be facing where the driveway is coming in.

MR. RAGO: Yeah, correct.

MS. LATILLA: Okay.

MR. RAGO: So, the sixty foot side should be facing the road. They didn't draw it like that.

MS. LATILLA: Okay.

 $\,$ MR. RAGO: So, the mass of it from the road would look significantly less.

MS. LATILLA: Yeah, okay that actually makes sense. I mean visually I do like that better than seeing twenty plus cars and ATVs and boats. I don't have anything else.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah, I do too, but she brings up a good point. It's the one rendering that your engineer omitted that I wish was included. What is it going to look like from the road or from the rental properties across the street that are several stories? Behind your second story house, it's going to tower over it, won't it?

MR. VACARELLO: No, no, no the house is a two-story home.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah.

MR. VACARELLO: So the garage will be a lot lower than the.

MR. LEVITON: But wider. 1 2 MR. VACARELLO: But wider, oh yes, a lot wider. 3 4 MR. RAGO: The house, I believe the roof peak I want to say 5 is probably thirty feet high. 6 7 MR. LEVITON: Okay, and if you're going to guess-timate and 8 I guess that's what we're doing, how wide is your two-story dwelling? 9 10 MR. RAGO: Forty from the road. 11 12 MR. LEVITON: So, there's going to be, no, no. How wide is 13 it? How wide is the house if the Pole barn is sixty feet? 14 15 MR. RAGO: The house. 16 17 18 MR. LEVITON: Yeah. 19 MR. RAGO: Looking at the house from the street it's forty 20 21 feet wide. 22 MS. LATILLA: It's 46 feet and eight inches wide. 23 24 25 MR. LEVITON: The house is? You're looking at the survey? 26 Thanks. 27 MR. VACARELLO: Right, it's actually on an angle, the house, 28 from the main road. 29 30 MR. LEVITON: Okay, I'm good. Patrick? Thanks for your 31 patience. I'm sorry Patrick, any? 32 33 34 MR. HUGHES: I have no questions. 35 36 MR. LEVITON: You have no questions, okay. Michael, let me go back to you. Any thoughts? 37 38 39 MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I have a couple of things and I don't know if it falls on the board or through the professionals, but we're 40 granting permission based on an eighty by sixty Pole barn, right? Pole 41 42 barn? 43 MR. LEVITON: Yeah. 44

MR. WECHSLER: But do we have the ability to know what's going, I mean we know there's cars. We don't know the size of the service. We don't know the amount of water. We don't know the size of

gas. Does that fall under construction to make sure it's a non-commercial variant or? How do we know that it's not going to get lifts and four hundred amps and large gas mains? What's our proof on that?

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1 2

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Well, I mean, a couple of things. First, the board could impose conditions on the utilities, and as far as I'm concerned that's still a question in my mind. I don't know what is proposed, what is being restricted. I mean we heard there was no utilities and then we heard that there's water, then we heard there wasn't water, and might be gas in the future. I'm personally a little unclear as to what's proposed, and I'm also unclear with what the board is comfortable with. One thing I would say is that the building codes or the construction codes are the jurisdiction of the construction department. We have no ability to regulate those nor should they really be a part of our proceedings or considerations. So one of the questions that comes to mind is if the board is inclined to say, look no water period. That could potentially present a problem if sprinklers are required by the construction codes. I don't know if they are. I'm not an expert in that and I don't know what's going to be necessary. So if the board says look we're okay with this, but you can't have water period and then the applicant comes in for permitting and the construction department says you need water because you have to have a sprinkler. Well they're in a problem. They have a problem. They have to come back to the board at that point. So that's a challenge. Now, to your point I don't know. Now the board could impose conditions: no water, no gas, water only if specifically required for fire suppression by the building code, no plumbing or not no plumbing, no sanitary sewer, things of that nature, but absent specific restrictions by the board, you don't know. The permitting would allow them to do certain things on this and the building department is not going to look at the zoning.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

MR. WECHSLER: I mean I understand, but my specific question would be if we're putting vehicles and gasoline or anything else then I would like to see the fire suppression system in a building of that size with the amount of vehicles that are in there and the flammability, but I don't know if that falls under something that we can put.

38 39 40

MS. MOENCH: --- fire inspection on ---.

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

MR. WECHSLER: Right, I mean we're looking at a Pole barn with basic two panels, one entry. I don't know what's going in the building. So my concern is that if you're going to put all this flammable merchandise and items in there and you're going to be doing work, if there is a fire, what is it just a fire extinguisher on the wall or can we have them put up a sprinkler system in there? We're going to have them run water and gas and power? That would be my

question because this is very vanilla. I'm not really seeing any details on this.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah, I don't. The answer is I don't know if we can require that because I don't know if it's under our jurisdiction. I'm not saying we can't, but I just don't know if we can.

MR. MARMERO: Some applications though do depend on the scope; they have to go to the fire official or the fire department for review. I mean that's something we can do.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: They absolutely would need fire sub code review as part of the permitting process, but I don't know if the fire sub code requires.

MS. MOENCH: Usually --- on a commercial application, on a commercial application with something this size.

MR. WECHSLER: Right.

MS. MOENCH: Would go to fire first.

MR. WECHSLER: But it's residential.

MS. MOENCH: But it doesn't have to because it's residential.

MR. WECHSLER: Right.

MS. MOENCH: We never had something like that.

MR. WECHSLER: My fear is that we're granting permission to do something of this size then down the road something else happens and then.

MR. MARMERO: Yeah, I don't think ---

MR. WECHSLER: We're setting a precedent.

 MR. MARMERO: I don't think you guys can mandate fire suppression, but I think you can mandate that it goes to fire for them to look at. So that's a condition you can impose and make them make that call.

MR. LEVITON: Michael, Michael of course, is part of the Gordons Corner Fire Company and Michael, I can tell you on, that you haven't been here for one, but I can tell you on commercial applications, they always have something to say and they talk about

turnaround and the ability for a fire truck to get back there. Only on commercial.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, it's residential.

MS. MOENCH: --- This would go to fire after. ---

MR. LEVITON: Michael, do you have any strong feelings about what you want to limit the applicant to be able to put there?

MR. WECHSLER: I would like it to go to fire, and to go to fire inspection and go to building, and if they're looking to do certain things in there, have a more detailed plan of it.

MR. LEVITON: I don't have a problem with that. Albert?

MR. MARMERO: No, that's exactly what I suggested. You guys

can - - to send them - - -

MR. LEVITON: Does anyone else have strong feelings?

MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.

MR. LEVITON: Josh?

MR. SHALIKAR: So ---

MR. LEVITON: I do.

MR. SHALIKAR: Not to be a naysayer, I'm just uncomfortable right now again because there's conflicting testimony. Regardless, I'm hearing things like we do welding, we want to have protection from when we do welds. What's to say that you're not going to weld inside this structure? We were told no utilities. Now there are utilities. There's a hose bibb on the plan. The plan is wrong. You guys admitted that. It's on testimony. I'm not saying the story, I'm not saying you guys are fibbing. What I'm saying is just, it's not right. Right? So for me personally I need to have a level of comfortability that what you're presenting is the final idea, the final plan and I'm just not seeing it.

MR. LEVITON: I don't think you know yourselves.

MR. SHALIKAR: I just think it needs to be polished, right and again my point is I want to give you the best chance at getting what you're seeking. To what the chairman said earlier, we want to help you. I don't think you're there. This is my personal opinion.

MR. LEVITON: Albert.

MR. SHALIKAR: I just don't think you're there. So I would recommend coming back in front of the board with correct plans, with testimony, with some help, wink, wink, right? So, you have the best chance of getting what you need. That's just all it is.

MR. VACARELLO: Our plan was again, we were just going to do electric for lighting, no gas, no sanitary, no water.

MR. SHALIKAR: I get it, but here's the thing I'm going off of the exhibits that you guys provided and this is the second rendition, the newest, and it's still saying a different story.

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Vaccarello, it needs to be nailed down.

etc.

MR. SHALIKAR: Including the orientation of the building,

MR. VACARELLO: No no that's fine. We'll refine it.

MR. LEVITON: And let's see if we can talk about the legality here. Can we ask them to come back at a later date without having them re notice?

MR. MARMERO: Yeah, as long as you announce the date and technically the ask would really come from them to be asked to be tabled and return to a later date, and then you guys can determine a date certain. If we could pick a date certain, you could announce to everyone in the room, which is nobody, that it'll be carried to that certain date and then no further notices would be required. But if the plans are revised to again larger in scope of what they're seeking which it doesn't sound like is going to take place, then noticing may be required, but as long as it's this exact application, but more fine-tuned and maybe even limited in some scopes then it's fine. No need for new notice, but if they're adding new relief to it than that might require re-notice, but again I don't anticipate.

MS. MOENCH: Just to note if you do want them to go before fire bureau or you want them to go to have it reviewed by?

MR. SHALIKAR: Reviewed by the fire inspector.

MS. MOENCH: Because they meet once a month and it's Monday. Their meeting is Monday so they won't be able to get it in.

MR. SHALIKAR: Honestly, I wouldn't even give this to them because it's not ready. I wouldn't even present this to them now because it's just not accurate.

 $\,$ MS. MOENCH: But that's what I'm saying. They need time to revise their plan.

MR. SHALIKAR: Yeah.

MS. MOENCH: And get before the fire bureau.

MR. SHALIKAR: Yes, that'll be my recommendation.

MS. MOENCH: If we need to have a date certain, it would have to be December 4th because that would be the only time that they would be able to get before the fire bureau.

MR. VACARELLO: And going in front of the fire bureau, what

is that for?

 $\,$ MR. LEVITON: It would be a submission of your plan to them for their review.

MR. VACARELLO: Okay.

 MR. LEVITON: So, you would have to nail down specifically what it is you're requesting and what you're going to be building, and before we go further let me talk to you about some options here. You've heard some thoughts from some board members who had strong feelings. This is a large board as you can see, but only seven votes will count. So, you can do some quick math in your head. You may not want to come back another time. You may want to take your chances. This is a C variance. You'll only need a plurality of members. You'll need four affirmative votes. Three against you will not kill your application. So let me just continue on down the line. Is there anyone else with strong feelings that they want to express or positive thoughts that they want to share?

MR. HARRINGTON: I just want to ask one additional question really quick, just clarification. On the building, sixty by eighty, and initially it shows eighty going parallel to the road.

MR. LEVITON: John, your microphone.

 $\,$ MR. HARRINGTON: Sorry, shows eighty going parallel to the road and this is what I want to clarify. Is eighty parallel to the road or is it sixty?

MR. RAGO: The final plan, most likely, will be sixty facing the road.

MR. LEVITON: See, that's why you have a problem. When you say most likely, you're not sure.

MR. RAGO: It is.

MR. LEVITON: That's a problem, but it's on the record and we know.

MR. RAGO: It's more so that this unfortunately I picked these drawings up on Tuesday. There wasn't enough time for them to change it when I realized when I was there.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ HARRINGTON: So, and this is what our, I guess I'm having a hard time,

MR. RAGO: Sure.

MR. HARRINGTON: Is you go to the project data and talks about - - speed of 105 miles per hour. Okay so again I'm not construction, but things

just don't match up.

MR. LEVITON: Pole barns are really well-constructed. Think of them like a tent that's --- It's not traditional construction. There's a slab and there's the poles that are recessed into the concrete and everything else is affixed to the sides.

MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah so, it's mechanically engineered.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah.

MR. HARRINGTON: It's solid.

MR. LEVITON: They're built to last forty to eighty years. They're solid.

MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah so, I just wanted to clarify on a couple of those things because it changes when you look at it. From what you're asking is turning it sixty parallel to the road and that would put your garage door perpendicular to the road, but yet the way your driveway's coming in is coming in straight into the building at eighty feet, to the eighty foot side.

MR. LEVITON: Okay.

MR. RAGO: Right, again it was more of a miscommunication with me and the architect than the engineer.

2 3 4

5

6 7

1

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Rago, it's fine. You can see this board doesn't, we don't want to hurt you in any way, and we certainly don't want to see all of your vehicles on a driveway. We want to get you there, but we're not there yet. So, anybody else want to say something?

8 9 10

11

12

MS. LEVENSON: I just have one more question. It might really also be for Brian. The items that we discussed that would be stored in the garage, are those permitted in this zone, a twenty-eight foot boat? Would that be permitted to be stored?

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

MR. BOCCANFUSO: The only thing that would be prohibited is anything associated with a commercial use. So he couldn't rent space to a landscaper. He couldn't have, if he had a landscaping business, he couldn't have his commercial trailers in there. He has a commercial business which most of us know, a mechanic shop. He couldn't have his trucks or vehicles, tow trucks for example, associated with the business in that structure. The way the ordinance reads is no trucks, tractor trailers, tractors for use in pulling trailers, or trailers shall be parked, stored, or garaged in any residential zone. Now, it doesn't differentiate between commercial and utility trailers for things like hobbies, dirt bikes, that type of thing, but generally zoning and code enforcement doesn't really go after the utility trailers especially if they're parked in a garage. If we see those types of things in somebody's driveway, in the front yard and it's an eye sore, then we get a little bit heavier handed with it, but if it were Mr. Rago, say this were approved and he were to park the utility trailer for his dirt bikes or his quads in the garage, it's a gray area, but it's not something that zoning or code enforcement would be going after them for.

333435

MS. LEVENSON: Okay.

36 37

38

39

40

41 42 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Now if we started to see those types of things in the front again in the front yard, on the driveway clearly visible from the roadway, then it could potentially become a problem particularly if there are complaints, but then that kind of circles back to what I was talking about earlier. Maybe there is some benefit to this garage. It could keep those type of things inside. Hope that answers the question.

43 44 45

MS. LEVENSON: No thank you for clarifying that.

46 47

MR. BOCCANFUSO Sure.

MS. LEVENSON: Thank you.

1 2

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Vaccarello, this board can't provide you with legal or strategic advice, but the bottom line is you need to have a plan that's for sure. You need to show us this is what we're building, and now I'll ask you to make a request of the board if it pleases you.

7 8 9

MR. VACARELLO: We just would ask that if we could.

10 11

MR. LEVITON: Speak into the microphone.

12 13

14

MR. VACARELLO: If we could just get some additional time to detail our plan, put it together a little better, get our ducks in order, and come back to the board and present our case to you.

15 16 17

MR. LEVITON: Absolutely. We're going to grant you that time. We need to get it on the record what date specifically.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Mr. Chairman, just before we do that. I would like to just kind of go through a few things that I want to make sure that we get cleared up when we come back. There was some discussion on the utilities. So we need to make sure that there's clear representation to what it is that you guys would like, what you're comfortable not having so that the board can consider that. Alright?

262728

29

MR. RAGO: Can I ask what would be considered actual utilities that would be?

30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Well utilities are electric, water, sewer, cable, gas, cable kind of goes with telecom, all of those. So, if you want any of them, it would behoove you to ask for them. You may also want to speak to your architect about whether, and you'll get some input on this when you go to the fire bureau, but whether fire suppression is needed because it would really be a shame for you to say, you know what we can do without the water and the board says fine no water and then you go for permits and the fire subcode official says you need water. Now you're in a pickle and you're back before the board and you've lost months and a lot of money. So, I don't think anybody wants that. So, I think find out whether you need it so that we can resolve it. Secondly, the orientation of the building is important because both your plot plan and your architectural plan show the garage in the front. Now if you're rotating that building, it's going to change the setbacks. Is it moving forward? Is the front staying where it is and then now it's going to be extending back? That can change the grading. Is it going to be centered in the property? It's going to change the setbacks from the sides. So, it kind of has a

cascading effect. So, I think you need to get clarity on what's going 1 2 to be done there and you need a plan that clearly shows it. I spoke a little bit about the, maybe a little more than a little bit, about the 3 wetlands. That's something you're going to want to talk to your 4 engineer about. Again, he can contact me if he'd like and we can kind 5 of go over that. I'm hopeful that we don't need to require a letter of 6 7 intent, but it's going to be dependent on what he's willing to certify, and again rotating that building now you're pushing a little 8 bit closer to those wetlands. I mean it's only maybe twenty feet, but 9 something to consider. One thing that we did not discuss yet today is 10 any variance application is subject to the sidewalk and curbing 11 requirements in the township. So, what those say is that any property 12 in the town has to have sidewalk and curbing in front of it. Now the 13 board can waive that requirement, but if they do, you're required to 14 15 post a payment in lieu which is basically a dollar amount that's calculated how much would it cost. It goes into a fund so that the 16 township can install sidewalk here or somewhere else in the town if it 17 chooses. It's an either or. You either have to build it or you have to 18 post a payment in lieu. There's no ability for the board to waive it 19 completely. I would point out there's virtually no sidewalk or curbing 20 on this side of the road in proximity to the site. 21

22 23

MR. LEVITON: And we wouldn't ask you to build it, but you'd have to still pay them.

242526

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah so.

27 28

MR. LEVITON: The fund, yeah.

2930

31

32

33 34 MR. BOCCANFUSO: So, you can look at that, review it with your engineer, and maybe you'll want to have it. It's your property. You're going to live there, maybe you want the curbing and the sidewalk. So that's just something to consider and I just wanted to get it on the record now while we're talking about everything. We can work out the details at the next.

35 36 37

MR. LEVITON: So, I saw Mr. Vaccarello, you're taking notes. Do you have any questions for Mr. Boccanfuso?

38 39 40

MR. VACARELLO: No, I'm good now.

41 42

MR. LEVITON: Okay.

43 44

45

46 47

48

MR. BOCCANFUSO: And then of course the fire bureau, I think the best way. Typically, what happens on commercial application is it gets submitted, once it's deemed complete either Janice or our planning board secretary Nancy would send the documents to the fire bureau. In this case, since we think there's probably or likely to be

some changes and clarifications, I think the best approach would be the applicant should get those things cleaned up, the orientation of the building and so forth, submit to the board, and then we can send them to the fire bureau so the fire bureau is looking at the latest and greatest and there's the best chance of everybody working off the same page.

1 2

MR. LEVITON: And it's not likely that you'll be able to do that until the middle of next month when the fire bureau meets again.

 MS. MOENCH: Yeah, they meet on a Monday. I know this Monday coming I believe or this Monday that just passed so we missed it. So you would have to get it into me, clean version. Where you're looking at it and you're like yes Janice this is it. Soup to nuts so that we can get it to them and then. So I think that if we push it to December 4th, we might be good. Right?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: December 4th.

MS. MOENCH: December 4th.

MR. LEVITON: It gives you time to get your ducks in a row, to get the fire bureau.

MR. VACARELLO: Yeah, December 4th yeah.

MS. MOENCH: Get them over to the fire bureau and we can ask them.

MR. VACARELLO: Okay.

MS. MOENCH: Sometimes they do a review if it's easy, sometimes they can do a review without meeting, but I don't know. I wouldn't speak to them, for them I should say.

 $\,$ MR. LEVITON: I think you choose wisely and we look forward to seeing you again soon.

MR. VACARELLO: Thank you everyone.

MR. RAGO: Thank you.

MR. VACARELLO: Have a good night.

MR. LEVITON: At this time, I'm going to go out to the public and ask if there's anyone who wants to address the Board on non-agenda items.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Hold on Mr. Chair just to make sure. 1 2 MR. LEVITON: Yeah. 3 4 MR. BOCCANFUSO: We'll do the announcement. 5 6 7 MR. MARMERO: Yeah, December 4th. Everyone is good with December 4th as the return date? 8 9 10 ALL: Yes. 11 MR. MARMERO: Okay, and obviously there's no one out in the 12 public and if there was anyone that had any issue with December 4th 13 would indicate that this matter was carried. So, if that changes for 14 15 some reason there would be re-notice required, but as long as 12/4 is the date that's met then no new notice is required. 16 17 18 MR. LEVITON: You gentlemen understand that? 19 MR. RAGO: Yes. 20 21 22 MR. LEVITON: Okay. You had a little difficulty noticing. I read some of the correspondence. You did it well and the final 23 analysis if you hadn't, we wouldn't have had jurisdiction to hear your 24 case tonight. So good for you. December 4th or you'll have to re-25 notice this again. 26 27 28 MR. VACARELLO: Very good. 29 30 MR. LEVITON: Okay, have a good night. 31 MR. VACARELLO: Thank you everyone. Have a good night. 32 33 34 MR. LEVITON: Okay, once again, if there's anyone in attendance who wants to address the board on non-agenda items. Seeing 35 none I will close public and ask someone to motion for adjournment. 36 37 38 MR. POCHOPIN: Move to Adjourn 39 40 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Pochopin 41 42 *********** 43 44