MEETING IS CALLED TO ORDER:

4

MR. LEVITON: I call this meeting to order and ask everyone to join me in a salute to our flag.

5 6 7

SALUTE TO THE FLAG

8 9

10

11

12

13

15

MR. LEVITON: Pursuant to section five of the Open Public Meetings Act, notice of this meeting of the Manalapan Township Zoning Board of Adjustment was sent and advertised in the Asbury Park Press. A copy of that notice was posted on the bulletin board right outside this door and has been displayed here in the municipal building. In addition, a copy of the notice is and has been available to the public and is on file in the office of the municipal clerk. We are in compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act. Roll call, please.

16 17 18

ROLL CALL

19 20

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?

21 22

MR. SHALIKAR: Here.

23 24

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Weiss? Not with us. Mr. Harrington? Not here. Ms. Klompus?

25 26 27

MS. KLOMPUS: Here.

28 29

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?

30 31

MR. MANTAGAS: Here.

32 33

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler?

34 35

MR. WECHSLER: Here.

36 37

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin?

38 39

MR. POCHOPIN: Here.

40 41

MS. MOENCH: Ms. Levenson?

42

MS. LEVENSON: Here.

43 44

MS. MOENCH: Ms. Latilla?

45 46

MS. LATILLA: Here.

48

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Hughes? Not here. And Chair Leviton? 1 2 MR. LEVITON: Here. Tonight we are down two full members 3 therefore our first two alternates, Mr. Pochopin and Ms. Levenson's 4 votes will count on this first application and going forward if no one 5 walks through that door all evening long. And there are three public 6 hearings this evening, but our first order of business is to accept 7 the minutes from March 6th. Will someone make a motion and will 8 9 someone second it? 10 MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make the motion. 11 12 MR. LEVITON: I've got Mr. Shalikar making the motion and 13 I've got. 14 15 MR. WECHSLER: I will second. 16 17 18 MR. LEVITON: Mr. Wechsler seconding it. Thank you, 19 gentlemen. 20 21 MS. MOENCH: I'm sorry who made the motion? 22 MR. LEVITON: Josh did. 23 24 MR. WECHSLER: He can't. 25 26 MR. SHALIKAR: Oh, I wasn't there. 27 28 29 MR. LEVITON: Oh yeah. 30 MR. WECHSLER: I'll make the motion to accept the minutes 31 from the last meeting. 32 33 34 MR. LEVITON: And will someone who's eligible please second? 35 36 MR. MANTAGAS: I'll second Mr. Chairman. 37 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Mantagas. 38 39 40 MS. MOENCH: Thank you. 41 42 ROLL CALL 43 MS. MOENCH: Okay Mr. Weiss is not here. Mr. Mantagas? 44 45 MR. MANTAGAS: Yes. 46

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler?

1 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 2 3 4 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? 5 MR. POCHOPIN: Yes. 6 7 MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? 8 9 10 MR. LEVITON: Yes. Okay, and then the same people are going to be eligible to memorialize the one resolution on tonight's agenda, 11 Mr. Marmero. 12 13 MR. MARMERO: Sure Mr. Chairman. This is the one-year 14 15 extension that was granted to the applicant primarily due to outside agency issues. 16 17 18 MR. LEVITON: So, Mr. Marmero I'm confident everyone who has read the minutes from March 6th, they know it was a very terse 19 meeting. Can someone tell me what time we adjourned? I forget. 20 21 MR. WECHSLER: I think it was two minutes after we started. 22 23 MR. LEVITON: I believe 7:31. 24 25 26 MR. WECHSLER: Exactly. 27 MR. LEVITON: But my memory isn't that good, okay. So will 28 someone move to memorialize? 29 30 MR. WECHSLER: I'll make a motion to memorialize ZNE2209EX. 31 32 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Michael and will someone second it? 33 34 MR. POCHOPIN: I'll second it. 35 36 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Daniel. 37 38 MS. MOENCH: I'm sorry who was the second? 39 40 MR. LEVITON: Mr. Pochopin. 41 42 43 MS. MOENCH: Thank you. Okay. 44 ROLL CALL 45 46

MS. MOENCH: Okay, Mr. Mantagas?

Get close to the microphone ---

45 46

MR. MANTAGAS: Yes. 1 2 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler? 3 4 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 5 6 7 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? 8 MR. POCHOPIN: Yes. 9 10 MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? 11 12 MR. LEVITON: Yes. Well, we're almost at the public hearings 13 portion of tonight's meeting. I just want to say today's the first day 14 15 of spring. It's very exciting and I hope everyone's March Madness brackets will go well for them. 16 17 18 MS. BEAHM: Question. 19 MR. LEVITON: Okay. Let us call application ZBE2440. Did we 20 21 take? We did. Let us call ZBE2440. Mr. Pape on behalf of the Orr's Why don't you come up as well. And our board attorney Mr. Marmero will 22 23 swear you both in. 24 MR. MARMERO: And I'll swear our professionals in too first. 25 26 So, I'll get our professionals. Do you swear the testimony you will provide tonight will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 27 the truth? 28 29 30 MS. BEAHM: I do. 31 32 MR. RIZZO: I do. 33 34 MR. MARMERO: Okay and Mr. Pape do you want me to get all your witnesses sworn in at this time? 35 36 MR. PAPE: Sure. 37 38 MR. MARMERO: Okay and who do you have with you? 39 40 MR. PAPE: We have Joseph Primiano, James Higgins, and the 41 42 applicant. 43 44 MS. MOENCH: If you could just speak into the microphone.

MR. MARMERO: Okay and if you'll each raise your right hand. Do you all swear that the testimony you'll provide tonight will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

1 2

MR. PRIMIANO: I do.

MR. DIGGINS: I do.

MR. ORR: I do.

MRS. ORR: I do.

MR. MARMERO: Okay go-ahead Mr. Pape.

MR. PAPE: Thank you. Good evening, Mr. Chair, board members, board professionals. My name is Jared Pape. I'm an attorney with the law firm of Heilbrunn Pape and our office represents the applicants Mr. and Mrs. Orr.

MR. LEVITON: Let's get Mrs. Orr a chair. Mrs. Orr grab yourself a chair and just come on up and be comfortable and Mr. Pape let me interrupt for a moment. I'm assuming Mr. Orr you're Joanne's son.

MR. ORR: Yes.

MR. LEVITON: This board granted the Orr's the variance relief that they needed to build the oversized garage that's on the property.

MR. ORR: The property next door.

MR. LEVITON: Next door and I can't tell you what year that was, but I can tell you in probably 2003. I left my five-year-old daughter with your mom before school and she got her on the bus and then she went to school from your house.

MR. ORR: Oh yeah?

MR. LEVITON: So, other than that we have no dealings together and there's nothing that lets me believe I need to recuse myself, but I'm going to confirm that. Mr. Marmero?

MR. MARMERO: No, it's just someone you've known in the past, no legal conflict.

MS. MOENCH: If you guys could just bring the microphones in closer.

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

272829

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

3738

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

1 MR. MARMERO: Sure.

MS. MOENCH: Thank you.

MR. LEVITON: Okay, Mr. Pape, tell the board what it is that you're here for this evening, and you can put on your affirmative case.

MR. PAPE: Thank you. Well, as it sounds like you know, Mr. and Mrs. Orr have been lifelong residents of Manalapan Township, and their family has owned property in this area for nearly a century, dating back to when it was primarily farmland. In fact, Mr. Orr's parents live next door, as was just mentioned. They're seeking to add an addition to the rear of the existing house on the property with some ancillary improvements as well as a pool and a shed to accommodate their growing family. This house was built around 1965, and it was never deemed a pre-existing, non-conforming use. Residences are not permitted in this zone, so we're here seeking a D1 use variance relief, and there's some technical bulk variance relief as well that we'll address in testimony. I also want to address that we had a TRC meeting with the board professionals. There was a request for some wetland information. So, our client hired DuBois Environmental Consultants to go and investigate the property, and they confirmed all of the proposed improvements are well outside of the wetland and buffer area, and that was put in a report that was submitted to this board.

MR. LEVITON: We have it.

MR. PAPE: Thank you. Also, I want to make clear that the applicant is requesting to contribute to the Sidewalk and Curbing Capital Contribution Fund in lieu of installing sidewalk, as no sidewalk exists in the area, which is depicted on the exhibit that was passed out in front of you, but we will defer to the board on that matter. So, with that as the introduction, I've asked Mr. Orr to just make a brief statement as to the reason they're looking to do these improvements. He's been sworn, so pass it to Mr. Orr.

MR. ORR: Yeah, hi, everyone, Tom Orr 88 Highway 33.

MS. MOENCH: Closer, closer.

MR. ORR: My wife, Larissa, and I are excited. We both grew up in Manalapan. The property we purchased as Jared mentioned was part of a family history for four or five generations ago. It was farmland for us. Currently my parents live next door. Down the street my grandmother lives and there's some homes that my family built way back

when. We're excited for our kids to grow. We continue the tradition 2 and the addition and improvements we're planning on provides some extra space and updates to the home. It's an older home so. 3

4 5

1

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Orr.

6 7

MS. BEAHM: Mr. Chair would you like me to just summarize what we're doing here right now?

8 9 10

MR. LEVITON: Why don't we let Mr. Higgins put his testimony on the record and then you can address any concerns that you may have.

11 12 13

MS. BEAHM: Okay.

14

MR. LEVITON: Okay.

15 16 17

MR. PAPE: Our next witness will be Mr. Primiano just to outline the specific improvements. So, if that's acceptable we'll ask him to.

19 20 21

18

MS. BEAHM: He's an architect?

22 23

MR. PAPE: Correct.

24 25

MR. LEVITON: Absolutely. Mr. Primiano why don't you come on up and Mr. Marmero will swear you in.

26 27 28

MR. MARMERO: I've gotten him sworn in, but if you could state your name again for the record sir.

29 30 31

32

33 34

MR. PRIMIANO: Sure, Joseph Primiano, P-R-I-M-I-A-N-O. I'm a licensed architect in the state of New Jersey and ten other states. I've testified between around a hundred boards in New Jersey all throughout this area in Millstone, Monroe, Marlboro. I've testified before this Board numerous times. I actually used to sit on this board for many years. I used to give Jared's father a hard time.

36 37 38

35

MR. MARMERO: I would assume that's sufficient Mr. Chair?

39 40

MR. LEVITON: Yes Mr. Marmero. The board accepts Mr. Primiano's credentials.

41 42 43

MR. PAPE: Thank you. Mr. Primiano I'm just going to ask if you can identify briefly the existing improvements on the site, what's being proposed, and then from there just to identify the specific bulk variances that are being requested?

46 47

44

MR. PRIMIANO: Sure. So, currently there's a ranch house with an unfinished basement. It's approximately 1,744 square feet. The applicant is looking to do a rear addition as part of this project. The rear addition is about 999 square feet, so put the total amount of livable space at 2,743, which is a decent living space. Right now, the rooms are smaller. The space is kind of undersized for the Orr's' family. So, they're looking to expand towards the rear to increase the interior room sizes and just get the family a little bit more space, but because of the narrowness of this lot and the zone that it's in, you could see on our site plan that the setback line is literally a line. There's a fifty-foot setback, and the left side line crosses and hits the right-side line. So even if you put something that was literally an inch wide, we would be here in front of the Board because of that setback issue.

1 2

MS. BEAHM: You're talking side yard setback?

MR. PRIMIANO: Side yard setback, correct. In the front, it complies. It's a seventy-five-foot front yard setback, and the existing is at 112. So, the new variances are for the side yard setback. There's also a gross floor area. There's a minimum that's required in this zone, which is five thousand square feet; existing is at 2,380. After the expansion, it'll be 3,379. So, we're getting closer to compliance, but it would need to be five thousand square feet, which in this case would be excessive, and just they don't need that much space, and then there's also a variance for side yard setback for the accessory structure, which is the pool. So, there's a shed and a pool that's being added, and again, no matter where these -

MS. BEAHM: I don't think that's true. The setback for a pool is ten feet.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ PRIMIANO: Then scratch that. We don't need a side yard setback for the pool.

MS. BEAHM: Okay.

 MR. PRIMIANO: We will take that off. That's a compliant pool. Thank you. So, again all the work that's being requested is taking place towards the rear of the property. So, it won't be visible from the highway. I don't know if you wanted me to go into more specifics.

MR. PAPE: I think that's all we're asking at this time unless there's any questions from the board.

1 MR. LEVITON: Board members? You're good Mr. Primiano, thank 2 you.

MR. PRIMIANO: Thank you.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Before you leave Mr. Primiano, you had spoken about the pool. Ms. Beahm is correct. It is ten feet to the floor and the associated patio, but I think Mr. Rizzo's report and maybe Jen's report as well identified a shed setback, an accessory structure to the shed.

MR. PRIMIANO: So, we are proposing a shed. It's twelve by sixteen and we're at 18.2 feet.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay.

MR. PRIMIANO: From the side.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Great.

MR. PRIMIANO: Thank you.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you and we're fortunate in that it's Ms. Beahm herself who writes the ordinances for Manalapan Township and amends them and when she says we're good, we're good.

MR. PAPE: So, our final witness is Mr. Higgins. He's been sworn, but I'll ask him to put his credentials on the board.

MR. LEVITON: He won't need to. He's welcome back as always.

MR. HIGGINS: Thank you. Thank you very much.

MR. LEVITON: You're welcome.

MR. PAPE: So, Mr. Higgins I'll pass it to you to provide the necessary proofs for the variance.

MR. HIGGINS: Surely. Well, you've heard the Applicants proposing to expand an existing single-family residence. It's in the SED-5 zone. Single family residences are not permitted because there is no certificate of non-conformity that anybody knows of on this site. Technically, we're looking for a D1 variance for a use that's not permitted in the zone. Quite frankly, I think the special reasons are self-evident in this instance. First of all, the site is particularly suited for a single-family residence for a number of reasons. The size of the site, at 1.43 acres where five acres would be required for an SED-5 permitted use. The size of the site is much more

appropriate. The width of the site is much more appropriate, and quite 1 2 frankly, the site's been used for a single-family residence for sixty years and the properties on either side are single-family residences. 3 They've been there for approximately sixty years, and the properties 4 to the north of the site are single-family residences and open space 5 associated with single-family residential development. So the site's 6 surrounded by single-family developments so I think in that regard 7 alone it's particularly suited for the use. The site's clearly not 8 appropriate for any of the uses permitted in the SED zone which are 9 all commercial uses or industrial uses. Some of them are very 10 intensive. You can't put anything on the site that complies with the 11 SED zone requirements because of the very narrow width of the site and 12 the small size of the site. So, when I look at all that, I think 13 clearly special reasons exist for the granting of a D1 variance on 14 15 this site and that generally the courts have determined that the bulk variances are generally subsumed within the D1 variance. So, the bulk 16 17 variances I think are reasonable for a single-family residence. They're consistent with the other single-family residences in the 18 immediate area of the site. So, I don't see a problem with those 19 variances being granted, and as far as consistency with the master 20 plan and the zoning ordinance. Again, the ordinance requires five 21 acres and you clearly don't have five acres on this site. You have 22 23 residences on either side of the site that are viable residences that are going to continue to exist. So, I don't think that there's any way 24 this could be reasonably developed for a SED-5 zoning in compliance 25 with the SED-5 zone. So, I look at all that, I think there's special 26 reasons and there's no substantial detriment. 27

28 29

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Higgins. Ms. Beahm does he need to put?

303132

33 34

35

36

3738

39

40

41 42

43

MS. BEAHM: I think that I agree with everything you said. I think that the zoning anticipates a commercial use. Five acres, fifty-foot side yard setbacks, the gross floor area is anticipating a commercial, industrial use not a residential use. So, I take no exception to what they're asking for and I do agree with Mr. Higgins that under Himeji the bulk variances are subsumed within the use variance because this lot in particular is not appropriate, I agree, for commercial development. It's like a bowling alley lot. It's narrow and deep so you're not really going to get and has been developed as a single-family home and I get the fact that there's no official non-conforming status. So technically when the zoning goes into place, an applicant has a year to get that status verified by the zoning officer or they have to come here for whatever ratification, let's just say.

44 45 46

MR. HIGGINS: Certificate of non-conformity.

47 48

MS. BEAHM: Correct. Had they come here.

MR. LEVITON: Let me interrupt you.

 $\,$ MS. BEAHM: I have no doubt that had they come here and requested that, that this board would have given them that status.

MR. LEVITON: So essentially the only reason that they're here is because they're in the wrong zone.

MS. BEAHM: The house is on 33 and it's on 33 locals at just before it merges into 33.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah.

MS. BEAHM: Business. It's been there. The only reason that they're here is it's a residential use, existing for however long, they're looking to put a modest, one-story addition to make the square footage 2,700 square feet which I think is a modest-sized home. They're here putting the pool and the shed on the plans to get approval as well because if they come back later to put a pool in, they're not permitted. They'd have to come back to us. So, they're here now. They mine as well put it all on the table. I take no exception to anything. I think it's a modest request. It looks like a lot of relief on paper because the site is significantly undersized for the commercial zone. Nothing that they're doing is really exacerbating anything. They're not going closer to the side lot line with the addition. It's staying in line with the existing structure. I take no exception to anything that they're proposing.

MR. LEVITON: We appreciate your input, Ms. Beahm, but for my own edification, I want to call on Janice for a moment and for Brian. I've been here ten years. Janice, you're nine, I'm fairly certain. You're here nine years?

MS. MOENCH: Yeah, it'll be ten this year.

MR. LEVITON: Okay, I'm going on eleven. I don't think I've ever seen anyone ask this board for a certificate of non-conformity. Did Nancy get those requests behind the scenes? Have you ever seen one? Brian, have you?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I have not, but it would've needed to happen within a year of the time that the zoning ordinance.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah, I heard Janice say that, but we've had lots of pre-existing, non-conforming matters appear before this board in the past.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Sure, but to get them legitimized. I mean, it's rare because usually the zoning ordinances went into effect twenty, twenty-five, thirty years ago. People didn't know what they know now. I mean you really would've had to be on top of things to get that certificate. So, it's usually just kind of an existing, non-conforming uses that have continued and then when they want to change things, they end up before us like the applicant is today.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 2

3

4

5

6

MS. BEAHM: And the applicant hasn't argued with the status so technically if they had come to the board and gotten that preexisting status, we'd be at a D2 situation which is a different burden which is can the site accommodate the increase which I think it can, but they did the full D1 proofs and I still agree with Mr. Higgins. So, they went above and beyond, provided us testimony to justify it as a D1 to be safe and make sure that everything is done appropriately. I agree with you. It happens a lot in many towns, but at the end of the day technically if they don't and I think that's Jared what you were saying, right? Technically, we don't have the certificate which is why they put proofs on for D1. They did what they had to do a hundred percent correctly and now should the board act in the affirmative then this applicant now has the right to rely upon the fact they've received the use variance and they can go forward. If they want to put another addition on, this approval if you guys are going to approve it would change the application in the future.

242526

27

MR. LEVITON: Thank you for the clarification. Mr. Higgins, Mr. Pape, thank you for your thoroughness. Is there anything further that you want to put on the way of testimony?

28 29

MR. PAPE: We have nothing further.

30 31 32

MR. LEVITON: Then let's go out to the board and we'll start.

333435

36

3738

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Mr. Chairman, before you do that just one thing I can weigh in on. I think it was in Mr. Rizzo's report as well. There is a driveway that services both this property and the neighboring property which I guess is owned by the Orr's' parents. The driveway setback requirement is ten feet. Obviously, this doesn't comply because it straddles the property line. I don't take any issue with the relief, but I think the relief should go on the record. I think it's still subsumed into the D. All the previous discussion and testimony is relevant, but I just want to make sure it's on the record so that if the board acts affirmatively and the applicant seeks to repave or improve the driveway in the future they could do so without having to come back to the board.

MR. LEVITON: So, for the public and for new board members the zoning administrator, Mr. Boccanfuso, is taking into consideration another zoning matter. I don't want to call it a problem, but next door the driveway infringes on the front setback and it's probably in the right-of-way off of 33 business.

1 2

 $\,$ MR. BOCCANFUSO: Well, all driveways, almost all driveways, extend into the right-of-way anyway.

MR. LEVITON: Okay.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Otherwise, how else would they get to the street?

MR. LEVITON: Okay. So, we're going to subsume the relief that that needs to be legitimized into this application.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Correct and Mr. Pape do you happen to know if there's an easement in place for that driveway, a shared access easement or anything along those lines?

MR. PAPE: We looked into it. To our knowledge, we didn't find any easement or agreement. I think it's been that way as far as we know since those properties were constructed.

 $\,$ MR. BOCCANFUSO: Would you be willing to execute such an agreement? I know your client and their parents live there now that may not always be the case.

MR. PAPE: To be clear, that side, the parents are on the other side.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay.

MR. PAPE: So, we would be open to reaching out to the neighbors to see if they'd be open to.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Oh, I'm sorry so the parents don't live on the shared driveway side?

MR. PAPE: Right, that's what I'm saying.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay.

 MR. PAPE: The parents are on the other side of the property. So, this would be a different set of neighbors, but we are open to reaching out to them to see if they'd be open to executing

some kind of cross-access agreement. Like I said, that arrangement has been in place for.

MR. HIGGINS: And the other thing is it's a shared driveway, but the two driveways can function independently.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Independently.

MS. BEAHM: So, it's one per --- the width of two driveways.

 $\,$ MR. HIGGINS: It's just a matter of --- yes, yes, it's just a matter of ---

MR. RIZZO: Do the driveways touch the entire length?

 $\,$ MR. HIGGINS: It's two curb cuts. They go in and they meet in the site.

MR. RIZZO: So, they meet further back.

MR. HIGGINS: Yeah, yeah, they meet further back, yes.

MR. RIZZO: Short of getting any easement on the record.

MR. HIGGINS: It's just been an agreement of convenience between the neighbors and it's worked this way for sixty years.

MR. RIZZO: But your driveway will continue to function where you don't need to actually cross over the property line to get in and out.

MR. PAPE: That's correct.

MR. HIGGINS: Yeah, yes.

MR. PAPE: Functionally each neighbor just uses their portion of the driveway and the connection really probably more functional for a K turn or something like that, but it's not needed to actually.

MR. RIZZO: But you have your own turnaround space beyond that point, correct?

MR. PAPE: Each driveway has its own separate turnaround space, correct.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay with that said Mr. Chairman, I don't think the easement is necessary. I misunderstood. I thought they both

47 48

relied on the same access. Maintenance can become a problem that type 1 2 of thing. 3 4 MR. LEVITON: Thanks Brian. 5 MR. BOCCANFUSO: But in this case, they each have their own 6 driveway they just happen to be connected within the property. 7 8 9 MR. LEVITON: Jordan, anything from you, sir? 10 MR. RIZZO: Just one more thing, Mr. Chair, this is my 11 second review letter. Everything from the first one was generally 12 addressed with the resubmission and through testimony. Just the last 13 thing, I guess, is tree removal, and you are removing some trees. So 14 15 you'll just get the necessary permits you need. 16 MR. PAPE: Yes. 17 18 MR. RIZZO: To do that. 19 20 21 MR. PAPE: We agree. 22 MR. RIZZO: That's all I have, thank you. 23 24 MR. LEVITON: Joshua? 25 26 MR. SHALIKAR: No questions, thank you. 27 28 29 MR. LEVITON: Stacey? 30 MS. KLOMPUS: No questions. 31 32 33 MR. LEVITON: Basil? 34 MR. MANTAGAS: No questions Mr. Chairman. 35 36 MR. LEVITON: Michael? 37 38 MR. WECHSLER: No questions Chair. 39 40 MR. LEVITON: Daniel? 41 42 MR. POCHOPIN: I'm good. No guestions, thank you. 43 44 MR. LEVITON: Jessica? 45

MS. LEVENSON: No questions.

MR. LEVITON: Temika?

MS. LATILLA: I just have a question about the height of the shed. Just to make sure it's compliant.

MS. MOENCH: I'm not picking her up at all.

MS. KLOMPUS: She just wants to know the height of the shed.

MR. MANTAGAS: Does she have a mic?

MS. BEAHM: I don't think she has a mic.

MS. LATILLA: I don't remember what the requirement is, but.

MS. BEAHM: If it's ten feet, then it's compliant.

MS. LATILLA: Okay.

 $\,$ MR. RIZZO: Yeah, this is a commercial zone so technically it's a lot higher than that.

MS. BEAHM: Is there a requirement for a replacement --- commercial zone or it's not applicable ---

MR. BOCCANFUSO: It depends. What would happen is they'll need a pull tree removal permit. The township forester will look at it. We just modified our ordinance. I'm not exactly sure what the requirements are. In some cases, you have to replace. In some cases, you don't, depends on a number of factors.

MS. LATILLA: Okay.

MS. BEAHM: So, Jared you comply with whatever that requirement is?

MR. PAPE: Yes, and I believe there's two or three trees that are anticipated to be removed. I think they're shown on the plan and we will agree to comply with what was just stated.

MR. LEVITON: We have the Shade Tree Commission here in town. You both know? Okay, and the applicant already agreed to work with Mrs. Shapiro from the Shade Tree Commission and we thank them for their compliance.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Sorry to disappoint you Mr. Chairman, we don't have a Shade Tree Commission anymore.

MR. LEVITON: We don't?

2

1

MS. BEAHM: No.

4 5

MR. BOCCANFUSO: We absorbed it into the Environmental Commission.

6 7 8

MR. LEVITON: It's in the Environmental Commission then. So, they're going to work with Ms. Beth Ann. Is that correct?

9 10 11

12

13

MS. BEAHM: Although they'll --- the permit and the forester will review it. If it's two or three trees I don't necessarily know if it's going to raise to the level --- They've agreed to comply with whatever we require.

141516

17

18

19

MR. LEVITON: Okay, let me go out to the public and ask if there's anyone in attendance who wants to question any of the expert testimony entered onto the record this evening or address the board for any matters presented to the board. Seeing none, I'll close public. Mr. Marmero, do you require anything further?

202122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

3738

39

40

41 42

MR. MARMERO: No, but I can sum up the relief that the applicant is seeking for your motion. So, you heard testimony regarding this existing, single-family home which is located in the SED-5 district where residences aren't permitted. The applicant needs the D1 variance and then in addition there are some bulk variances the applicant is looking to subsume. Those would include the lot area bulk variance, the various side yard setback variances that are attributable to the driveway and the existing home. You heard testimony that the side yard setbacks actually overlap, that the lot is so narrow. We heard testimony in the reports regarding the minimum gross floor area variance that's needed. Again, this is a commercial area so a minimum of five thousand square feet is actually needed. Obviously, a home wouldn't meet that minimum, and then you got the minimum improvable lot area, the minimum improvable lot diameter, and the lot frontage variance. In terms of conditions that were discussed, the applicant agreed to get all necessary permits regarding tree removal. Of course, in addition to any other required outside agencies. The only question I think you guys need to consider is the sidewalk contribution. Obviously, there's a requirement for sidewalk. The applicant at the onset did ask to contribute to the sidewalk fund instead so if you were to agree to that, that would be a condition. If not, the condition would be that sidewalk is installed.

43 44 45

MR. LEVITON: So, you understand that? Let's ask Jennifer what she thinks. Jen?

MS. BEAHM: So, I think for a single-family homeowner along 1 2 this stretch that's putting a modest addition, I think imposing the installation of sidewalk, and you know me, I'm always pushing for the 3 installation of sidewalk, I think that this particular application the 4 waiver is appropriate, and the contribution could be utilized in a 5 different location within the township. 6 7 8 MR. LEVITON: Thank you so much Ms. Beahm. Let me ask someone to make a motion. 9 10 MS. KLOMPUS: I'll make the motion. 11 12 13 MS. MOENCH: I'm not picking. 14 15 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Klompus. She moved. 16 MS. MOENCH: I need it in the microphone. 17 18 19 MS. KLOMPUS: I'll make the motion, thank you. 20 21 MR. LEVITON: To approve or to deny? 22 23 MS. KLOMPUS: To approve, sorry. 24 25 MR. LEVITON: Okay. 26 MR. SHALIKAR: I'll second the motion. 27 28 29 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Stacey. Thank you, Josh., 30 ROLL CALL 31 32 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar? 33 34 MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. 35 36 MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus? 37 38 MS. KLOMPUS: Yes. 39 40 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas? 41 42 MR. MANTAGAS: Yes. 43 44 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler? 45 46 47 MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? 1 2 MR. POCHOPIN: Yes. 3 4 MS. MOENCH: Ms. Levenson? 5 6 7 MS. LEVENSON: Yes. 8 MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? 9 10 MR. LEVITON: Congratulations Orrs. Mr. Orr, my best to your 11 wife. 12 13 14 MR. ORR: Thank you. 15 MR. LEVITON: You're welcome. 16 17 MR. PAPE: Thank you very much. 18 19 MR. LEVITON: You're welcome. 20 21 MR. MARMERO: Congratulations. 22 23 MR. LEVITON: Have a good evening, everyone. By the way, on 24 your way out I'll let you know that your application will be 25 memorialized at our next regular meeting and you will not need to be 26 here at that time. 2.7 28 29 MR. ORR: Thank you. 30 31 MR. LEVITON: You're welcome. It's very nice to meet you, Jared. 32 33 34 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Crazy right? 35 36 MR. LEVITON: Thank you to our professionals as always. They're no longer needed and they've packed up and they're on their 37 way out the door. Mr. Rizzo, Ms. Beahm a pleasure as always. 38 39 40 MS. MOENCH: Jordan do you have all your stuff right? You have all your stuff from? 41 42 43 MS. BEAHM: Good night, everybody. 44 45 MR. LEVITON: Okay, next up is ZBE2502, Mr. Cherbini. Hello sir. How are you tonight? 46

MR. CHERBINI: Alright, and yourself?

 $\,$ MR. LEVITON: Pretty good. Make yourself comfortable. Mr. Marmero will swear you in.

4 5

6

MR. MARMERO: Alright, so if you raise your right hand. You have it raised. Do you swear the testimony that you will provide tonight will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

7 8 9

MR. CHERBINI: Yes.

10 11

MR. MARMERO: Okay.

12 13

MR. CHERBINI: Good evening.

1415

MR. LEVITON: And to you. Tell the board what it is that brings you here this evening and what you need from them.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

3536

3738

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

MR. CHERBINI: Good evening. I'm the new homeowner at 38 Kensington Drive, which is at the corner of Kensington and Tennent Road. When I purchased the house, of course, you wait to get the survey, and you can't change your mind, kind of where we were at that point, and I didn't realize at the time which I should have that Tennent Road has a seventy-five-foot setback for front yard. Well, since it's a corner house, it's got two front yards. So, the seventyfive-foot setback, if you could see, I could give everybody a plan, is straight down the center of my rear of my property. Therefore, putting a fence up, even if it's a three-foot fence, which is allowed in a front yard, would be straight across on a diagonal across my property. What I'm looking for relief tonight is I'm looking to put up, first off, a six-foot fence along the Tennent Road side which is the second front property which is a variance that I would need for over the three foot and that would be a vinyl fence, and then across the front also I'm looking to put the same six-foot fence on the one side. The other side, I believe I'm in compliance, but it's the side on Tennent Road, and then I'm looking across the back which I have a row of arborvitaes that are already there, and I'm going to put a four foot chain-link fence across the back kind of so that my neighbors don't have, my neighbors who have a house like that's their side yard, they wouldn't be looking at a six-foot fence. They're looking at the trees. It wouldn't even come above that. I don't need it. I need it mostly because I have a small dog. It doesn't need a large fence, but we are here to have some privacy in our backyard. Being on Tennent Road already, it's kind of noisy, but we knew that when we bought the home. We just didn't know that getting a fence, I would need to go and get a bulk variance for it. So technically I need it for that and part of the back fence is also, a large part of the back fence, is also within the right-of-way. So, as you could see, there's not a lot of room to

do much. I mean if I wanted to put my dog out there, I would have a diagonal fence across my property just to be in compliance.

 MR. LEVITON: So, for the public and for my board, a right-of-way in zoning refers to land set aside for public uses like streets or sidewalks. In this case, I know there are utilities I see on your survey, but they're not where your fence is going to be. The right-of-way runs with Tennent Road. Is that right Brian?

 $\,$ MR. BOCCANFUSO: I'm not sure that I understand the question Mr. Chair.

MR. LEVITON: He wants to put the fence in the right-of-way, and I'm trying to.

MR. CHERBINI: No, I want to put it within the setback, not the right-of-way. The right-of-way is huge. It's a thirty-foot right-of-way, we're nowhere near that.

MR. LEVITON: It's a thirty-foot right-of-way? I thought it was a hundred-foot right-of-way.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes, it is a hundred-foot right-of-way. I think what Mr. Cherbini means is they have thirty feet from the curb to the property line.

MR. CHERBINI: Yeah.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: So that portion of the right-of-way is thirty feet wide which is rather large. It's not typical.

MR. LEVITON: Okay. So, I understand now and I still used it as a teachable moment. I hope that it had impact somewhere. Let's go to the board. Josh, what are your thoughts?

MR. SHALIKAR: I'm going to have to sympathize with you with the lot shape certainly. Why the six-foot vinyl on the right side?

MR. CHERBINI: It's the privacy. It matches my neighbor. It matches the area. Ultimately, I don't know how it happened in a lot of other areas. I mean I don't always ask questions, but there's a lot of fences that are within this right-of-way in this seventy-five-foot setback and if you go down the road just a little bit, there was actually houses put within the seventy-five-foot setback. So somewhere it was approved when those new homes were built that homes could be within the front of those yards could be less than seventy-five feet, but I still have to comply with the old one.

46 47 on Tennent Road.

PAGE 22 MR. SHALIKAR: Understood. So front side, six-foot vinyl 1 2 side, right side, six foot vinyl rear is going to be four foot chain-3 link? 4 MR. CHERBINI: Right so it's just a side yard, but we have 5 to call it the two side yards because it faces a corner, side corner. 6 7 MR. SHALIKAR: I don't think I have additional questions Mr. 8 9 Chairman. 10 MR. LEVITON: Temika let's go down to your end. 11 12 13 MS. LATILLA: I mean, no I'm good. 14 15 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Temika. Jessica? 16 MS. LEVENSON: No questions. 17 18 19 MR. LEVITON: Thanks Jessica. Dan? 20 21 MR. POCHOPIN: That shed sir, was existing? 22 MR. CHERBINI: It's gone. It's gone now. That was an issue. 23 Again, during the zoning CO they had to have it removed and they told 24 me well if they try to move it, it's going to come down so I just ---25 and told them to get rid of it so. I have no shed. 26 27 28 MR. POCHOPIN: Thank you. 29 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Dan. Mike? 30 31 MR. WECHSLER: No, I don't think it's going to interfere 32 with line of sight on Tennent either being very familiar with that 33 turn. I think we're in good shape. 34 35 36 MR. LEVITON: Thanks Michael. Basil? 37 MR. MANTAGAS: No questions Mr. Chairman. 38 39 40 MR. LEVITON: Stacey? 41 42 MS. KLOMPUS: No questions. 43 MR. LEVITON: They're inclined to grant your wishes Mr. 44

Cherbini and I would hate to see a little dog hop a three-foot fence

MR. CHERBINI: Yeah, yeah, he's about fifteen pounds and he just need a little running space so, but that's really all we're doing it for. It's crazy how much you spend for your dog.

1 2

MR. LEVITON: So, the Municipal Land Use Law of New Jersey cannot anticipate the amount of traffic that your front yard sees on.

MR. CHERBINI: I understand.

MR. LEVITON: Minute by minute basis.

MR. CHERBINI: I sit on a land use board.

MR. LEVITON: Oh, you do?

MR. CHERBINI: Yeah, I do, yes. So, I've sat on Marlboro's for four years. I currently sit on Shrewsbury's land use board. I'm the borough administrator for Shrewsbury. I'm pretty used to doing this. So I've just never been on this side.

MR. LEVITON: You're Shrewsbury's equivalent of our Tara Lovrich.

MR. CHERBINI: Yeah, yes.

MR. LEVITON: You run this building?

MR. CHERBINI: Yes, exactly.

MR. LEVITON: And everyone in this building reports to you.

MR. CHERBINI: Yes, but a smaller level because we're a smaller town, but yeah. We have a lot. I mean listen this is going to be. Here it's a little easier to allow things. In Shrewsbury everybody's a lot closer together. We're much denser. We have four thousand people in two square miles. So, and we have a lot of historic stuff. So every time someone wants to change the color of their house you have to come and get permission from the board, so it's fun.

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Marmero, do you require anything further?

MR. MARMERO: No. I mean just to sum it up. Obviously, we'll open to the public, but to sum up what he needs. So, it was a couple of different variances that come into play here, and most of them deal with fence height. In this area and because of where he's at, the fence height would be three feet at least from where he wants to place it, but he's proposing a six-foot fence in two areas and a four-foot fence in another area. Both would require a height variance, and then

48

the fence would also intrude into the setback as well. So those would 1 2 be the necessary variances this evening. 3 4 MR. LEVITON: Thank you barrister. At this time, I will go out to the public and ask if either of you sitting here this evening 5 want to question Mr. Cherbini about his application or anything he 6 entered onto the record this evening or address the board. Now would 7 be the time. A lot of head shaking in the negative so I'll go out to 8 the board and ask someone to make a motion. 9 10 MR. SHALIKAR: I make the motion to approve the application 11 as is. 12 13 14 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar. Will someone second 15 it? 16 17 MR. MANTAGAS: I'll second Mr. Chairman. 18 19 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Mantagas. 20 21 ROLL CALL 22 23 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar? 24 MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. 25 26 27 MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus? 28 29 MS. KLOMPUS: Yes. 30 31 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas? 32 MR. MANTAGAS: Yes. 33 34 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler? 35 36 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 37 38 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? 39 40 MR. POCHOPIN: Yes. 41 42 MS. MOENCH: Ms. Levenson? 43 44 45 MS. LEVENSON: Yes.

MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?

MR. LEVITON: Congratulation's sir. MR. CHERBINI: Thank you everybody. MR. LEVITON: You're welcome. Good luck with your fence. MR. LEVITON: Does anyone need two minutes or five minutes, a bathroom break, or all good? Phone calls to the kids? Okay, then I'll call application ZBE2503. Is it Amer? MR. AMER: Yeah. MR. LEVITON: Sir, how am I saying that? MR. AMER: It's Reda, Reda Amer. MR. LEVITON: Reda. MR. AMER: Reda R-E-D-A. MR. LEVITON: Reda. MR. AMER: Just Reda. MR. LEVITON: Amer, Mr. Amer, right? MR. AMER: Yes. MR. LEVITON: Okay. MR. AMER: Just Reda's fine. MR. LEVITON: Okay Reda. MR. AMER: I got to do so many accents so it's not just you. MR. LEVITON: What kind of accent is it? MR. AMER: I'm Egyptian, so. MR. LEVITON: Egyptian? MR. AMER: Yes. MR. LEVITON: Okay, Mr. Marmero will swear you in, sir.

MR. MARMERO: Okay if you raise your right hand, we'll get you sworn in. Do you swear that the testimony you will provide tonight will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

1 2

MR. AMER: I do.

MR. MARMERO: Okay.

MR. LEVITON: Get comfortable Reda and tell the Board what you need from us and what brings you here.

 MR. AMER: Well, I bought a house in 2020, 132 Millhurst Road. I did all the permits. I got all the applications to apply to put the second floor. It went to zoning, but for somehow, I think because it was the Covid lockdown, because it was in February. Between emails and phone calls, months passed by, and I never got to respond.

MR. LEVITON: So, you're saying you came to zoning before Covid, Covid hit, months went by, and you never heard anything?

MR. AMER: Yeah, between emails and phone calls I was getting the runaround.

MR. LEVITON: You got the runaround?

MR. AMER: Yeah, I figure maybe because of the Covid. I couldn't just come here at the time to say what's the problem, if there's anything. Then, by November, I just didn't bother. During that time, I got approval for the septic system, the new septic system, for the addition and stuff like that.

MR. LEVITON: From the township?

MR. AMER: From Dana.

MR. LEVITON: From the construction department or from the health department?

MR. AMER: Yes, health department. I got approval already at the time in June or July, and the zoning at that time, she was aware of it. So, she said oh I didn't get it so I said, Dina, she did approval that was over a few weeks ago. Then she emailed me back, Suzanne from zoning at the time. She emailed me back. She said oh yeah, I see, I'm sorry I didn't see it. Should be everything is fine. I got the email a couple weeks after that and it said everything well. So, I didn't, in the subject for the email it said everything well ---- Millhurst, 132 Millhurst. So, I wasn't paying attention and she said, oh it didn't go through. You have to get more, what do you call it? A

survey, put me in a swamp. So, I sent an email. I said I really don't know what's going on.

MR. LEVITON: You're overwhelmed?

MR. AMER: Oh my God.

MR. LEVITON: So, let me try to.

MR. AMER: Because at the beginning in February, when I submit everything, I have a ---, can you please try to finish everything within the next three months. I really appreciate it if you can help me out so I can have a place to stay. I was staying in a hotel at the time in Seaside. So, I have to travel every time, so.

MR. LEVITON: I'm going to have to cut you off right their sir. This Board cannot entertain that type of testimony.

MR. AMER: No, no, it was me going back and forth.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah, but those personal hardships are things we're precluded from considering. Let me.

MR. AMER: I understand. I didn't mean to insult.

MR. LEVITON: It's alright. You're fine, but I'd like to try to bring some clarity if I can between you and me. Maybe we can get there, I just want to back up a little bit.

MR. AMER: Okay.

MR. LEVITON: Let's go back to the beginning. You talked about how you came to zoning and you put in the application before Covid.

MR. AMER: Yes.

 MR. LEVITON: And then it got lost, but when I looked at your application, you had all kinds of problems. You had issues related to not zoning, but to construction. You built everything on the property without permits.

MR. AMER: Oh, okay. Here is what I mean.

MR. LEVITON: And now there are issues related to zoning. You have setback issues in the rear with your shed, and on the side with your property. So, we'll get to them all one by one. What is that you want to show us?

MR. AMER: Oh okay.

 MR. LEVITON: You can't come up and show me because it would be an exhibit that we don't have already. You'd need to submit it to Janice. We'd need to number it. Mr. Marmero would give it a number. We'd mark it. I don't know what you're trying to give us. Before I permit that, tell me what you want to show us.

MR. AMER: It was meant just to give you an idea of what was going on between me and zoning.

MR. LEVITON: Correspondence you mean, letters?

MR. AMER: Yeah between.

MR. LEVITON: Just tell us.

MR. AMER: Emails. I figured because of the Covid. So, when I came back a few months ago, I want to settle the matter. So, I came to zoning and I said what happened between zoning and building. First, I went to the mayor's office and she told me to go over there and she would help me out to get everything straightened out. So, Brian here, —— he got everything straightened out. He looked at everything. He said I need a variance for that area and he said about the shed. He said it's supposed to be fifteen feet from one side, ten feet from that side. I said at the time, when she told me, she told me it's going to be ten feet from each side. That's why, but that's why it was like that, and for the back deck, I don't know if you have the picture.

MR. LEVITON: We do.

 $\,$ MR. AMER: Okay, for the deck I have it as a landing for the back doors here.

MR. LEVITON: We've seen it.

MR. AMER: Oh okay. So, I honest to God I didn't know that I needed a variance for that area because I went straight against the wall. If at that time they told me that I need a variance, I promise I wouldn't even bother with it because I didn't want. I didn't have the time to wait for a variance, but at the time I wasn't aware of it. I didn't even think that I need a variance for that because I went into the cantilever.

MR. LEVITON: Cantilever in the, yeah.

MR. AMER: Thirty inches by eight feet eight inches.

MR. LEVITON: Board members, I'm confident you're all familiar with the application in detail. You've seen Brian's letter. If you have not, I'm going to direct your attention to it now. It's titled, "Memorandum". It's actually a memo to the board, and it's in your file. He has generously given us a way to go back in time. You can't go back. This is a man asking for forgiveness instead of coming to us for permission before. It was a one-level house, a ranch. He went up. The property's probably what we talked about earlier, pre-existing, non-conforming because of its narrowness, the narrowness of the property, and the size of the original house. If he had gone straight up without changing the footprint at all, I believe he would've been okay with the zoning department. Is that correct?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: That's correct.

18 19

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

 $\,$ MR. LEVITON: But he didn't. He had the cantilever that he talked about. That's the only part that comes out.

2021

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes, it's a very small cantilever on one corner of the house.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

3334

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

MR. LEVITON: So, there are ways in Brian's recommendation to grant him the relief that he needs and to legitimize what he seeks in the way of affirmation this evening. However, Brian also recommends that if we're going to go that route, and I support Brian always, I also I'm inclined to not worry about the rear encroachment of the shed five feet into the setback. It's ten feet instead of fifteen because of the small, undersized nature of the lot to begin with. He's not working with a lot. So, the shed to me is de minimis, and also the cantilever is de minimis. So even though it's pre-existing and nonconforming, the part that brings him here this evening is de minimis. So, we are well within our rights to give him the relief that he needs, but we shouldn't lose sight of the fact that he is seeking forgiveness. He has no construction permits, and Brian's note insists that, well he doesn't insist. Brian tells us that even if we grant him relief, he still needs to go to construction and get permitted for everything that he did without permission which is a measure of comfort for us. It insulates us from any guilt feelings that we may have going forward should something unfortunate happen on the property. Also, Brian suggests and I believe we should support the recommendation that Reda needs to install a driveway. It's currently just rocks out there. He's in an area that at one time was very rural, and then I don't know maybe twenty years ago a school popped up next to it. Twenty-five years ago, a school popped up across the street from it, and within the last ten years beautiful homes went up across the street and next door. So, the improvements that were made are in

line with what I would've liked to have seen, so I think we should consider Brian's recommendation. I think that it's sound, it's well thought out, and we appreciate the guidance in this matter. The Chair does. Thank you, Mr. Boccanfuso. What are your thoughts? Let's start with Joshua.

6 7

8

MR. SHALIKAR: So, the approval, I'm sorry the if we were to grant you the relief that you seek it's not a condition. You have to get approval, all the permits and inspections done by the construction department.

10 11 12

13

MR. AMER: I went to the construction and they told me to get everything inspected so I got an engineer. I think I'm not sure if I did.

141516

MR. LEVITON: You don't have. You don't have.

17 18

MR. AMER: A certified letter.

19 20

MR. LEVITON: You don't have to show us. He's just telling

21 22 23 you.

MR. AMER: Oh okay, a certified seal from the engineer. He came and he checked the house, everything and I have documented everything in a flash drive.

252627

24

MR. SHALIKAR: Okay.

28 29

MR. AMER: With everything I did before I cover anything just in situation like that.

30 31 32

MR. SHALIKAR: Okay.

33 34

35

 $\,$ MR. AMER: And I have a hearing in 27 in Ocean County for the building regarding the permit and stuff like that because of the conflict.

3637

MS. KLOMPUS: I'm not understanding, I'm sorry.

38 39 40

MR. SHALIKAR: Can you explain that? Why would you have a hearing in Ocean County?

41 42 43

MR. AMER: Because the chairman for the building department it's in our building department here.

44 45

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I think I can handle that.

46 47 48

MR. AMER: And he's the chairman for the Monmouth County.

1 2 3

 $\,$ MR. BOCCANFUSO: I think I can handle that one. So, there were some fines issued for the work without permits.

MR. SHALIKAR: Okay.

 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Reda has appealed those which he has a right to. However, some of the employees in our in-house construction department sit on the board appeals for Monmouth County. So, it would obviously be a conflict. For that reason, he has to go to another county.

MR. SHALIKAR: Thank you.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: With his appeal. It really has nothing. Yeah, that's fine.

MR. SHALIKAR: It's okay. I would never have even guessed that. So that's totally fine.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: There were some fines issued. He's appealing them and that's the process.

MR. LEVITON: Reda. Reda.

MR. SHALIKAR: Do we know the height of the shed?

MR. AMER: I'm sorry?

MR. SHALIKAR: Have we measured the height of the shed yet?

MR. AMER: Have I?

MR. SHALIKAR: The height of the shed. Have we measured that yet?

MR. AMER: Yes, it's eight feet..

MR. SHALIKAR: Eight feet so okay, very good. And you are okay with doing the driveway, the turn around on property?

MR. AMR: Yeah, how long do I?

MR. LEVITON: You have a year. Brian is that? Albert, what do you think?

MR. SHALIKAR: You're saying how long in depth or time?

41 42

43

44 45

46 47

MR. AMER: How far it goes. It's going to go up to the 1 2 backyard. 3 4 MR. SHALIKAR: But you're okay with this condition? 5 MR. AMER: I have nineteen feet so I guess it's going to be 6 7 like twelve feet all the way down I guess. 8 9 MR. SHALIKAR: Okay. 10 MR. AMER: To the backyard. 11 12 MR. LEVITON: Albert, how long will he have to complete the 13 14 driveway? 15 MR. MARMERO: Typically, you guys do the duration of the 16 variance you're giving now is one year. How long do you want him to 17 complete that driveway? That's not tied to that. I mean that's really 18 up to you. It's really just a thought of what would be reasonable? I 19 mean the outset of a year sounds reasonable to me. 20 21 22 MR. AMER: I would do that before that. I can apply to do it 23 before that I'm just saying the timeframe. 24 MR. LEVITON: That's good then. Brian, any thoughts? 25 26 27 MR. BOCCANFUSO: I think it should be done before a certificate of occupancy is issued. If that's years, I don't think 28 it's years down the road because the construction is essentially done. 29 The reasoning he doesn't have a CO yet is because he doesn't have 30 permits or inspections or approvals. So that could all happen pretty 31 quickly, but I would be in favor of not approving a CO until the 32 driveway is completed. It's a safety issue. 33 34 MR. MARMERO: And if you were to occupy prior to review, I 35 36 think Brian's right, we tie it to the CO. 37 38 MR. LEVITON: We can do that? 39

MR. MARMERO: Yeah.

MR. LEVITON: You understand Reda?

MR. AMER: Yeah, I will try to do driveway.

MR. SHALIKAR: Can we make that a condition of approval?

MR. LEVITON: Okay, that's a safety matter because of your 1 2 proximity to I guess it's a tertiary road. I don't know. It's a tertiary road. My engineer, my administrative slash engineer. 3 4 5 MR. BOCCANFUSO: It's a county highway. 6 7 MR. LEVITON: It's a county highway, very dangerous to back 8 out onto it. 9 10 MR. AMER: I understand. 11 MR. LEVITON: Okay. 12 13 14 MR. AMER: I was planning to do it either way. 15 MR. LEVITON: Okay well now. 16 17 18 MR. AMER: It's just now I'm going to rush it. 19 20 MR. LEVITON: Now you understand it's being tied to your 21 certificate of occupancy? You get that? 22 MR. AMER: Okay, I got it. 23 24 MR. LEVITON: Okay. 25 26 MR. AMER: I understand. 27 28 29 MR. LEVITON: Okay. Temika? Take the mic and speak into it because someone's going to transcribe this later and they're going to 30 31 listen to it. 32 33 MS. LATILLA: I just, the chain-link fence, is that 34 existing? You didn't put that up? 35 36 MR. AMER: The one in the front? 37 MS. LATILLA: The fence on the right side. 38 39 40 MR. AMER: The fence? 41 42 MS. LATILLA: Yes. 43 MR. AMER: It's pre-existing. 44 45 MS. LATILLA: It's been existing? Only because it appears to 46 47 be over the property line at the front end of that property. I'm not

sure if we need to mention that or notate that just because it does

seem to go over. Other than that, I have no other comments. I mean I 1 2 think you said you're working with the construction official and in lieu of sections he's willing to entertain photographs ---3 certifications, right? So as long as you move forward with that to 4 make sure the structures safe, I have no other comments. 5

6 7

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Temika. Jessica?

8 9

MR. AMER: Can I? Is there need for driveway? Do I need to have it at most twelve feet?

10 11 12

13

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I'll address that with you. Let's let the board members ask whatever questions and comments they have. We'll get to that.

14 15 16

MR. AMER: Oh okay.

17 18

19

MS. LEVENSON: I don't have any questions. I do agree with the conditions of getting permits that are necessary. I agree with that.

20 21 22

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Jessica. Dan?

23 24

25

MR. POCHOPIN: Yes, thank you Mr. Chairman. So just a little clarification, the illustrations that you forwarded to the board. Is that the old house?

26 27 28

MR. AMER: Yeah.

29 30

MR. POCHOPIN: Okay, that's the old house. This is the new shed? I believe Mike is holding.

31 32

MR. AMER: That's the new shed.

33 34 35

MR. POCHOPIN: New shed. That's all the way in the back and the color gray on this illustration?

36 37

MR. AMER: That's correct, yes.

38 39 40

MR. POCHOPIN: Okay, so you're going to address all the concerns that all the board members about construction permits and all?

42 43

41

MR. AMER: Okay.

44 45 46

48

MR. POCHOPIN: Along with the fence setbacks and the shed's, 47 etc.? And just another question, this seems to be on the not the side of the driveway.

1 2 MR. AMER: Yeah. 3 4 MR. POCHOPIN: This is the opposite side of the house, 5 correct? 6 7 MR. AMER: Okay. 8 9 MR. POCHOPIN: Is that a sump pump drain? 10 MR. AMER: No, that's septic. The septic system is over 11 12 there. 13 14 MR. POCHOPIN: That pipe coming out? 15 MR. AMER: Oh no that's for the drainage for the basement. 16 17 MR. POCHOPIN: The sump pump? 18 19 20 MR. AMER: Yeah, yeah, the sump pump. I'm sorry. 21 MR. POCHOPIN: Okay so you ---22 23 MR. AMER: I wasn't sure what you. I thought the pipe coming 24 25 from the side. 26 MR. POCHOPIN: That's okay. 27 28 29 MR. AMER: Oh okay. 30 31 MR. POCHOPIN: Yeah, I'm trying to clarify too. 32 33 MR. AMER: Thanks. 34 MR. POCHOPIN: So that looks as if it's six feet or so only 35 36 on that side where it comes down. 37 MR. AMER: Yeah, that's pre-existing. The setback for the 38 house itself, that's all pre-existing. One side is nineteen, fifty-39 40 five inch, I think. 41 42 MR. POCHOPIN: Okay. 43 MR. AMER: Nineteen feet five inches. I'm not sure and the 44

47 MR. POCHOPIN: Okay. Have you ever seen any pooling water or 48 anything on heavy rain storms where the sump pump?

other size is six fifteen, six feet, fifteen.

1 2 MR. AMER: Oh no, no. 3 4 MR. POCHOPIN: No? 5 MR. AMER: No. 6 7 MR. POCHOPIN: Any neighbors? 8 9 10 MR. AMER: No, all the neighbors have been happy that when I built the house. 11 12 MR. POCHOPIN: Okay. 13 14 15 MR. AMER: You know Covid everybody stayed home they only see some guys working, that was me. 16 17 18 MR. POCHOPIN: Very good, very good. 19 MR. AMER: I did all the work by myself, with me and my son. 20 21 MR. LEVITON: You're very handy. 22 23 24 MR. AMER: Yeah, well I work construction. 25 26 MR. LEVITON: Michael? 27 MR. WECHSLER: I have no questions regarding the zoning or 28 29 what he's asking for. 30 31 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Wechsler. Mr. Mantagas? 32 33 MR. MANTAGAS: My main concern is I know during construction 34 there's inspection site times. Framing gets inspected, electrical gets inspected, plumbing gets inspected. That was totally not inspected and 35 36 you did the construction yourself, you're saying right? 37 MR. AMER: Yeah, actually I've been doing that. I'm a 38 39 contractor also. 40 MR. MANTAGAS: Right, but you did everything yourself? 41 42 43 MR. AMER: I did everything, but. 44 MR. MANTAGAS: Complete? Framing, electrical? 45

MR. AMER: Yes, and before I cover anything I have it all with videos. I have a video with everything to show up to code, and I got the engineer. He came and he looked at the video.

1 2

MR. MANTAGAS: But there's no township inspectors involved, building inspectors?

MR. AMER: No because everything was held in zoning and I couldn't do nothing at the time.

MR. LEVITON: Basil, you understand. He will not get a certificate of occupancy until and such a time as the construction department goes out, checks his work, signs off on it.

MR. MANTAGAS: Right, I understand that, but my question is how do they inspect the framing now when the walls closed and the sheet is on the outside? How are they inspecting the integrity of the building?

MR. LEVITON: Don't know, but Temika has.

MS. LATILLA: Well, he said that the construction --- certifications from ---

MS. MOENCH: She needs to have a microphone because ---.

MR. MANTAGAS: So, you had certain engineers check off on your --- and sign off on that?

MR. AMER: I did. I did that. I had an engineer sign off for everything in the house.

MR. MANTAGAS: Electrical, plumbing?

MR. AMER: On January the 25th. He came with a couple of guys and they check everything. They check all the flash drive with all the work I did before the sheet rock, before anything.

MR. LEVITON: We don't need to focus heavily on it because it's not really our purview.

MR. MANTAGAS: Right, right I understand that, but my problem is somebody that just totally disregards the laws, the construction laws of the town because you blame Covid. That's not just poor excuse in my eyes, but my whole issue is sometimes things take longer, I understand. There's red tape involved, right? There was a whole thing going on that was Covid, but you totally disregarded that and blamed it on the zoning board that didn't get back to you. So

that's my problem with this whole situation, but then we're in this situation now. We want to resolve it. So, the building department will inspect everything and give you the certificate of occupancy.

1 2

MS. LATILLA: If they agree with everything he provides. ---

MR. MANTAGAS: Right and if it's not safe.

MR. AMER: I'm going to comply with the driveway.

 $\,$ MR. LEVITON: You're going to have to put in the driveway and you're going to comply with everything that construction tells you, yes.

MR. AMER: On twenty-seven I have a hearing like that.

MR. LEVITON: Yes, that's here nor there as it relates to these proceedings. Basil, we appreciate your thoughts.

MR. MANTAGAS: Okay, thank you.

MR. LEVITON: We share them. We share them.

MR. MANTAGAS: Okay.

MR. LEVITON: Ms. Klompus?

MS. KLOMPUS: I think I would just like to see, and if it can happen, a timeline like a deadline date because being that he didn't do any of the follow up this would just insure that happens or I don't know what happens, but a fine or something.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah, we really have no control over that either. We know he's going to settle the matters related to the fines he's got, and then he's going to reconcile the work that's been done with construction here in town.

MS. KLOMPUS: But he needs to do the zoning before he can do construction, correct?

MR. LEVITON: No, after tonight if this board is inclined to grant the relief that he seeks, he will be done with zoning, but he will never.

MS. KLOMPUS: Well then can we say something like the work of making the driveway has to be done within a year?

 MR. LEVITON: We are. We are actually tying it to the certificate of occupancy which could come within a month's time. Our attorney and our administrator have recommended that he not be granted a CO without the driveway being completed. So he understands the imperative nature of the driveway. It's important and that it's going to be a condition of any relief that we grant him.

1 2

MS. KLOMPUS: Okay.

MR. MANTAGAS: I have one more question for Mr. Amer. You're going to be living in this house? This is your primary residence?

MR. AMER: I've been living.

MR. MANTAGAS: You've been living? You're living in this house?

MR. AMER: Since 2020.

MR. LEVITON: Don't tell us that. That's a problem. With no CO, you cannot be living in that house. This board takes exception to that statement and we're going to defer to our attorney on the matter.

MR. MARMERO: I mean again you're here to legitimize and deal with the items before you. I mean this is on the public record so I mean it is something that the township's aware of. You guys don't have enforcement there, but other authorities do.

MR. LEVITON: Brian, at the administrative level, is there awareness of this matter?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I was not aware, but I can't speak for the construction department.

MR. LEVITON: Brian, what are your recommendations going forward now?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay, so just to clarify a couple of things. First of all, with regard to the construction without permits and inspections obviously it's a concern. I think the board unanimously is concerned with it and rightly so. I'm concerned with it as well. However, I think our chairman summarized it well when he said that's really not our purview. We're here to review the zoning aspects of the application; the setback requirements, the deviation from the zoning regulations, and so forth. If the applicant gets the necessary relief that he needs tonight, the way that the process will move forward from here is the first thing he'll have to do is submit for a zoning permit which he started to in 2020 as he described, had some

back and forth with the zoning officer at the time, and the trail went 1 2 cold. So, he'll have to go back and revisit, submit for a zoning permit. What he will do is he'll submit a permit application with a 3 survey markup, probably the exact one that's before you tonight except 4 that it's going to show the dimensions and geometry of the driveway. 5 That as I understand it would be required if an approval is granted. 6 One thing with regard to the driveway that I want to get to, we need 7 to talk about the setbacks which is something that came up on one of 8 the previous applications, but I'll circle back to that. I just want 9 to go through the process with you so you all understand it. So, he'll 10 submit for a zoning permit application. When an applicant goes before 11 the zoning board and gains an approval, the zoning permit application 12 review is usually a pretty straightforward process. My department's 13 really just going to be looking for it for consistency with your 14 approval. So, let's say its approval gets approved by zoning. Now the 15 entire permitting application goes to the construction department. Now 16 is when he has to deal with all of the work that was done without 17 inspections, without approvals, without permits, and so forth. One of 18 the ways that the construction department may resolve that issue is 19 through third-party certifications which Reda has said he has. Another 20 way is if they want to see framing and electric, he will have to open 21 the walls. That's going to be up to them. They will make that 22 23 determination when the time comes based upon the information that's available to them and so on and so forth. It's their call. It's not 24 within our purview. It's not my area of expertise, but those folks are 25 highly qualified. They're going to get what they need to ensure that 26 the township is protected, that Mr. Reda, that Reda, is protected, and 27 the occupants or visitors to the home are protected, and the house 28 meets all applicable codes. To put it shortly, he's going to do 29 whatever they tell him he has to do. I think that they have to balance 30 being able to visually observe the things that they're supposed to 31 versus kind of not making someone destroy something that's already 32 finished. It's a balancing act for them, but they have to do it. Once 33 that's all done, the conversations will start about a certificate of 34 occupancy which the way things are going tonight if there's an 35 approval, it sounds like the board would be amenable to a condition 36 whereby the driveway would have to be completed before the certificate 37 of occupancy is issued. So, I'll communicate that condition to the 38 construction department. Typically, on a new single-family home, 39 zoning would have to sign off before a CO. This is an addition so I 40 don't know if we would require it, but in this case, I'll make sure 41 42 that we check that the driveway is done consistent with the zoning permit that's approved, and that's the way the process would work. 43 Once the CO is issued, that's confirmed that everything's compliant 44 with the zoning board approval, compliant with the zoning permit, and 45 compliant with all applicable building codes and requirements. Now 46 47 with regard to the driveway itself, the setback requirement is ten

feet. Now, Reda I think you said you were thinking about a twelve foot
wide driveway. Is that right?

MR. AMER: Yeah, I wasn't sure how the width of the driveway.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: So, the driveway proper has to be at least ten feet, but we're going to require a K-turn area. You can put it in the front or the back, whatever you want to do. What we're going to need is we're going to need to see it on a plan, but the question I have for you is so the house is roughly nineteen and a half feet from the property line. How far or how close will the driveway be to the property line? Can you work with five feet, four feet from the property line?

MR. AMER: From the property line?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes.

MR. AMER: I think I can center it out a couple of feet like three, four feet from here, and four feet from here, and ---

MR. BOCCANFUSO: So you want to center it you're saying?

MR. AMER: I'm going to center it.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay. So if it's roughly ten-foot wide driveway, you would have about four and a half feet on each side. So if the board considers a variance for a four-foot driveway setback that mean four feet from the property line, maybe five feet from the house is that something you can live with?

MR. AMER: Yeah.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay.

 $\,$ MR. AMER: Because basically when I park it's all the way in the back. It used to be a parking spot.

 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay and you're going to have to do something similar to that now so that you don't have to back out onto Millhurst Road. Yeah we need to have a turnaround area there for safety.

MR. AMER: Oh I don't back out, no, no. I do the K thing.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Perfect. Okay, so it sounds like Reda's agreeable to a four-foot setback. I would not have any issue with that

conditioned upon the language that was in my memorandum talking about that area between the driveway and the property line being finished in such a way that runoff isn't going onto the neighboring property. So, if the board's receptive to that relief, I wouldn't take any objection to it.

1 2

MR. LEVITON: Thanks Brian. Reda, you're going to have to remember and it'll be in the resolution that we enact at our next regular meeting. It's a four-foot encroachment into the setback and someday down the road when you look to sell your property, if you're not compliant with that, you're going to have a problem. So, you have to let your contractor know, it's only four feet. Don't cross it.

MR. AMER: Alright.

MR. LEVITON: Okay.

MR. SHALIKAR: Can we make it a condition of approval that the driveway is reviewed post construction before we issue the ZCO?

MR. LEVITON: Ask our attorney and ask into the mic.

MR. SHALIKAR: Mr. Marmero, so being that we have already something tied to the ZCO, can we tie this as well that we have to inspect the driveway to ensure that it complies with the setback?

MR. MARMERO: Yeah, I don't see why not is that's something that the board and you guys have pretty --- on conditions and if that's concerning then sure.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah, I'm okay with it. Josh, tell them what you want.

MR. SHALIKAR: Yeah so being that we already have something tied to the ZCO, I'd like to have before we issue the ZCO, the driveway is inspected to ensure that it does comply with the four-foot side yard setback. Are we okay with that? That way it doesn't fall on the seller.

MS. KLOMPUS: Wait a minute, so how can we trigger that?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: So first you're saying ZCO or CO?

MR. SHALIKAR: Sorry CO, I'm sorry I meant CO.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: That's fine I just want to make sure we're all on the same page. If you want post-construction confirmation, the way it would be done with a survey because if we go out there and

inspect it, the property line is invisible. There are landmarks you can try to rough it out, approximate it, but it's very difficult to know with any degree of accuracy or precision.

1 2

MR. SHALIKAR: That's fine.

 MR. BOCCANFUSO: If you're concerned or your focus is on making sure that it's built with a compliant setback, the way to do it is to require an updated survey once the driveway's completed, all construction is completed, and that will tell the story as to where everything is.

MR. SHALIKAR: Great. So I would like for an updated survey. If you guys in agreement to that I think we can make that into a condition.

MS. KLOMPUS: Yes.

MR. SHALIKAR: Chairman?

MR. LEVITON: I have no problem with that as a condition.

MR. SHALIKAR: Okay.

MR. MARMERO: The one question I have Brian, he went and through the construction process and what'll happen when they go out there, we did hear from the applicant that he's living there. Do you know how that you treat that issue? I mean again it's not really our purview. Our resolution is going to read that he has to comply with all conditions of any other permits, outside agencies, and approvals so by nature of the language of our resolution it's going to be clear that you really shouldn't be living there. Do you know how they treat that ---

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I have seen and issued summons, fines. Not summons they would issue typically a fine. The issue is you can't revoke the CO because there is no CO.

MR. MARMERO: Right.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: So, the penalty becomes financial. I know that Mr. Amer has already received some fines. That's why he's going through the appeal process, but I think that was largely for failure to obtain permits. Occupancy without a CO is something different.

MR. MARMERO: And you guys being the board seem to be tying the driveway to the CO which makes sense because it's a safety issue and you don't want him to be there without the driveway being paved if

he's there. Again, it's not really your purview. You're doing the best you can I think to improve the situation, but just be aware. I mean this board can't do anything about you being there without a CO, but other agencies in town can, and they very well might.

1 2

MR. LEVITON: Well, we can't compel you Reda to do anything, but I'm very concerned about it because I'm a very small risk taker, very small, and with you living in the home that you built without oversight or supervision or.

MR. AMER: I know what you mean.

MR. LEVITON: What do you call those things? Permits, without permits, could potentially be a dangerous building that you're living in, and you're living in it unlawfully. You have no authority to be living in that building. So, I'm going to recommend that our attorney sends a letter to the township attorney to this effect, to insulate me from any potential guilt because I would kick myself if I didn't say anything. It has to be said. I'll entertain your thoughts in a moment.

MS. MOENCH: He doesn't have a temporary, Brian?

MR. LEVITON: Does he have a temporary CO and is he permitted to live in there with a temporary CO?

MS. MOENCH: I'm asking.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I don't know.

MR. LEVITON: Albert? Is he permitted with a temporary CO to live there? Obviously.

MR. MARMERO: With the ZCO, he can be there, yes.

MR. LEVITON: Okay so I still want you to send a note to the town to Mr. McLaughlin, our township attorney, and I want him to be forced out of that home if he's there unlawfully. Because if something happens, I'm concerned that this board heard from the applicant's mouth itself that he's living there without a CO, and we didn't do anything, and that I believe that our actions will potentially insulate us from any liability and certainly from personal guilt.

MR. MARMERO: Sure, I think that's fair. Any decision you make tonight we'll do the best that you as a board can do to improve the situation and I think now that you know that information ---

 MR. LEVITON: And I heard the applicant testify that he was living in a hotel and it was a hefty commute, and I'm sensitive to it, but this board cannot consider financial hardships. So now I'll ask you, what are your thoughts?

1 2

MR. AMER: Okay, I will move out.

MR. LEVITON: You will move out.

MR. AMER: Yeah, yeah.

MR. LEVITON: You'll move out A.S.A.P. We have a compliance officer.

MR. AMER: I'm not sleeping there.

MR. LEVITON: You shouldn't be in that home at all.

MR. AMER: Okay, just do for you because you said you will feel guilty so I'm just I don't want you to feel guilt.

MR. LEVITON: Now I don't want you to conflate the matters. I don't want the board to conflate the matter. Zoning is separate from your living and the building and that you're there unlawfully should not impact on what these proceedings are about this evening, and that's granting you relief.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ AMER: I promise you I'm not going to sleep there. I will move out.

MR. LEVITON: For your addition, your shed, and your deck. So, having said that, let's ask, is there anything further that you want to ask of Reda?

MR. POCHOPIN: Yes Mr. Chair I would just like to reiterate. I know you were here for permission and forgiveness so to speak, but just to be clear that you said you work construction. So, in construction, --- our concern and I'm glad we kind of stepped out of the realm, but now that we heard this and thank you for complying with moving out because of the safety. Even though you had engineers. I'm a contractor myself and I took pictures before they closed up, but they could've missed something, and then it's up to our construction with all the weight on us, the whole board. You heard everybody here. The safety concern is main, and here's the other thing you need to remember moving forward sir is when you do these things without permits because of Covid or whatever it was like one of my associates said. You might have to comply with the new current codes, and that can cost a lot more if you wait. So, just moving forward if anything

ever happens, or at least you know take care of it right away because 1 2 the code doesn't stop where you start four years ago or five years ago. Okay? That's all I wanted to say, thank you. 3 4

5

MR. AMER: That's true. Oh no I agree with you.

6 7

MR. LEVITON: Anyone else?

8 9

MR. POCHOPIN: Thank you Mr. Chairman.

10 11

MR. LEVITON: You're welcome, Dan.

12 13

14

MR. WECHSLER: I just have a question on the setbacks. Six foot, eighteen inches or six foot 1-8, what is the minimum setbacks on that property? Left hand side.

15 16

MR. BOCCANFUSO: On the left? It looks like 6.18 feet.

17 18

MR. WECHSLER: Right.

19 20 21

MR. BOCCANFUSO: So that'd be six feet, two inches.

22

23

MR. WECHSLER: Right so what is the minimum allowable

24 setback?

25 26

MS. MOENCH: What's the zone?

27 28

MR. NBOCCANFUSO: Fifteen feet? I think, hang on.

29 30

MS. MOENCH: R20?

31 32

33

MR. MARMERO: Yeah, fifteen feet. Yeah, the application where you issued the denial, it says side fifteen feet required, but 6.18 feet is provided.

34 35 36

MR. WECHSLER: Okay, so we're getting a zoning request for him being over on that side of the property as well?

37 38 39

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Well, no, that was the existing dwelling.

40

MR. WECHSLER: Okay.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. BOCCANFUSO: So, he went, kind of going back to where we started. He can go straight up. So, in that portion of the house, he went straight up, but for the small eight and a half by two and half foot cantilever in the back right corner, he could've just went straight up with the house, and not needed any variances. He just pulled a zoning permit. He still would've had an issue with the deck

and the shed, but the house itself, the relief surrounding the house itself was driven solely by that small cantilever addition.

MR. WECHSLER: Got you, thank you.

MS. LATILLA: Wait sorry just so I can understand, making sure I understand this. The existing dwelling, yes that isn't that what we're seeking relief for, but he still went up, right? So he still adding a second story which is still not compliant with the side yard setback.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Correct. It is still not compliant. It just doesn't require relief.

MR. MARMERO: Yeah.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Because if he went straight up, again except for that little cantilever, say he didn't do that. He would've been able to go straight up and maintain that 6.18-foot non-compliant setback not needing any relief.

MS. LATILLA: Okay.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Because the ordinance contains a provision where you can if you have a one-story dwelling and it's non-conforming, you can go straight up and not need any relief. That's it though. It's straight up, absolutely no footprint expansion even cantilevers.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MR}}.$ LEVITON: He changed the footprint. He can't change the footprint.

MS. LATILLA: Yeah, he can go up, okay. Understood, thank you.

MR. LEVITON: Anyone else?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: There is one setback question which we haven't touched on yet. Mr. Amer, I'm showing you this picture that you submitted with your package of the front of the existing house. Above the front door, there's a roof overhang. You can kind of see it both by the shadow and the outline of the house.

MR. AMER: Yeah, it's again for the landing.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Sure, I understand what it is and what it's for. It's kind of to give you, probably keep you out of the elements to some extent when you're going in the front door, but do you know

47 48

the dimensions of that because it may trigger the need for an 1 2 additional front setback? 3 4 MR. AMER: Three by five. It covers the landing. 5 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay. So, is it five feet wide and three 6 7 feet off the house? Is that what you're saying? 8 9 MR. AMER: Yeah, about yeah. 10 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay. 11 12 MR. MARMERO: So, he's got 67.35, he needs seventy-five so 13 he should be okay. 14 15 MR. BOCCANFUSO: He needs. He's less. 16 17 MR. MARMERO: Okay. 18 19 MS. KLOMPUS: I think the dimensions are on one of the. 20 21 MR. BOCCANFUSO: It's hard because the house is askew. I 22 mean the easy way to do it is said plus or minus 64 feet. 23 24 25 MR. MARMERO: Right, yeah. 26 MR. BOCCANFUSO: So, it's difficult to tell the exact 27 28 dimensions. 29 MS. KLOMPUS: It's not on one of the drawings? 30 31 MR. BOCCANFUSO: I'm sorry? 32 33 34 MS. KLOMPUS: It's on one of the drawings. 35 36 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Is it? 37 MS. KLOMPUS: He's got, which one is. Sorry let me pull it 38 back up, Arc Design Studio plan. Unless I'm in the wrong one? No, I'm 39 40 in the right one. There are three floor plans, and then it says second floor A102. 41 42 MR. MARMERO: Oh yeah. 43 44 45 MR. LEVITON: Stacey, you have to speak up.

MS. KLOMPUS: It's A102 with the --- drawing.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Oh, right here.

MS. KLOMPUS: It says new additions, second floor living area, then you see the overhang with the dimensions.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah, that is definitely a better picture, but I can't get the dimensions off of it unfortunately. So I think we're left with Reda's testimony. It's difficult to tell the exact setback either way because the house is askew and it's difficult. I think if the board were to consider, you have 67.35 feet to that front right corner. If the overhang extends three or four feet from the front of that house, we're talking.

MR. LEVITON: Just say four, say four.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay, so we're talking plus or minus 64 feet.

MR. LEVITON: Albert's building it in right now. We're good.

MR. MARMERO: Yeah, we'll just use that as the front setback variance.

MR. LEVITON: That's fine. Thank you, Brian.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I'm not that concerned with inches. What I'm concerned is getting it on the record that we granted them, considered some type of relief.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Brian. Thank you, Stacey. Reda, you want to say something? Into the mic sir, into the mic.

MR. AMER: Will I be able to just get the permit for the driveway tomorrow? If I go or am I going to wait for anything?

 $\,$ MR. LEVITON: Brian, will construction issue him a permit for the driveway tomorrow?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: No, well he can't get any permits until his resolution is memorialized in a couple of weeks. Assuming an approval and I don't want to be presumptuous, but if the application is approved what's going to happen is, at the next meeting the board will memorialize the resolution, basically formalize the approval.

MR. LEVITON: Make it legal.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah. The day after that, you can come into the zoning department for first, your zoning permit which I'll go

through everything, myself or Janice, or somebody in the department, will go through what you need, but you can get your first your zoning permit, and then you'll be over to construction. The zoning permit will cover the dimensions, geometry, and setback of the driveway. Construction will not be concerned with that at all. They're looking strictly at the house itself.

6 7 8

1 2

3

4

5

MR. LEVITON: At this time, I'm going to go out to the public. Seeing none, I'm going to close public. Albert, do you require anything further?

10 11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

3334

35

36

3738

39

40

41 42

9

MR. MARMERO: No, I mean we heard a lot of different testimony this evening. Just to be clear, the variances that are being requested and required, the applicant is doing an expansion. Obviously, he's building up, but he's going out slightly because of that cantilever so that requires a variance because it's a nonconforming expansion onto a non-conforming building. We heard about the front setback relief that is required, and because of that overhang that we were just discussing, the front setback variance --will need to be plus or minus 64 feet. We have heard about the required side setback variance relief, and then we have a variance that would be necessary again because the lot is a pre-existing, nonconforming lot. In this zone, a 20,000 square foot lot would be required. His existing lot is 10,087 square feet so anything he's doing is going to necessitate that variance as well again, and then we heard testimony even though it's in the application regarding the shed. That he needs setback relief as well, and then in terms of conditions that were discussed. Obviously, the applicant would need to secure all necessary construction permits because the work was done without construction permits. The applicant has agreed to pave the driveway consistent with Brian's memorandum. That driveway would need to be paved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy. The applicant agreed that a four-foot driveway setback would be sufficient for what he wants to do. Based on the concerns from the board, we would install a condition as well that we would need a postconstruction survey of the driveway to confirm the location of the driveway, and then there was some concern expressed about what the applicant stated about residing at the property at this time without a CO or TCO which again is not really a zoning issue, but it's something that's been placed on the record and made public. So, we will make sure the township is aware of that through a letter from my office, and I think that was all the conditions that were placed on the record.

43 44 45

MR. LEVITON: Thank you counselor.

46 47

MR. MARMERO: Sure.

MR. LEVITON: Will someone move to affirm or to reject the application? MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make a motion to approve the application with all the stipulated conditions. MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar. Will someone second that? MS. KLOMPUS: I'll second it. MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Klompus. ROLL CALL MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar? MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus? MS. KLOMPUS: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas? MR. MANTAGAS: No. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler? MR. WECHSLER: No. MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? MR. POCHOPIN: No. MS. MOENCH: Ms. Levenson? MS. LEVENSON: Yes. MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? MR. LEVITON: Yes. What is the count? MS. MOENCH: One, two, three, four. One, two three. It's a yes. MR. LEVITON: Reda, congratulations.

MR. AMER: Oh, thank you. MR. LEVITON: You need to move out. You squeaked by here tonight by the skin of your teeth, and you need to move tonight. This board wants to separate the fact that you're there unlawfully from the improvements that you made without asking for permission. They are nice improvements, and we wish you well going forward. MR. AMER: Thank you. MR. LEVITON: You're welcome. Good luck to you sir. MR. AMER: I have a place to stay. MR. LEVITON: Very good. MR. AMER: So that's why when I raised my hand it's just to tell you I'm not staying there tonight. MR. LEVITON: Move forth with, go, okay. Alright at this time, I'm going to go out to the public and ask if there's anyone in attendance who wants to address this board regarding non-agenda items. Seeing none, I will close public, and I will ask you all not to get up, but I do want an adjournment. So that Janice can turn off the machine. Someone move to adjourn, please. MR. WECHSLER: I'll move to adjourn sir. MR. LEVITON: Thank you Michael. We are in adjournment. **********