## MEETING IS CALLED TO ORDER:

MR. LEVITON: Okay then I'm going to call this meeting to order and ask everyone to join me in a salute to our flag.

## SALUTE TO THE FLAG

MR. LEVITON: Pursuant to section five of the Open Public Meetings Act, notice of this meeting of the Manalapan Township Zoning Board of Adjustment was sent and advertised in the Asbury Park Press. A copy of that notice was posted on the bulletin board where public notices are displayed here in the municipal building. In addition, a copy of this notice is and has been available to the public and is on file in the office of the municipal clerk. Accordingly, this meeting is deemed to be in compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act. Roll call, please.

## ROLL CALL

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?

MR. GREGOWICZ: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?

MR. SHALIKAR: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?

MR. MANTAGAS: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Harrington?

MR. HARRINGTON: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus?

MS. KLOMPUS: Here.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Weiss and Mr. Pochopin are not with us this evening. Chair Leviton?

MR. LEVITON: I am here. Before we get down to our regular 1 2 business, it's my regret to inform you that I have received and accepted the resignation of Mr. David Schertz from his position here 3 on the board. Over the years Mr. Schertz has been an exceptional member of our team, contributing his expertise and dedication to our 5 community. His insights and commitment to upholding zoning regulations 6 have influenced our decisions and have had a positive impact on the 7 development of our town. We regret to see him leave, but we wish him 8 well in his future endeavors. Okay, our first order of business 9 tonight is to accept the minutes from September 19th. I'm sure you've 10 all had an opportunity to review them. Can I get someone to make a 11 motion to accept? 12 13 14 MR. WECHSLER: I'll make a motion to accept the minutes. 15 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Wechsler. Will someone second 16 17 that please? 18 MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make a motion to second that. 19 20 21 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar. 22 23 ROLL CALL 24 25 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz? 26 27 MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes. 28 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler? 29 30 31 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 32 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar? 33 34 MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. 35 36 37 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas? 38 MR. MANTAGAS: Yes. 39 40 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Harrington? 41 42 MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. 43 44 MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? 45 46 47 MR. LEVITON: Yes. Okay up next, we need to memorialize

resolution number 2432, Mr. Marmero.

1	
2	MR. MARMERO: Sure, so for the Board's recollection this was
3	a resolution that memorializes variance approval at 11 Nottingham
4	Road. This was variance relief to legitimize existing, non-compliant
5	features which included pool infrastructure, a pool patio, a driveway,
6	and a deck.
7 8	MR. LEVITON: Thank you counselor.
9	MR. LEVITON. IMAIR you counselor.
10	MR. MARMERO: Sure.
11	
12	MR. LEVITON: Will someone move to memorialize?
13	
14	MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make the motion to memorialize.
15	
16	MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar and will someone second
17	that?
18	ND MANUACAC TAIL IN CITY
19	MR. MANTAGAS: I'll second Mr. Chair.
20 21	MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Mantagas.
22	MR. DEVITON. IMAIR you Mr. Maneagas.
23	ROLL CALL
24	
25	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
26	
27	MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
28	
29	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler?
30 31	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
32	Mr. WECHSLER. 165.
33	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?
34	
35	MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.
36	
37	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
38	
39	MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
40	Ma Marway at t
41	MS. MOENCH: Chair, sorry.
42	MR. LEVITON: Okay, if you're here this evening for
43 44	application ZBE2408, Ace Sign Company, that application is being
45	carried to November 7th without further notice. Is that correct
46	counselor?
47	

MR. MARMERO: Yes, that's correct. They did file public
notice which was compliant. That public notice was for a meeting that
would be this evening so now anyone who was present for that
application now has notice of the new date.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Marmero. Okay, our first hearing this evening is number ZBE2434, and the board calls Mr. Yadgarov. Am I saying that correctly?

MR. YADGAROV: Yes.

MR. LEVITON: You may come forward sir. You'll come up to the podium. Your baby's fine. You don't need to worry about nothing. Mr. Marmero will swear you in and then I'll ask that you bring the microphone up close to your face like I am.

MR. MARMERO: Okay, well said sir. Could you raise your right hand? I'll get you sworn in. Do you swear that the testimony you will provide tonight will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. YADGAROV: I do.

MR. MARMERO: Okay and could you state your name and address for the record please sir?

 $\,$  MS. MOENCH: Could you just step by the microphone? I'm sorry - - - on the mic

MR. LEVITON: That would be fine. The proceedings are recorded and then transcribed by law so the audio system is sensitive and you do need to be up close.

MR. YADGAROV: Peter Yadgarov 109 Thompson Manalapan.

MR. MARMERO: Okay thank you sir.

MR. LEVITON: And your witness, will he be testifying as

 well?

MR. YADGAROV: Yes.

MR. LEVITON: He should come up and be sworn in as well.

MR. GRIMM: Good evening members of the board, my name is David Grimm.

MR. MARMERO: Okay and are you an engineer sir?

	11101 3
1 2	MR. GRIMM: Registered architect in the state of New Jersey.
3 4 5 6 7	MR. MARMERO: Architect, okay let's get you sworn in. Do you swear that the testimony you'll provide tonight will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
8 9	MR. GRIMM: I do.
10 11	MR. MARMERO: Okay and Mr. Chairman would you like him to elicit some credentials?
12 13 14	MR. LEVITON: Yeah voir dire him. Ask him how to spell his name too.
15 16 17	MR. MARMERO: Sure, if you could spell your name for the record sir.
18 19 20	MR. GRIMM: Yeah absolutely, David D-A-V-I-D, last name Grimm G-R-I-M-M.
21 22 23	MR. MARMERO: Okay and you're an architect you said?
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	MR. GRIMM: I am, licensed architect in the state of New Jersey, been licensed since 2020, and I'm active, actively licensed and actively insured.
27 28 29	$\ensuremath{MR}\xspace$ . LEVITON: Okay the board accepts your credentials, thank you sir.
30 31 32	MR. GRIMM: Wonderful thank you, good evening board.
33 34 35 36 37	MR. LEVITON: Okay Mr. Yadgarov you're here to get variance relief for an addition and several other things. Why don't you tell the board what your proposal looks like and the relief that you need. Sit down, be comfortable sir. Pull the mic close, wonderful.
38 39 40 41 42	MR. YADGAROV: Good evening Board, my name is Peter Yadgarov and I'm excited to be and present our application for my future house for my family. I guess the most presentation I will allow to my architect to explain what we're planning and how we're planning to do that.
43 44 45	MR. LEVITON: Mr. Grimm.

MR. GRIMM: Good evening members.

1		MR.	LEVITON:	${\tt Ms.}$	Moench	has	а	handheld	mic	if	you	want	to
2	stand near	your	easel.										

MR. GRIMM: That may be easier for me so I can.

MS. MOENCH: No.

MR. LEVITON: We don't have one anymore. It's a new system.

MR. GRIMM: Bear with me then I'm going to set the board down so I can view a little bit what I'm doing. I assume the board has drawings in front of you.

MR. LEVITON: We have, we have.

MR. GRIMM: Alright I think that's easiest or is this going to pick me up if I project?

MS. MOENCH: ---

MR. GRIMM: So, we're here this evening seeking a C1 variance relief for a pre-existing non-conforming lot. Are we hearing that?

MS. KLOMPUS: Wait, can I put my mic over here?

MS. MOENCH: Yeah ---

MR. GRIMM: Whatever's easiest for you, I can sit it, I can stand.

MS. MOENCH: Perfect.

MR. GRIMM: Alright great. So again, thank you for your time this evening. Good evening board, good evening board professionals. As Peter indicated we're here today with an application for improvements at his residence. The residence itself is a pre-existing residence, single-family, two-story structure. We're here for C1 variance relief for the undersized lot itself. The lot itself is 61,813 square feet where 80,000 square feet is required for the zone. In front of you on the board is the existing conditions and this represents the removals. I'm going to turn the page to the A2 because I think that's the more telling of the plot plans where we talk about the proposed. And what I think is important in telling about this proposed and this application in general is that all the existing improvements on the lot are conforming. They're conforming in setback. They're conforming in coverage and they're conforming in bulk, and then everything that we've presented today or everything's that proposed continues down

that path where the existing home remains compliant, the proposed improvements stay compliant, and that is again in regard to setbacks, coverage, both building and impervious. So, with that in mind, we can take a quick rundown of what the improvements are. We have an existing single-family, two-story home. We're looking to add an entry foyer with a covered entry. We're putting a one-story addition off the rear of the home, a two-car garage to the south of the home, multi-level rear patio. The upper portion being uncovered, the lower portion being covered. Then we have an existing pool that we're looking to expand, a pool as well as a cabana adjacent to the pool with the idea that the improvements are situated to complement the existing features and expand them on this existing lot itself. Again, --- being the existing lot we're not changing the side of the lot, we're not intensifying any of the lot size. Right, we're not making the lot smaller. We're not subdividing or anything like that, and we're looking to provide improvements that are fully compliant with the ordinances in place. So with that in mind, I would like to take you through the interior of the home if we're interested in seeing what's going on there. 

MR. LEVITON: I don't mind, but if you would first tell me what is the justification for the C1 variance that you're seeking?

MR. GRIMM: Sure, so the hardship itself is the fact that we have a pre-existing, non-conforming lot that right? We have a single-family residence and we'd just like to continue that use and we'd like to maximize the lot to the intent possible for a compliant lot.

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Marmero did he need to send letters to the neighbors asking if they're interested in selling their property to get a hardship?

MR. MARMERO: It's one of the ways to perfect the argument and we have seen some do that before. I've seen C1's granted without doing that, but when you do that, you can certainly make the argument that I truly have a hardship because I have this undersized lot. I've tried to purchase additional land. I've tried to sell my land and I couldn't do that. It strengthens the argument, but it's up to you guys.

MR. GRIMM: And if you don't mind, I can provide some testimony to that. In anticipation of expectation of that I did look up the adjacent lots, 30.3 here and 206 right so these would be my most natural lots to approach as it would keep a lot that was properly shaped not an irregular-shaped lot. I mean I do have a pseudo-irregular shaped lot. I mean both of them are either non-conforming with 206 being 78,000 so undersized and 3.3 is just at 80,150 so they would only have 150 so I don't have options to either of my side to purchase if we so desired.

1 2 3

MR. LEVITON: Well, thank you for your diligence, Mr. Grimm. Continue with your presentation.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. GRIMM: Absolutely. So, with that in mind, the building itself is 930 square feet. We're putting a 2,000-square-foot addition off the three sides fully compliant with setbacks. Keeping the only existing structure is the shed to remain. So, I forgot to mention we do have a compliant accessory structure shed set to remain, and then the new exterior cabana. Once I bring you through the interior of the home, I'll bring you through what we're proposing in that cabana so we can also provide testimony on how we think that complies with the intention of zoning. So really quickly we'll be here just for a minute. This is the existing home; it's technically classified as twostory with we're calling first and ground. The ground floor is let's call it, fifty percent underground. It's predominantly underground and we're not expanding that. The site is sloped into the rear yard so as we would project it towards the back, we'd go more and more underground, no interest there. But we have three-bedroom, two-bath existing, and you'll see we're expanding to a five-bedroom, fourbathroom configuration, and as my client indicated he's looking forward to making this his primary residence. He is a father of five and a grandfather of.

232425

MR. YADGAROV: Two.

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

MR. GRIMM: A bunch, more coming hopefully God willing, and the idea is this residence is his opportunity to come from the busy city and hopefully show his family how excited he is to be in Manalapan, but also right? This is a little bit of the bait. How are we setting the bait for the family? The house itself, we come into the entry foyer. We see a large table. We're projecting twenty-two people here for this growing family. The front, the right-hand side of the home we view as the sleeping side of the home and we go through the age classes. In the front of the home, we're set up for the grandkids. The middle of the home is set up for a suite for the kids or a pseudosuite, right? We have no door. This is function as a hall bath and a bath, and then in the rear is the expansion for Peter and his wife for the master suite. Complementing that in the center of the home, right? This is kind of the shared communal space where we have the dining, large kitchen, great room in the rear, and the idea is this whole configuration is really set to optimize both the indoor experience, but then the outdoor experience with upper and lower patios falling out to that pre-existing pool and cabana we're looking to expand, and then the proposed ground floor that remains as is. We're happy with that as is and as I mentioned, as you project towards the back, we just lose light there as we continue to dig underground, and we're just really happy with this configuration as it sits on the first

floor. With that in mind, we can quickly flip through the elevations, 1 2 but this is the front of the home. Primary entrance, we're enhancing that entrance, keeping the home. Secondary entrance into a mudroom 3 pinched between the two-car garage. This I think is the star elevation 4 of the show. This looks out over that patio and cabana with the idea 5 of light and air coming into the home and that idea that Peter will be 6 able to entertain both indoors and outdoors, escape the city a little 7 bit, enjoy the land that he does have here is the real objective of 8 this application. And then with that in mind that kind of then mirrors 9 into the idea that we do have neighbors to the rear, to the side so 10 these are our more private elevations, right? These are also the 11 elevations that reflect the bedrooms so the facades of the building 12 reflect this exact idea. So, we think architecturally speaking does a 13 good job of respecting the existing home on the front, adding a 14 15 meaningful addition in square footage, but without being overwhelming to the neighbors, right? We're not going as big as possible. We are 16 expanding to the rear, but we think in a respectful manner, right? 17 It's keeping in scale and then where we do have a lot of openness is 18 towards the interior of the lot, and then towards the exterior, we 19 think organized, clean but private elevations which we think are some 20 nice design features for this home itself, and this brings us to my 21 testimony on the cabana, right? So, we have an existing pool that 22 we're looking to expand and this honestly may be a phase two of our 23 application. We're still pricing, but in respect to the process and 24 the board's time we want to ask for everything. So, the idea is the 25 home and the cabana are what we're really looking to do. The expansion 26 of the pool is kind of our dream item if budget allows, but with that 27 in mind so the pool would be plan adjacent. We have the cabana 28 centered on the pool. The cabana itself continues this theme of 29 entertaining our family. We have a twenty-person table. The cabana is 30 shown open and closed with the idea that this is predominantly going 31 to be used in an open configuration. We have no heating and cooling in 32 this cabana, but we would like the glass to close at the end of the 33 season to be able to winterize this space. With that in mind right we 34 have pocket doors on the two sides and sliding doors on the face which 35 will remain open. Again, inclement weather we close it. Other features 36 we have here, this is outdoor seating space, indoor barbecue, and 37 38 storage for storage and laundry. So, the idea is right we have, you make a mess at the pool with towels, like to be able to clean and 39 display these things here for guests, and then reinforcing the idea 40 this building is not for habitation we enter the bathrooms from the 41 42 rear. Right so if you're inside the space, if the doors were all closed, you do still need to exit the cabana itself, go to the 43 exterior, and enter the bathrooms. Really the idea is I don't want wet 44 feet running around inside here. I want them to go around to the 45 outside. We also provide a shower. The idea that we want anyone to 46 47 come over, have a great time, friends of the family be able to use facilities before they use the pool, and not have to enter the home 48

proper. So, we provide those facilities in the cabana here as well and 1 2 so with that in mind no heating and cooling and I think that's a summary of what we're looking to do here. Bear with me, and then these 3 reflect those elevations for the cabana, and finally I have here. This 4 was a document that was produced in the design review. You may or may 5 not have included in your package, during the process, during the 6 review in zoning there was a question on the building coverage and 7 this is just providing testimony to how we calculated building 8 coverage. As we'll note, we're right on the nose for our total 9 building coverage. The upper patio will not be covered with a roof, 10 wasn't proposed to be covered with a roof, but if it was that would 11 push us over. So just providing testimony that we're not. 12

13 14

MR. LEVITON: So, I don't believe I've seen that as part of our packet. Oh it's in there?

15 16 17

MS. MOENCH: It's in there. It's on Google Drive.

18 19

MR. MANTAGAS: Yeah, it was among ---

2021

MR. LEVITON: Okay so you don't need an F.A.R. is what you're saying.

222324

MR. GRIMM: Exactly and it was questioned during the review process if we were under or over and worked with Brian in the zoning office by way of this diagram to get on the same page.

262728

29

30

31

32

25

MR. LEVITON: So, you've seen Mr. Boccanfuso's note about the cabana and the cooking facilities. He indicated that cooking facilities would bump the cabana to a secondary structure which is not permitted, and I want to ask Mr. Marmero. Are they seeking approval for a secondary structure? Would that be part of what this board grants in the way of relief?

333435

MR. MARMERO: So, it seemed to be an accessory structure as far as I could tell classifying it as a cabana.

363738

39

MR. LEVITON: Because when they talk about an enclosure, they come close to flirting with that line in my estimation. Mr. Boccanfuso, what are your thoughts?

40 41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Thank you Mr. Chairman. So, the issue at hand is whether or not the cabana is considered a second dwelling unit which would be strictly prohibited in residential zones. In the bulk of the residential zones in Manalapan residences with multiple dwelling units such as two-families, mother-daughters, and the like are strictly prohibited. The definition of a dwelling unit, while I don't have it committed to memory, it does have a couple of key

points. The one that we typically point to is the cooking facilities 1 2 and provisions for cooking. It also must have provisions for sleeping. It also must have sanitary provisions. So in this case while it does 3 have cooking facilities, I'm not really seeing anything in the 4 structure that could be used as a sleeping facility and I guess you 5 could sleep anywhere. I enjoy camping so I sleep outdoors in the snow, 6 but I'm not really seeing any separate bedroom or anything of that 7 nature. Further the shower and bathroom are accessible only from the 8 outside of the building. So, the structure itself doesn't really have 9 its own provisions. You kind of have to leave the structure to utilize 10 the provisions. So, I think in this case, although it does have 11 cooking facilities given that there's no area that could easily be 12 converted to a bedroom nor is there any area where you could easily 13 access the bathroom and shower from the interior of the home plus the 14 fact that as Mr. Grimm indicated, it's not climate controlled and it's 15 glass effectively on three sides. I would be comfortable with the 16 conclusion that this is not a dwelling unit. The one thing I would ask 17 is that if the board approves the application and the applicant moves 18 forward to zoning permitting that they submit a single-family use 19 affidavit which is basically an acknowledgment that multiple dwellings 20 units are not permitted on the property. They acknowledge that 21 regulation and they agree to comply with it. 22

23 24

2526

MR. LEVITON: Do you consent to do that Mr. Yadgarov?

MR. YADGAROV: Absolutely.

2728

MR. LEVITON: Okay good.

2930

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

MR. MARMERO: And I did pull up the definition and I would have to agree with Brian. So the definition for the dwelling unit is a building or part thereof having cooking, sleeping, and sanitary facilities. So it's in hand it has to have all of them which is designed for or occupied by one family and which is entirely separated from any other dwelling unit in the building by vertical walls or horizontal floors. It appears except for access to the outside or a common cellar I think like Brian said the lack of any HVAC system here really does away with the idea or the thought that just design for occupation.

394041

MR. LEVITON: So, it's an accessory structure and Mr. Yadgarov will sign the affidavit.

42 43 44

MR. MARMERO: And we can include it as a condition too that there can't be any dwelling in there.

45 46 47

48

Bob.

MR. LEVITON: Let's go out to the board. We'll start with

1	
2	
3	

MR. GREGOWICZ: Yeah, are you going to have a washer and dryer in the cabana?

MR. GRIMM: The objective was to be able to clean towels without bringing the chlorinated objects down.

 $\,$  MR. GREGOWICZ: Okay could you go further in describing your cooking in there?

MR. GRIMM: Sure, yeah, we have just two elements we're seeking. We're seeking to have both a gas barbecue and we're seeking to have what would be in my mind like a Brazilian barbecue where you have the ability to have an open fire, charcoal or wood, and then you're familiar maybe with the item that lowers the meat up and down and then a custom hood. So those would be the only features, cooking features, we're looking to have the two barbecue types, and then counter space. So, no dishwasher, no refrigerator again I understand we can prepare food, but the objective is to barbecue in this space and not be in the rain as we do it.

MR. GREGOWICZ: Okay no more questions.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you, and Josh?

MR. SHALIKAR: Yeah, hi there, thank you for your testimony. Just a question on utility back there. I understand that it's a septic system.

MR. GRIMM: Sure.

MR. SHALIKAR: Do you have to expand the septic system or it's going to be maintained?

MR. GRIMM: Sure, so we'll walk you through. I'll come here to the letter. There's kind of four points and I did have the opportunity to speak to and I'll forget her name. I have it here, bear with me.

MR. LEVITON: Margaret Young.

MR. GRIMM: Margie, Margie at Freehold Area Department of Health so we received this letter. I called her. We had discussion. We're in complete agreement on point one, two, and three. We have an existing system that's failed. We do not plan to reuse it. The current improved plan is three bedrooms. We have five and an additional bed and bath or excuse me an additional bathroom in the cabana so we'll design a system for six. It will be a new system. So we're absolutely

in agreement one, two, and three, and then item number four she brings 1 2 by way of the review letter the attention that we are also on a well. We have worked diligently to get the exact location of the well, have 3 not yet succeeded, but with that in mind we are fully prepared to have 4 our application contingent on approval from both Freehold Health 5 Department and the engineering team here with the idea that the septic 6 system will be new. It will be sized for all the bathrooms on the site 7 and it will be located in the front yard. We will either, the well 8 will either be outside of the buffer once we figure out where that 9 well is. We've metal detected it. We actually think it's under the 10 driveway where we do not want it, but we're not ready to start ripping 11 things up, but the idea is we will come to the board with a fully 12 compliant well and septic system. If that means a new system or 13 absolutely new septic system, we're prepared as well for a new well if 14 15 necessary to become compliant and with my conversation with Freehold Department of Health. She seemed perfectly reasonable that we could 16 relocate the well, if necessary, as well to accommodate that septic 17 18 system.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. LEVITON: So, for the benefit of board members who may not know and the public who may or may not care, our health officer has retired in the recent past and we are sharing services with Freehold Township and their health officer serves as our health officer. I also spoke with Ms. Young about this application and she has indicated that he will be working alongside of her and he will be compliant with the things that Mr. Grimm has testified on his behalf for and Mr. Marmero will write that in as a condition.

272829

MR. MARMERO: That was my next question.

30 31

MR. LEVITON: Yeah.

32 33

34

35

38

MR. MARMERO: Yeah so, I always include a condition for any outside agency approvals in that because of the shared service that'll include as an outside agency.

36 37

MR. LEVITON: And that letter was addressed to our board and we thank Ms. Moench for forwarding it to Mr. Yadgarov on our behalf. Anything else?

394041

MR. SHALIKAR: That's it, thank you.

42 43

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Shalikar, thank you. Mr. Wechsler?

44 45

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Are you currently living here Peter or do you just?

46 47 48

MR. YADGAROV: No.

MR. WECHSLER: You're not currently living here. So, with all the additions and removals I see we're all picking up about seven percent impervious.

MR. GRIMM: That's correct.

MR. WECHSLER: Now are we encountering issues with water runoff from the roofs and any other areas?

MR. GRIMM: I've walked the site three times. Currently everything drains to the street right so we're sloped from front to back. Currently I have no pooling or ponding, but to be fair it has been very dry over the last three months. Any and all, again we'll work with the engineering department and we plan on providing grading and drainage plan for review and approval with our application as well. We just have not mobilized our engineers in advance of this C1 variance, but the idea is we project no water building up on adjacent properties due to our addition. We'll have grading and drainage review as part of our application.

MR. WECHSLER: And you know approximate where the closest drain is to this property? The closest to the drain?

MR. GRIMM: Bear with me I just want to, I don't know off hand. I'm not sure it was noted on my survey here. Yeah, unfortunately I don't have it noted on the front, but the objective would be to direct all water, work with the land, direct all water to the front and/or if we don't believe as though we can through natural resources and we do believe we're going to create any type of issue with pooling or ponding in the rear or negative impact on adjacent properties we'll of course work with the engineering team for water retention on site.

 $\,$  MR. WECHSLER: And I understand this is all --- of the process right now, but where would you be placing the new septic system?

 MR. GRIMM: Sure, so the new septic system will be in the front yard within between the street and the front. The objective right now is to utilize this open space in the front. We think to the best of our knowledge the well is currently located under the drive right here. So ideally that gives us our hundred-foot buffer. The first thing we'll do is test soils, right? We will poke around in the front yard and look for compatible soils before we have to bring in any type of select fill in, but obviously soil is going to tell us where we can put it in the front yard, and then ideally there will be an existing hundred-foot buffer. If not, we'll either relocate well to

one of our two side yards to gain that buffer based on our soil excuse me soil testing in the front yard.

MR. WECHSLER: So, you'd see no concern in additional seven percent water plus runoff running past the septic system?

MR. GRIMM: No, I think we have adequate land and separation between the septic in the front and our heavy addition in the rear. We'll bring the water around the front retaining if necessary.

MR. WECHSLER: Okay thank you, we're good.

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Grimm testified that they were going to submit an engineering plan. Is that necessary? Does he have to?

MR. BOOCCANFUSO: So, any time you do a pool, you do have to do a grading plan prepared by an engineer or I believe an architect can do it, but it needs to be based upon the survey which Mr. Grimm has already done. Given the, let me back up a second, if it's just a building addition or an accessory structure, it is not a standard requirement to provide a grading and drainage plan. However, given the scope and nature of what's proposed here and given the fact that a grading plan is required for the pool anyway, I would recommend that a grading plan for the entirety of the proposed improvements be provided. It's something I already discussed with Mr. Grimm in this application's infancy. He has no issue with it. He just wanted to go through the process and make sure that first of all hopefully he can get an approval, but even if he needed to make some revisions, he wanted to make those first before engaging in employing an engineer.

MR. LEVITON: Makes sense.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I don't take any exception to the testimony. I think that the property is generally graded from back to front. I don't anticipate that there's going to be any issue with an engineer designing a plan that's going to handle the stormwater runoff from the new impervious areas. Our ordinance requires, does have specific requirements for stormwater management for what we call non-major stormwater developments, essentially smaller type improvements. It's just that you really can't increase flow and usually, it's a pretty simple fix implementing at most a dry well or dry wells or recharge trench or something like that. I mean given the size of the property, the existing grading, the fact that there isn't a whole lot of increase in impervious coverage, I think it's going to be a relatively simple design for any engineer.

MR. LEVITON: So, Mr. Yadgarov you'd have no problem with the submission of a grading plan being a condition of approval as well?

1 2

MR. YADGAROV: No, no problem.

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Marmero, you'll write that in?

MR. MARMERO: Absolutely.

MR. LEVITON: And Brian is that something that we'd have Mr. Danny Lopez, our engineer, review?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I will probably keep this one in house. Typically, only new, single-family homes do we kind of shop out to our consulting engineer. I handle most of the pool reviews because there's no engineering inspections for those. They're just kind of approved and it's expected that the applicant is going to do what they show on their plan, so to be determined. Most likely I'll keep this one in house and review it myself.

MR. LEVITON: And for the public's benefit, Mr. Boccanfuso up until very recently he's now the administrator of zoning, but he was this board's engineer and I believe he is the township engineer now. Is that correct?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: That's correct.

MR. LEVITON: Okay.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: It wasn't very recently anymore. It's been recently, but not very, seven, eight months.

MR. LEVITON: I stand corrected. Okay, now Mr. Grimm you said you were going to poke around the front to look for a new place to put your well and your septic field. I go by this property almost every day. It's well set back as you know. It's in a very wooded area. I'd also, I don't know what Mr. Yadgarov or you know about Manalapan Township, but there's a Shade Tree Commission and The Shade Tree Commission, they want to be involved with every tree removal and I'd like you to commit to working with The Shade Tree Commission as well.

MR. GRIMM: We commit to meet all requirements of the Shade Tree Commission and work with whatever members of the town to move our application forward.

MR. LEVITON: So, I will point out that our counselor is making copious notes and we thank you for that, Mr. Mantagas.

 PAGE 17

1											
2			MR.	MANTAGAS:	No	questions	Mr.	Chairman.	Mr.	Grimm	answered
3	my	question									
4											

MR. LEVITON: Thank you, sir. John?

MR. HARRINGTON: No questions Mr. Grimm. Thank you for your testimony.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Harrington and Ms. Klompus?

MS. KLOMPUS: No questions unless he has somebody else he'd let live here because this looks very nice.

MR. GRIMM: Thank you.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Klompus.

MR. MARMERO: Mr. Chairman can I ask a clarifying question?

MR. LEVITON: Yes of course.

MR. MARMERO: Just to clarify we've talked about several different improvements. There's the addition to the home, the cabana, the potential expansion of the pool, and I think you testified all of the improvements comply in terms of bulk standards so there's no bulk variance issues there with the locations. It's just the undersized lot that necessitates the variance, is that accurate?

MR. GRIMM: That's correct.

MR. MARMERO: So, anything, anything you put on this lot, a shed or anything is going to require variance relief at this point because of the undersized nature?

MR. GRIMM: Exactly and so we tried to put our arms around everything we were looking for because we understand and appreciate the lot in of itself.

MR. MARMERO: And then one more if I could. So in terms of timing, if you were fortunate enough to receive approvals tonight, how long would you anticipate for pulling permits for these improvements?

MR. GRIMM: Sure, so we have our engineers set to mobilize as of next week, so ideally month or two to complete that back-and-forth process with engineering. We'll be performing construction documents architecturally in conjunction with the objective of

submission by end of year. We know it's aggressive timeline, but Peter would love to break ground as early as start of next year.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

1

MR. MARMERO: Okay, and I ask because one of the issues the board has had in the past was variances that have been granted and then have lingered over time because our code doesn't have a duration. I think it will in the near future, but what we'd like to do is apply a one-year duration of time from the resolution that grants the variance until the time of pulling the permits. So, I just wanted to make sure that timing worked for you guys.

10 11 12

MR. GRIMM: Well within our objective, thank you.

13 14

15

16

17

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Marmero. At this time, I'm going to go out to the public and ask if there's anyone who wants to ask Mr. Grimm or Mr. Yadgarov a question about their proposal or address the board. Okay, seeing none I will close public and ask someone to make a motion.

18 19 20

21

MR. GREGOWICZ: I'll make the motion to approve the application along with any and all conditions that were raised by the board professionals and the board members.

222324

MR. SHALIKAR: I'll second that.

25 26

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Shalikar second and gentlemen I thank you both.

272829

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

MR. MARMERO: So yeah, then just to sum up the relief. So, the motion as granted was with all conditions and relief as mentioned by the professionals. So again, as I just asked the applicant, this is a C1 variance related solely to the undersized nature of the lot. There're several different improvements being proposed, but all of them meet the setback requirements so there's no individual relief needed for those improvements; it's just due to the nature of the lot being undersized. In addition to that relief, we did hear a few different conditions that were discussed. We talked about the applicant's agreement to submit a grading and drainage plan as necessary. We talked about a condition that there would be no dwelling in the cabana house. We talked about the applicant agreeing to meet and/or comply with any conditions of The Shade Tree Commission. Obviously, I always include a condition for outside agency approvals and that will include the septic and the well approvals from the shared service with Freehold, and then we discussed a one-year duration of the variance which would run from the time the resolution was memorialized until the time that the permits were pulled.

46 47 48

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Marmero. Call it Janice.

1 2	ROLL CALL
3	
4	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
5 6	MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
7	rat. Gillowicz. 165.
8	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler?
9	MD WECHCLED. Voc
10 11	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
12	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?
13	
14	MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.
15	MC MOENCH. No Montogo C
16 17	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
18	MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
19	
20	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Harrington?
21	
22	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes.
23	MC MOENCH. Ma Vlampua?
24 25	MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus?
26	MS. KLOMPUS: Yes.
27	
28	MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
29	
30	MR. LEVITON: Mr. Grimm your design is lovely and Mr.
31 32	Yadgarov congratulations to you and welcome to Manalapan Township.
33	MR. YADGAROV: Thank you very much.
34	interior indimination with machine
35	MR. GRIMM: Thank you for your time, members of the board,
36	thank you.
37	
38	MR. LEVITON: The board calls Dr. Klepner, if it pleases
39 40	them, and friend. Hello sir hello.
41	MR. KLEPNER: Good evening.
42	int. Marinart. Cook evening.
43	MR. LEVITON: Mr. Marmero will swear you both in.
44	
45	MR. MARMERO: If you raise your right hand, I'll get you
46	sworn in. In fact, if you're both going to testify, I'll wait until
47	you're both up. Take your time. All three of you can raise your right
48	hand or just two of you whatever Do you swear the testimony that

you'll provide tonight will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MRS. KLEPNER: Yes.

 $\,$  MR. MARMERO: Okay and can each of you state your name and address for the record please?

MR. KLEPNER: Stephen Klepner 33 Shira Lane Manalapan.

MR. MARMERO: Okay and your name ma'am?

MRS. KLEPNER: Rebecca Klepner also 33 Shira Lane.

MR. MARMERO: What was the last name?

MRS. KLEPNER: Klepner K-L-E-P-N-E-R.

MR. MARMERO: Gotcha.

MR. LEVTON: Get comfortable and before we get started with the relief that you need; I need to put on the record that I got a call today from Ms. Moench who I know you've been working with in advance and she wanted to know if I was going to recuse myself from hearing your case. I'm not on the 200-foot list as you know since you mailed it out, but I live at number 28. I'm across the street and three or four houses down so we are neighbors and I and you as well Dr. Barnet I am not recusing myself because we don't know each other and we have no financial dealings. So why don't you tell the board what you're here for this evening and the variance relief that you seek.

MR. KLEPNER: So, our current house is in violation of the front yard setback and we're trying to expand our house for our growing family. So, our proposed addition would be seven and a half feet over in one area of the side yard setback and we'd like it to meet the current conditions of the front yard setback that we have in our current house and so we're asking for relief of the variance.

MR. LEVITON: So, the house as it is compliant?

MR. KLEPNER: Yes.

MR. LEVITON: It's the ---

MR. KLEPNER: Well, isn't it I was told if it's a hundred-foot setback, the front of our house is ninety feet from the street.

MR. LEVITON: Brian is this a pre-existing, non-conforming home?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: It is. So, the existing front setback does not comply with the zoning regulation, the current zoning regulations. The proposed addition while I have the microphone, I would like to point out that in my report I indicated that the proposed setback to the addition is 90.2 feet. In fact, that appears to be incorrect. That is the existing setback to the house. The proposed setback is 92.18 feet so just about two feet more. Still non-compliant, not as non-compliant as the existing home, but still requires a variance relief in addition to the side setback relief that we'll get to shortly.

MR. LEVITON: Do you know the history and how that came to be?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Quite honestly, I don't. Oftentimes I did not find any previous variance relief. So sometimes there is zoning changes on these properties. I'm not sure if that was the case here. If the house was originally constructed where ninety feet was required and then subsequently the zoning regulations changed to require a hundred feet. That is fairly common particularly in these rural zones of the township, but I'm not sure exactly if that's what happened here or if it's something that just simply got missed. Occasionally that happens as well.

MR. LEVITON: Do you typically check for previous?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes. I do check for previous resolutions because depending on the way it's worded it could potentially allow for additions. If there was a variance granted depending on the language in the resolution, any conditions associated with it, it could have been a situation where this proposed addition, the 92.18-foot front setback may not require variance relief so it is something that I do check as part of my review of these variance applications.

MR. LEVITON: So that's interesting to me because I was friendly with the Weinstein's from whom you purchased your home and they had plans for an addition and obviously these are new plans that you have nothing to do with that. It's surprising that that's not on record, but you went and did this independent of what the previous owner did.

MR. KLEPNER: Yes, yes.

MRS. KLEPNER: I wonder if they were doing something similar.

46 47

48

PAGE 22

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I suspect Chairman that it was a zoning 1 change, that would be my guess. 2 3 4 MR. LEVITON: Thank you sir, Bob? 5 6 MR. GREGOWICZ: No questions. 7 MR. LEVITON: Joshua? 8 9 10 MR. SHALIKAR: No questions. 11 MR. LEVITON: Michael? 12 13 14 MR. WECHSLER: No questions. 15 MR. LEVITON: Basil? 16 17 MR. MANTAGAS: No questions. 18 19 MR. LEVITON: John? 20 21 22 MR. HARRINGTON: No questions. 23 MR. LEVITON: And Stacey? 24 25 26 MS. KLOMPUS: No questions. 27 MR. LEVITON: I also have no questions. I'm going to go out 28 to the public and they have no questions. It's a theme. Albert? 29 30 MR. MARMERO: So, the applicant this evening needs variances 31 for a couple of items. We heard testimony mainly regarding the front 32 yard setback variance and it sounds like as we heard there's a hundred 33 34 foot required front yard setback the home as it exists already is into that front yard setback at about ninety feet. It sounds like what the 35 applicant wants to do is have the addition line up or somewhat line up 36 with the front of the home so obviously the addition would also be 37 encroaching. The other encroachment which we haven't really addressed is the side setback. So according to Brian's report the minimum 39 required side setback is thirty-five feet whereas a side setback of 40 27.45 feet is proposed for the addition. 41 42 MR. LEVITON: Will someone make a motion? 43 44 45 MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make a motion to accept the application.

MR. MARMERO: Yeah, that might be a good idea. Mr. Chairman really quick one of the things being discussed since the home itself

48

PAGE 23

1	is also within the setback it may make sense just to also grant that
2	variance relief too. It already exists there and then that leaves like
3	as Janice says it legitimizes the location of the home as well.
4	
5	MR. LEVITON: So, it'll be subsumed in the
6	
7	MR. MARMERO: Yeah, you might want to include that within
8	there.
9	MD IEVITEON, And objections follow of Mr. Chalilton has
10 11	MR. LEVITON: Any objections folks? Okay so Mr. Shalikar has moved to accept and I need someone to second the motion.
12	moved to accept and I need someone to second the motion.
13	MR. WECHSLER: I'll second the motion.
14	
15	MR. LEVITON: And Mr. Wechsler, we thank you as well.
16	
17	ROLL CALL
18	
19	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
20	MD CDECOLITGE V
21 22	MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
23	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler?
24	FIG. FIGUREII. MI. WEERSTEI.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
26	
27	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?
28	
29	MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.
30	
31	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
32 33	MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
34	MA. MANIAGAS. 165.
35	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Harrington?
36	no. noznem. narringcom.
37	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes.
38	
39	MS. MOENCH: Mr. Klompus, Ms. Klompus sorry?
40	
41	MS. KLOMPUS: Yes.
42	MO MORNOU OL ' T ' O
43	MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
44 45	MR. LEVITON: So, congratulations and you heard Mr. Marmero
46	tell our last applicant that you have a year to pull permits.
47	11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

MS. MOENCH: Can I just put something on the record?

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Marmero will explain further. They look confused, but before he does go ahead.

MS. MOENCH: I just want to clarify I know you're having issues with the actual survey, providing the actual survey to scale to us. So, when you do go to submit this, this plan will not work. You're going to need the actual.

MR. KLEPNER: It's coming in the mail. They're sending me.

MS. MOENCH: Perfect so when you get that, make a bunch of copies so that, okay? Alright, I'm sorry.

MR. LEVITON: Albert?

MR. MARMERO: And with respect to what the Chairman was saying about the one-year duration, what Manalapan is looking to do is to create a one-year duration for the variance. So once the variance is granted at the next meeting there will be a resolution that memorializes the variance. There would then be a one-year period of time for you to pull construction permits for what you're planning to do. If for some reason you needed more than a year you could always come back and explain to them why you need more than a year.

MRS. KLEPNER: Okay that makes sense.

MR. LEVITON: You understand? We're going to make it a condition and it'll appear in the resolution that this board will memorialize at our next regular meeting. So, you effectively have a year and two weeks. Okay?

MR. KLEPNER: Thank you very much.

MRS. KLEPNER: And I think we can start construction until it's warmer again. Once it approaches winter, I think they would so hopefully would be fine.

MR. LEVITON: The thing is the board has in the past approved certain things that never get finished. They just hang out there and so we're in the process of having our ordinance retooled to prohibit that and until such a time as it's rewritten, we're going to start including it in resolutions.

MR. MARMERO: Once you pull permits, you're good with them you can always extend your permits if it's cold, or the weather's not right, or whatever, but once you pull the permits you then clear everything you need to with this group.

1	
2	MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah, the threshold is the pulling of
3	permits which I would think a year is more than enough time assume
4	that you are going to go ahead with this. If it takes you awhile, and
5	then you run into weather delays during construction there's really no
6	deadline on that. You can get extensions as it pertains to this
7	approval. It's just the permit is the threshold.
8	
9	MR. LEVITON: Your plans are beautiful by the way.
10	
11	MR. KLEPNER: We're excited about them, thank you.
12	, ,
13	MR. MARMERO: Best of luck.
14	
15	MR. LEVITON: You're welcome. Good luck to you all. Anybody
16	need a break before we go on?
17	need a second second we go on.
18	MR. MARMERO: You serving coffee?
19	
20	MR. LEVITON: In that case the board calls Mr. McKenna,
21	application 2430. Mr. McKenna, hello sir.
22	
23	MR. MCKENNA: Hi, how are you?
24	,
25	MR. LEVITON: I'm well thank you. How are you?
26	
27	MR. MCKENNA: Hanging in there. First, I'd like to apologize
28	
29	
30	MS. MOENCH: Just make sure you talk,
31	
32	MR. LEVITON: Before you tell us about being misled, and
33	we're going to listen.
34	
35	MR. MCKENNA: I was misled so I apologize.
36	
37	MR. LEVITON: Don't, don't be sorry. It's fine. You need to
38	be sworn in.
39	
40	MR. MARMERO: Yeah, let me get you sworn in first. Do you
41	swear the testimony you will provide tonight will be the truth, the
42	whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
43	
44	MR. MCKENNA: Yes, I do.
45	

MR. MARMERO: Okay, go ahead sir.

MR. LEVITON: And Mr. McKenna, also remember you need to speak into the mic the way I am so that it's recorded, okay. Talk to us sir.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2

MR. MCKENNA: So, when I bought the house, I bought my house back in January. When I bought it needed repairs on the black top that was there. So being that I was doing the black top, I figured I'd do some more work in front of the house, make it look better and presentable. Also on my side yard, when I went out my side door if it rained three or four days, you couldn't go out there, mud and grass. You couldn't walk out there. So, I called the landscaper. When I called the landscaper, the landscaper told me I did not need no permits or anything else. It was all landscaping. I wasn't cutting no curbs out to make a driveway or anything like that. So, I said okay and that's why I apologize because I didn't come down and find out if it was true. I had a couple of guys come give me estimates, and they basically said the same thing. You're not making any curb cuts so you'll be okay. What I did do is I took the driveway from one side and transferred to the other side, and then I made a patio behind the fence in the yard, but I did put drainage in there. I put stone so it didn't bother my neighbors after I knocked on their doors and said listen, I don't want to come in and just claim my spot. This is what I would like to do if it's okay with you, and I know it's hearsay, but they were okay with it. It made the property look better than it was and more livable. That's basically what I did and then the zoning officer came by, which I never saw him, left a letter. I didn't see it because they were all working, everything was going on out there until it practically was done. So again, I'm asking if I can get a variance for leaving the work that I did do the way it is.

293031

MR. LEVITON: Okay Mr. McKenna there's a lot to unpack there. You need to legitimize the patio. Is that the backyard patio?

323334

MR. MCKENNA: Yes, the backyard patio.

3536

MR. LEVITON: You also need to ---

3738

 $\,$  MR. MCKENNA: And I submitted one of these where I drew up exactly what I did.

394041

MR. LEVITON: Okay so you're holding up a survey that I believe was from 1983.

42 43 44

MR. MCKENNA: Right.

45 46

MR. LEVITON: Am I correct?

MR. MCKENNA: Well, this is a survey I received when I 2 bought the house.

3 4

1

MR. LEVITON: And it's written on there that it was in 1983 it was drawn up. Did you color it? Is that what?

5 6 7

8

9 10

MR. MCKENNA: I colored it to show where I put grass, where I put stone, where I put black top, where I put the patio, and where there still is grass left. I didn't take all the grass away. I have a whole backyard fifty almost by a hundred still landscaping back there. I didn't do it to get rid of trees or anything like that. I did it just to make it more livable and accessible.

12 13 14

15

16

11

MR. LEVITON: So, Mr. McKenna, this board appreciates your contrition and we appreciate the improvements that you make to your property. It improves the loveliness of town for lack of a better word.

17 18 19

MR. MCKENNA: Right.

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

MR. LEVITON: However, this board by law needs to look at these improvements as if they were not. As if you were asking permission to do them, not forgiveness for having done them. So we're going to take a look at it with that perspective and I will ask you to take a look at the pictures you submitted and talk to the board about them. Let's start with number one. Number one appears to be where the driveway was. I don't know and I'd like you to speak to it.

27 28 29

MS. MOENCH: Do you have the pictures in front of you?

30 31

MR. MCKENNA: I have some of them.

32 33

MS. MOENCH: Okay because I numbered them for you guys so he might not know what you're referring to.

34 35 36

MR. MCKENNA: So, if it's this picture here, if it's this picture here.

37 38 39

40

MR. LEVITON: That's not the one. That's number three. Okay, you're going to talk to the board. Explain to the board what number one looks like and the one you're holding.

41 42 43

MS. MOENCH: Number three was the existing. Why don't we give Mr. McKenna the pictures because you guys have them on the Google Drive?

45 46 47

44

MR. MANTAGAS: Yeah, I have them on the Google Drive.

## ZONING BOARD MEETING DATE OCTOBER 17, 2024

PAGE 28

1	MS. KLOMPUS: Yeah, we can see them. I think number three
2	was his existing and number two is what he turned.
3	
4	MR. MARMERO: Yeah.

MR. LEVITON: To me it's within a foot. I don't like when it's a foot, but that doesn't mean you should vote the way.

MS. KLOMPUS: Yeah, one and two looks like now, three would be existing.

MS. MOENCH: Yeah, so this is what they're looking at. I just need these back, but this is what they're looking at. Okay, so they're numbered.

MR. MCKENNA: Okay.

MS. MOENCH: Okay honey.

MR. MCKENNA: Okay so number one we're talking about?

MR. LEVITON: Please.

 ${\tt MR.}$  MCKENNA: On number one where that walkway is.

MR. LEVITON: Yes.

MR. MCKENNA: That used to be the black top driveway.

MR. LEVITON: Yes, that's what I assumed.

MR. MCKENNA: That needed to be fixed. It needed to be ripped out and everything else.

MR. LEVITON: Where we see in that picture, the actual black top driveway that's all new?

MR. MCKENNA: That's all new, yes. So what I did is I ripped it out because it went up to the front step. I never ripped the stoop out. The stoop and the steps are still there. So what I did is I took that out and I made that walkway with the grass going up to where the gardening area was where the stoop was.

MR. LEVITON: And then when we see picture number two which has garbage pails in the street, two cars on the driveway, and we see the brown house next door.

	PAGE 29
1 2 3	MR. MCKENNA: Yeah, I'm looking for it, one second. Number seven, five, six.
4	MS. MOENCH: Did they steal one?
5 6	MR. MCKENNA: I don't see number two.
7 8	MR. LEVITON: It's okay you don't need the picture, just let
9 10	me ask you about it, but I'm referencing number two for the record.
11 12 13	MR. MCKENNA: I may have it. It's got a garbage pail you said?
14 15 16	MR. LEVITON: No, it's okay. You don't need it because you can answer the question. Everything about the driveway that we can see as it is today is brand new.
17 18	MR. MCKENNA: Yes.
19	MR. MCRENNA: 1es.
20 21	MR. LEVITON: Okay.
22	MR. MCKENNA: That was grass at one time. So what I
23 24	basically did is I took the driveway from one side of the house and brought it to the other side of the house.
25	MD IDVITION. And we are suit there must be there are suited
26 27 28	MR. LEVITON: And we see, our picture number three appears to be what the house looked like prior to you making any improvements.
29	MR. MCKENNA: Yes.
30 31 32 33	MR. LEVITON: Okay now I come to the backyard. Four is a picture of your deck.
34	MR. MCKENNA: That was there.
35 36 37 38	$$\operatorname{MR}.$$ LEVITON: That was there. Five is what you've done with the patio.
39	MR. MCKENNA: With the pavers right.
40 41 42	MR. LEVITON: Pavers and six has the open gate that shows the driveway leading into the backyard.
43 44	
++	MR. MCKENNA: Correct. Now if you see the open gate where it

leads to the yard, you see the white on there is all stone.

48 MR. LEVITON: Yes.

1											
2		MR.	MCKENNA:	So	that's	between	my	neighbors	and	mine	so
3	it's a	drainage	•								
4											

MR. LEVITON: Yes, I understand. May I ask Mr. McKenna, what is it that identified this as a problem? Why are you before us this evening? How did it come to the attention of the zoning officer?

MR. MCKENNA: To come here?

MR. LEVITON: Yes.

MR. MCKENNA: I received a letter in my mailbox.

 $\mbox{MR. LEVITON: I know so I'll have to ask Brian then. Was there a complaint Brian?$ 

MR. BOCCANFUSO: No, it was a periodic observation. Our code enforcement department drives around town and noticed the ongoing construction with no permits; flat patio, driveway construction, and the like. So a notice of violation was sent. I believe actually two were sent and then Mr. McKenna called me and that kind of started the process.

MR. LEVITON: That is amazing. Thank you for that.

MR. MCKENNA: I called him immediately.

 ${\tt MR.}$  BOCCANFUSO: Well second letter, I wouldn't say immediately.

MR. MCKENNA: You received the first one, because you did mention it to me. I was going to send you out another letter if I didn't hear from you in two days.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: In short order it  $\operatorname{didn'} t$  rise to the level of a summons fortunately so.

MR. LEVITON: Okay so Mr. McKenna you need to put on the record what the problem with the patio is. Let's start there. What kind of relief are you looking for from this board as it relates to the patio only?

MR. MCKENNA: Only the patio only. I'm asking the board if we could leave it the way it is because I could get more use out of my yard plus before I did the patio it was all uneven. It had grass there and weeds, but there was puddles there.

1	
2	
3	

 $\,$  MR. LEVITON: It's a lovely patio Mr. McKenna. Is it encroaching on the side setback?

 $\mbox{MR. MCKENNA:}$  It's encroaching on the setback from the side setback.

MR. LEVITON: So, we need to define for the record what the encroachment is. How many feet, how many inches, do you know?

MS. MOENCH: That's in Brian's e-mail. That's in Brian's denial, remember? With the application.

 $\,$  MR. MCKENNA: I'm trying to make sure I'm getting the correct one.

MR. LEVITON: Of course, take your time sir, and Ms. Moench thank you. It'll be the first one there, number one.

MS. MOENCH: I don't have my glasses on, but ---

MR. MCKENNA: Okay so on here it says porch patio and similar structure is under the code and not more than six feet above grade shall conform to the requirements of the structure and 95-51 requires the setback says R4 zone is five feet where I am set back only at two feet.

MR. LEVITON: Okay so you are encroaching three feet into the setback. Mr. Marmero you've got that?

MR. MARMERO: I do.

MR. LEVITON: Okay, then let's talk about then I want to skip to the shed. We'll come back to the driveway. It's not number two it's number three where you're looking Mr. McKenna. Let's put that on the record. What type of problem does the shed have? What kind of relief does the board need to give you?

MR. MCKENNA: Well, the shed when I bought the house, they received some kind of variance for it and I was told that if I touched it then I would have to come and ask for a variance.

MR. LEVITON: Yes.

MR. MCKENNA: So, I'm doing nothing with the shed at all.

MR. LEVITON: Okay so for the board then his shed needs to be five feet from the property line. He's two feet, however, he has an

easement on his property. That has to do with the Western Monmouth Utilities I believe and so through that avenue he got permission to keep the shed. So, no matter what he's keeping the shed, but if we grant relief then if the shed gets taken down they could put it back up. So, I don't know about the rest of you, I have an opinion. I'm going to keep it to myself for now, but now that that's on the record let's talk about the driveway.

7 8 9

1 2

3

4

5

6

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Mr. Chairman just before we do that I just want to make sure the record is clear.

10 11 12

MR. LEVITON: Please.

13 14

MR. BOCCANFUSO: There is an easement.

15 16

MR. LEVITON: Yes.

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

39

40

MR. BOCCANFUSO: There has been an approval granted by the WMUA, sanitary sewer easement for the shed. However, the issue with the shed is that it does not comply with the zoning. What Mr. McKenna was describing is when he purchased the property the shed was permitted to remain as existing non-conforming because it had been there for over ten years. It's a provision in our ZCCO process whereby if an improvement is non-conforming and let's say permits were granted for it, but it doesn't comply it can be permitted to remain if it can be proven that it's been there for ten years. Now that provision only allows it to remain. You can't improve it. You can't replace it. If you upgrade it you have to bring it into compliance. If it burns to ash it has to be rebuilt within a compliant location. So, if the board denies the relief necessary for the shed, the shed could still stay there. It doesn't need to be removed or relocated at this time until it's rebuilt. If the board grants the relief, it basically legitimizes the existing non-conforming condition and then the shed could be upgraded, repaired. It can be rebuilt if it's destroyed. A new shed can be put in that exact same location. So that's the issue with the shed. It was a situation where that had nothing to do with the code enforcement violation. It was just when the application came in, we said Mr. McKenna is coming in for these other items, let's put it on the report. Let the board consider it and if they feel it's appropriate to grant the relief, great. If not, so be it that's fine too.

41 42 43

MR. LEVITON: Brian what can you tell this board about sheds in that development? The properties are on smaller size lots there, it's Bucks Head.

45 46 47

48

44

MR. BOOCANFUSO: Yeah, this development is challenging. Many of the, particularly the driveways, but also sheds and other accessory

structures don't comply with the applicable setback requirements and 1 2 that goes back to in some cases the original construction in this development. So I think this is probably something that the township 3 committee may want to look at as far as the setback requirements particularly with driveways. Driveways are required to be ten feet 5 from any property line, but many of the properties in this development 6 are only forty feet wide so it's very challenging to comply. In this 7 case, I don't know that Mr. McKenna could rely on that justification 8 because he moved his driveway from one side of the property to the 9 other. We're going to hear his testimony on the driveway here shortly, 10 but I think there is an argument for accessory structure setback 11 requirements in this zone by virtue of the very, very small lots. I 12 mean the houses, they're certainly modestly sized and even with a 13 modestly sized house, it makes it very challenging to put accessory 14 15 improvements that people would commonly want to enjoy their homes with their families. 16

17 18

MR. MCKENNA: If you see the ---

19 20

MR. LEVITON: Just a second sir. Mr. Marmero, will you include that in your year-end report? Do you understand the full?

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

MR. MARMERO: I will now because Brian brought it up. It sounds like what we're talking about is in this particular neighborhood, due to the lot size that really almost any kind of accessory structure or any other improvement. Brian brought up driveways and sheds are pretty difficult because of the setback requirements and the smaller lot size so it sounds like perhaps the recommendation would be in the future maybe to shrink down the setback requirements a bit to allow people in this neighborhood or in this community to have some of these improvements.

313233

34

35

36

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I mean at least for the driveways I would say. I think if I'm not mistaken that sheds are limited to a hundred square feet in this zone which is a five foot setback requirement from the side and rear so that really isn't all that difficult to comply with.

373839

40

41 42 MR. LEVITON: It's what motivated me to ask you, sheds. I know see now that's subjective, but I think its accessory structures in general should be re-thought. Five feet is a lot when you're forty feet wide, I think, but that's just me. Alright, Mr. McKenna, we interrupted you.

43 44 45

MR. MCKENNA: All I was trying to say is on this picture here this is where the original driveway was.

46 47 48

MR. LEVITON: He's referencing number.

1	
2	MR. MCKENNA: Number three.
4	MR. LEVITON: Three.
5 6	MR. MCKENNA: So that's practically up against my neighbor
7	on the other side's property line. You could see the fence is back
8	here in it. That's where my driveway started so all I thought was if I
9 10	take it to the other side I was doing the proper thing.
11	MR. LEVITON: We understand so I will put the encroachment
12	on the record. You need it to be ten feet from your property line. You
13 14	are within one foot of the property line.
15	MR. MCKENNA: Right and that means?
16	
17 18	MR. LEVITON: Well, it means you need variance relief or you need to cut it back one or the other and that'll depend on what this
19	board's going to do.
20	
21	MR. MARMERO: And I guess the applicant's testimony is that
22 23	if it goes back to the other location.
24	MR. LEVITON: Yeah so, he indicates he is between a rock and
25	a hard place. We understand and he's sorry that he made the moves
26 27	without permission he didn't know. We get it. Bob?
28	MR. GREGOWICZ: I had a question regarding the driveway, but
29	Brian cleared it up for me so no questions.
30 31	MR. LEVITON: No questions from Bob, and Josh?
32	rin. Edition. No questions from Bob, and bosh.
33	MR. SHALIKAR: Do we know how far it was on the other side
34 35	to the property line?
36	MR. BOCCANFUSO: Based upon the survey that was submitted it
37	was, looks like 4.6 feet.
38	MD IENTEON. It was all a seemal is not the seemal in the s
39 40	MR. LEVITON: It wasn't compliant there either.
41	MR. BOCCANFUSO: It was not compliant. It was half of what
42	it needed to be at that location.

MR. SHALIKAR: And this might be a silly question, sorry

Brian. Are the other properties in the area, are they all also not

46 47

43

44

45

compliant?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: There are very few properties in this development that are compliant driveways. Almost all of them are non-compliant. Most of them are at least a few feet. I mean here we're talking one foot or less and I'm not trying to sway the board or anything.

1 2

MR. SHALIKAR: No, no, no. I'll be quite honest I'm not comfortable with one foot. Right? Four feet in a property lot of this size does make a big difference in my opinion, but that's just my opinion. But alright thank you, no further questions.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah, it was 4.6 feet and what I would say is that if you re-surface a driveway in Manalapan it does require a zoning permit. If an applicant comes in to repair or replace their driveway and it's an existing, non-compliant driveway that was original to the home, we allow them to replace what we call like for like. You could basically replace it even though it's existing, non-conforming as long as it was original to the home. If a previous homeowner came in and we see this quite a bit, they widened it or they put a turnaround in without getting permits and that doesn't comply you can't then go ahead and replace that unless.

MR. SHALIKAR: Yeah, that makes total sense.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: So, had Mr. McKenna come in for a permit to replace his driveway in its pre-existing location even though it does not comply it would've been 4.6 feet, we would have, assuming that, that was original to the home we would have granted him the zoning permit to do that.

MR. SHALIKAR: Okay, thank you Brian.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yup.

MR. LEVITON: Anything else Josh?

MR. SHALIKAR: No.

MR. LEVITON: Michael?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Mr. McKenna, any other properties, have they flipped their driveway to the other side in the area?

MR. MCKENNA: That flipped their driveway?

MR. WECHSLER: By you, have any of your neighbors flipped their driveways?

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

MR. MCKENNA: Well, no since I've done mine, I've got compliments on it and everything else, but since I've done mine all around the neighborhood, around on Deer Way, there's two houses that are right next to each other that just did paved driveways attached to each other. One house is here. One house is here, and they're both attached down the middle. There's no foot in between them. You drive up. You drive in their driveway. You drive in their driveway and it's attached. They're all paved. I mean everybody is practically doing the same thing. My mistake was I didn't do my due diligence and come down and say hey am I allowed to do this. I just thought it was upgrading the home and making the home look better which I did get compliments on and everybody is starting to actually keep up with their property. My wife put lights around it. They also are now too putting lights around it. It was just to beautify the home more and then the backyard was only so I could get use out of my backyard. Like I said, going back from behind my house to where you said that shed is, that's all grass, all grass on the left side of my house. I didn't take any of that grass out. I only took the grass out where the original deck was underneath there. So, I could use that deck and the patio and I did put stone down there. I contacted my neighbor and I told him what I was doing. He hasn't had a problem with drainage since I've done it, okay. The driveway I only did it like that because I have a truck I go to work with, my wife has a car. I have to get up each morning and move her car out and then move my car out. So, I didn't think it was an issue once I spoke to my neighbor and the landscaper said no. The driveway you're doing, you're not cutting into the curb. So again, that was my fault, but that was the reason to do it. The other side, the driveway, it wasn't where it had to be repaired and recovered. It was destroyed. I mean if you look at the pictures, I mean it had to be ripped up and I just tried to make it more I guess prettier, beautiful, to upgrade it. I even made a walkway going across from walkway to driveway so there was no hazard, trip hazard, or anything for anybody that does pull into my house. That was a basic.

343536

MR. WECHSLER: It definitely makes it look better, but my other concern was I know parking is an issue in that area. How does it, taking away eight feet, affected parking for your neighbors?

394041

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

37

MR. MCKENNA: There's none because nobody parks in the street. I have a rounded curb area. It's not a regular curb cut so it's round to the curb. Nobody parks in the street, very rarely like the woman right across the street. She's got a couple of kids; she parks in the street. If I do park my car on the street that garbage trucks can't get through or anybody else. So, I have to park in my driveway which everybody else parks in their driveway on the block. It's not really a street parking driveway street. They basically park in their driveways.

and I cause him hazard.

46 47 PAGE 37

1	
2 3	MR. WECHSLER: Gotcha, I got nothing else.
4	MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Wechsler, Basil?
5 6	MR. MANTAGAS: Hi Mr. McKenna. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So,
7	you did it to gain more real estate for your cars to make them park
8	side by side?
9	
10	MR. MCKENNA: Well to make them.
11 12	MR. MANTAGAS: Your old driveway, you couldn't park two cars
13	side by side?
14	
15	MR. MCKENNA: I couldn't park them side by side. I'd have to
16	park one all the way in which went to my front door.
17 18	MR. MANTAGAS: So, your new driveway's wider than the old
19	driveway?
20	arrowa,.
21	MR. MCKENNA: Yes, yes.
22	
23	MR. MANTAGAS: Okay also and your patio now you said you
24 25	bought the house in January?
26	MR. MCKENNA: I bought the house in January.
27	int. Henzimir. I sought one house in canadi,
28	MR. MANTAGAS: So, you did all this work within the last few
29	months?
30	MD MOVEDING V
31 32	MR. MCKENNA: Yes, yes.
33	MR. MANTAGAS: And on the back patio, it seems like you
34	covered almost the whole area back there. There's no grass at all.
35	It's all pavers. Where does the water run off?
36	
37	MR. MCKENNA: The water runs off where you see that first of
38 39	all it's pitched to the front of the house and.
40	MR. MANTAGAS: So, it runs towards the front then the
41	driveway.
42	
43	MR. MCKENNA: The driveway which would go down to the street
44	or pitch it to my backyard where my grass is, but I also put that
45	white stone down there so it does not pitch into my neighbor's yard

MR. MANTAGAS: The neighbor's alright with this up to the fence line?

2 3 4

5

6

7

1

MR. MCKENNA: Alright with that. He's not here, but he gave me a letter that I did submit, but I know it's hearsay. Okay, but I did submit a letter that my both neighbors on each side said they had no problem with the improvements that I was doing or encroaching on their property or anything.

8 9 10

MR. MANTAGAS: Okay thank you, no more questions.

11 12

13

1415

16

MR. LEVITON: Before I go to Mr. Harrington, Basil I appreciate that line of questioning and you know I also, I appreciate the design and the work that you've put in. It's beautiful Mr. McKenna, but we do have the benefit of having Mr. Boccanfuso here. He's an engineer. I presume you're not an engineer. Are you Mr. McKenna?

17 18 19

MR. MCKENNA: No.

2021

22

23

MR. LEVITON: Okay so, Mr. Boccanfuso he testified Mr. McKenna has testified more than once he put the stone down to mitigate runoff to the neighbor's house. Is that an effective measure to ensure that the neighbor doesn't get any runoff?

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

MR. BOCCANFUSO: It could be, but without knowing all the details including topography, specifications of the stone, how deep, which way it's pitched it's hard to say that it definitely is. I mean what I will tell you is that oftentimes putting a stone perimeter, commonly known as an infiltration trench, around an impervious area can be an effective way to mitigate a drainage impact, but if it doesn't have sufficient capacity it will fill up relatively quickly during an intense storm and just overflow at the lowest point. Without topography, I can't say where that is. I can also say that while this improvement is relatively new and we haven't had any of our real doozies of a rainfall since this has happened. Maybe one, but I don't think any. I have not received any complaints. Now the letters that Mr. McKenna referenced, they are hearsay. The board can't consider them, but I have not received any drainage complaints and the neighbors were noticed if they had a major issue with it. With what's going on, they have an opportunity to appear or hire counsel to appear on their behalf. I see some folks in the audience here. I don't know if we're going to hear from them before the end of proceedings here.

43 44 45

46 47

48

MR. LEVITON: We will get to them Mr. Boccanfuso. Of course, it's incumbent of me to tell you Mr. McKenna that this board appreciates the approval that you got from your neighbor, but it needs to concern itself with posterity and future neighbors as well. It's

just another thing to consider, another layer as part of your 2 application. Let's go on to Mr. Harrington.

3 4

1

MR. HARRINGTON: Thanks Mr. McKenna for coming in. The only question I have is how deep is that new driveway? Is it as deep as the old driveway?

6 7 8

5

MR. MCKENNA: Yeah, they dug down I believe.

9 10

MR. HARRINGTON: No, no I'm not talking depth of the --- I'm talking the actual deep from street to the house.

11 12 13

MR. MCKENNA: Oh yes, yes, yes, yes.

14 15

MR. HARRINGTON: So, they're both the same?

16 17

MR. MCKENNA: Yeah, yeah, I didn't go past where it was,

18 yes. 19

MR. HARRINGTON: Okay so they're essentially ---

20 21 22

MR. MCKENNA: From street to home, yes they're the same length.

23 24

MR. HARRINGTON: The same length?

25 26

MR. MCKENNA: Yes.

27 28

MR. HARRINGTON: Whether it's the old one or the new one?

29 30

MR. MCKENNA: Whether it was the old one or the new one.

31 32 33

MR. HARRINGTON: You just basically widened it and put it on the other side?

34 35 36

37

39

40

41

42

43

MR. MCKENNA: Yes, the only thing I could say is when I ripped it up, I just felt it was better than having not come out my front door, walk into my car. It made it inconvenient to come out of my house with a grocery, company, or anything else because if you put two cars in a driveway you were stepping down the steps and walking into a car. You had no room to walk down a path. So that's what made me actually say hey you know what let's get it out of the way and I'll put a walkway so people will walk safely into my home. That was my idea.

44 45 46

47

MR. LEVITON: His testimony is a little confusing. It should be clarified. He said he needed longer, then he said no it's the same

length. Which one is it? The driveway, is it longer? Is the new one longer or is it the same length as the older one?

MR. MCKENNA: It's the same length if you come from the street to the home. If you come width wise it is wider.

MR. LEVITON: When I look at the pictures, I see where you put grass where the driveway used to be where your front door is and the fence seems to be at least two feet from the fascia back and on the other side of the house where the driveway is currently located, the driveway goes back flush with the fence. So, unless the fence on the left and the right side of your house aren't even then your driveway is longer.

MR. MCKENNA: I thought you meant because when you go up the driveway goes straight to right where the window is, but there's a couple of trees there. I just thought you meant the driveway area there. I apologize.

MR. LEVITON: Well Mr. Harrington asked, I don't know.

 $\,$  MR. HARRINGTON: I was looking from the street to the length of the actual

MR. MCKENNA: I apologize about that. I apologize. It may be two to three feet longer without that garden area that's underneath my front window where it originally was. I thought you were talking about from street to window with everything that was there. I apologize about that.

MR. HARRINGTON: That's alright. And is there a reason when you look at the driveway and if you look to the right of the new driveway, you have the white rock.

MR. MCKENNA: Right.

MR. HARRINGTON: And then you have obviously a bush or ornamental there. I understand maybe not wanting to go into that one area, but if we move that in just a little bit, that driveway, would that have given us the room?

MR. MCKENNA: Well, that was there already. Those trees if you see there, they're cut that originally was there. It was mulch all around the property. I didn't do any of the gardening around there. That was there.

MR. HARRINGTON: You just wanted to leave that alone.

MR.	MCKENNA: Yeah so,	I just went aro	und that. You
understand? So,	on the side where	you're talking	about right over
here. This bush	here?		

1 2

MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah.

MR. MCKENNA: And along those bushes right behind there which are here, those were there already.

MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, yeah.

MR. MCKENNA: So, I just went around whatever was there. The mulch and I just added instead of mulch I added the rocks.

MR. HARRINGTON: Okay.

MR, SHALIKAR: I think what Mr. Harrington is asking if in order to remove that bush and move the driveway in to take the place of the bush, you'd gain probably about three feet and you would provide a little bit more clearance between the property lines. I think that's what you were asking, right?

MR. HARRINGTON: Which I believe would probably satisfy a little bit of what everyone's looking for.

MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.

MR. HARRINGTON: Make a little bit of room.

MR. SHALIKAR: Does that make sense to you? I just want to.

MR. MCKENNA: Yes, it makes sense, but then it comes in to taking this tree out because this tree has no roots in it.

MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, I mean.

MR. MCKENNA: That's why I didn't do that. So, if I come straight down it comes straight down and this tree here there's all roots there. So, then I would be taking down a tree without being asked.

MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, no I see exactly --- I didn't see that. I didn't look at picture one.

MR. LEVITON: Anything else John?

MR. HARRINGTON: No, I'm okay at this point.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Harrington, Ms. Klompus?

MS. KLOMPUS: Thank you. That was my same question and if there was anything on the house or in that area any equipment --- or anything that would eliminate you being able to move over behind that bush?

MR. MCKENNA: Move over would be that tree that asked me to there, there's a gas meter there. The gas meter there and that tree. i would have to cut into that tree which would probably kill it.

MS. KLOMPUS: Okay and on your neighbor's, side is this side a door to their backyard or is this a straight piece of fence? Do they enter their backyard from next to your cars?

MR. MCKENNA: Well, next to my car back here. So, their front of their house is on the complete opposite side. They have another doorway I believe over here. This here is a door, but it's to a laundry room.

MS. KLOMPUS: No on the fencing is that a door or is it just a straight piece?

MR. MCKENNA: On the fence?

MS. KLOMPUS: Yeah, that fence piece here. Is that a door?

MR. MCKENNA: There's two fences there, fence on my side and fence on his side. A gate you're talking about, right?

MS. KLOMPUS: Yes.

MR. MCKENNA: Yeah, he has a gate and I also have a gate.

MS. KLOMPUS: Right is that a door into his backyard or is it just straight fencing? Do they enter their backyard from right next to your car?

MR. MCKENNA: Yeah so, they can from there. They can from the other side. They have a couple entrances there and a couple doors there. I think they have a little garden area right after mine and like I said since we had all this rain and everything else, I've knocked on his door and asked him that. Did you receive any water that are bothering you please if anything knock on my door, let me know because I did before. Before I even decided, I didn't want anybody to think hey this guy is coming here claiming his property. You know what I mean? Or property line, I asked him. I spoke and I asked my neighbors and discussed it with them and like I said if there's any

problems, please come to me immediately and we've had rain and he's got a little garden there. He has not come over and said there's been any problems or issues. He was going to come tonight. He's a fireman, unfortunately he couldn't make it. He's in Long Island so, but he said if he had to come, he'll come and he wasn't able to make it.

1 2

MS. KLOMPUS: Thank you.

MR. LEVITON: Okay thank you Mr. McKenna. At this time, I'm going to go to the public. There are people in our audience and ask if any of you want to come up and talk to the board. Okay I'm getting no's. Mr. McKenna before we do anything further just let me ask you, has there been a collection of water in your backyard? Have you seen anything in your grassy areas? When it rained have you seen any flooding in your backyard? Any collection of water, any muddiness?

MR. MCKENNA: No, the only time I did see it was with that one picture that I showed you of my backyard, the side yard because everything is pitched to the back of where the town is. The town is right behind me.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah.

MR. MCKENNA: But on the side yard, of course I have that picture.

MR. LEVITON: He's talking about the easement.

MR. MCKENNA: That's where it was. I could walk down there trying to look for it in the backyard. Right here, so in that side picture when it rains.

MR. LEVITON: He's referencing picture number four by the way.

MR. MCKENNA: Yeah, number four. When it rained it took three days before I could walk out to the yard because there were potholes from that. See I had a larger dog, whether he dug it or whatever else. So, one way or another I either had to grade it out, re-sod it, or whatever and I thought to clean this the easiest way to do it is what I did.

MR. LEVITON: Okay Mr. Marmero, any concerns sir?

MR. MARMERO: No, I mean so you've heard the presentation which indicates that there's a few different variances that we're dealing with. Let's start with you have the porch structure which is in the backyard. There the setback requirement would be five feet. The

applicant has about two feet that we're looking at. We have the 1 2 driveway issue where the requirement would be a ten-foot setback to the side yard line. Here we have a setback of less than one foot and 3 then we have the shed issue which is protected due to the ZCCO 4 program. It is all in the documents the board can consider granting a 5 variance for the location of the shed. Again, as you intimated it 6 Chairman if a variance is granted the shed could be rebuilt If it was 7 destroyed, the shed could be improved upon. If no variance is granted 8 for the shed it's still going to remain. It just can't be touched in 9 10 any way because of the ZCCO program.

11 12

MR. LEVITON: So, does anybody have thoughts about that? Do you want to discuss it?

13 14 15

16

17

MR. WECHSLER: I just have a question for clarification. Mr. McKenna is here because the zoning officer brought this to his attention that it wasn't properly done? There were no permits to it, is that why?

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

3738

MR. LEVITON: I'd like to have a brief discussion about the shed and the variance relief sought regarding it. Mr. McKenna didn't bring it to our attention. We identified it as a problem and put it on the application as a courtesy, but if we do nothing, if we don't grant relief, if someone moves to not include the shed, he still gets to keep it. It won't matter to him. Does anybody have feelings or thoughts about that? I came in and I did have thoughts about it. I didn't want to grant relief for the shed, but to be honest because of the nature of the shallowness of the land and its placement, I've changed my mind on it. So, if anybody's inclined to move to accept the application then I would hope that they include the shed as part of the relief that's being sought and maybe we should talk about the driveway before a motion is made as well. Just want to get an understanding about where you are. I'd hate for the motion to be made and then present Mr. McKenna with this is what needs to be done because if a motion is made in favor of the application and then it's denied he'd have to bring that driveway back into conformity which is nearly impossible. So we should have an idea about where we're going with it and the plan in the absence of a favorable decision. Stacey, any thoughts? Let's start down there.

394041

MS. KLOMPUS: On the shed or on the driveway?

42 43

MR. LEVITON: No, on the driveway yeah. It encroaches into the setback nine feet and it almost abuts the neighbor's property.

44 45 46

47

MS. KLOMPUS: Right in my opinion I feel it should be moved, but at the same time I don't want him to have to rip out the whole

tree and remove everything that's going to be with that tree. When I thought it was the little bush then I saw that it could be moved over.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  MCKENNA: What are you talking about? The big tree I'm talking about.

MS. KLOMPUS: I know, right. From the initial talking about it I didn't understand about the tree. I just thought with the bush we can remove the little bush and move it over. That's just what I'm thinking.

MR. LEVITON: John?

 $\,$  MR. HARRINGTON: I think Mr. McKenna brought up a great point by moving the driveway over which is kind of what I was angling for.

MR. LEVITON: So, you've got two votes on your side so far Mr. McKenna.

MR. HARRINGTON: It kind of makes Shade Tree happy.

MR. LEVITON: We're a seven-member board. We have two alternates and everyone's vote counts tonight. Mr. Harrington, Ms. Klompus your votes are just as important as everyone else's and Mr. McKenna only needs a plurality. He needs three. He needs four so to approve. Basil where do you stand?

 MR. MANTAGAS: I don't have a problem with the shed so much. My biggest problem is with the pavers in the back. The driveway is close to the property line which is not a good thing, but I don't think that's such a bad thing because he had the driveway on the other side, and now he actually gained a parking space. So, he doesn't put one car in the street, but my concern is the runoff of the water where there was all grass at one time. Was that ground leveled at any point?

MR. MCKENNA: No and when I did do the pavers, I did concrete when I was younger and everything else, but I made sure they pitched away from my neighbors and they pitched out to my backyard and out to the front yard.

MR. MANTAGAS: But how was it originally pitched, the land?

 $\,$  MR. MCKENNA: It wasn't. It was all over the place so it flooded to be honest with you.

MR. MANTAGAS: Which way did it go originally? Did it go towards the back or the front?

1 2

 MR. MCKENNA: The first time it soaked into the grass. The first time that it rained it took three days for my yard to dry out, for me to let my dog out, or for me to walk from my yard to the front of the house. So, when I did the pavers, I figured the pavers would alleviate the problem and we could pitch it out to the front of the house. Let it run down the driveway the way it normally does or to my backyard where I have almost a hundred feet of grass and then I only put the stone there so if any water did runoff into my neighbors that stone would soak it up and it wouldn't cause a problem for them. That's basically and like I said I have not had a complaint from my neighbor about any kind of water. I told him if there is you let me know right away. I'll pull it up. I'll do whatever you need to do to correct the problem with you. Like I said, he's not here unfortunately, but he signed he had no issues with it. Since we've been doing this there hasn't been any complaints about it.

MR. MANTAGAS: My other issue is you not knowing you had to get a permit. If you would've came to find out we wouldn't be here right now.

MR. MCKENNA: Right.

MR. MANTAGAS: And you would've known what the laws are and the zoning laws. There are zoning laws for a reason. That's why people don't do things that they're not supposed to do. Go up to somebody's property line and water runoff, there's a lot of different factors.

MR. MCKENNA: This is my first time living in New Jersey. I moved out of New York into here. When I did speak to the gentlemen, I had three contractors out there. They told me that I did not need it because it was landscaping. If I did concrete that was permanent with rebar and everything else, then I wasn't allowed, but being that it was pavers. Pavers could be picked up. They could be moved. All these sorts of stuff and then I wasn't cutting into the curb because on the front of my house, it's rounded. In other words, for the drainage to go down so there's no curb. You drive above it, everybody does.

MR. MANTAGAS: So originally the curb was flat?

MR. MCKENNA: There's no curb cut.

MR. MANTAGAS: There was no curb?

MR. MCKENNA: There's no curb on the whole block, on the whole block. So that's what I was told.

MR. MANTAGAS: Yes, yes I know how the block is right, right.

MR. MCKENNA: So that's what I was told. Like I said again my apologies I should've came down and asked, but I assumed they were contractors. They said they were licensed. I assumed they knew what they were talking about and I thought it was only the landscaping.

MR. MANTAGAS: Okay no more questions.

MR. LEVITON: Michael?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes Mr. Chairman so I seem to have a problem with the driveway. I don't have a problem with the shed, but the driveway seems to have gone from a necessity of having a driveway to a convenience of now having a double wide driveway that's a little bit longer. I would've been fine taking that driveway and rebuilding it in the same spot and at that point if he made it a little bit wider at least it was in the same spot. Now the driveway's been flipped to the other side of the property, lengthened, and now a walkway was built. So as much as it is good looking, it now just opens us up to anybody else wanting to make any other changes to their property now that we set a precedent of allowing a double wide driveway and a single walkway. So that's my issue.

MR. LEVITON: So that won't be a problem because every case is judged on its own merits. We have the flexibility to do that. Just laying that out there, but I appreciate your thoughts and your reasons. Joshua?

MR. SHALIKAR: Mr. Marmero, is safety a hardship?

MR. MARMERO: Yeah, safety we have used as hardships before. We've heard testimony about locations of decks and the ability of someone coming out of the house and that's why it had to be there. We've heard testimony before about traffic on roadways and necessities that create hardships so sure.

MR. SHALIKAR: Thank you.

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Shalikar.

MR. SHALIKAR: I'm not a fan of the driveway. I just have to get it on record. Right? I'm a proponent of safety. You said a few things in your testimony that in my opinion resonated quite well. Even though I'm not a fan of the driveway so I'm definitely in favor of your thoughts on moving and why you moved it. I do see the benefit of coming out of your house to a walkway instead of hitting a car. I do

see the benefit of having the driveway so it can have a two-car 1 2 capacity. I think the future, one day you will sell, and I'm sure the neighborhood would and the future owner of the house would appreciate 3 that. Instead of having a double stack moving out, bad weather, having 4 unnecessary reason to go outside, slip on a single car to move cars, 5 etc., etc. So the driveway for me is a pass. The pavers in the 6 backyard, I understand that you haven't had any flooding or pooling 7 yet, but as our township administrator has said earlier, we have not 8 had any rain events that have been substantial. I worry that when we 9 do and we do, there will be flooding. At that point you're going to 10 have to rip it all out and try to make appeasements of some sort. Is 11 there any way that you can add underground drainage where the rocks 12 are? So I'm not asking you to demolish the pavers, but can you add a 13 drain under those rocks that could go towards the back of the property 14 15 as a preventative measure?

16 17

18

MR. MCKENNA: Yes, I - - but I can take those rocks up. The first course of break up, put a PVC pipe, regular French drain, bring it out to my backyard, yes, I can.

19 20 21

MR. SHALIKAR: Brian is that a condition that makes sense? I don't want to talk for the sake of talking. I'm just trying to prevent future headaches.

232425

22

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah, I think it does. To my point earlier, stone can provide benefit, but without knowing the details, specifications and so forth it's hard to say whether it will.

272829

26

MR. SHALIKAR: Sure.

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

MR. BOCCANFUSO: But providing a four- or six-inch perforated pipe underneath that stone, piping it out towards the back of the property and putting in some type of pop-up emitter or discharge that certainly would work in my opinion. If you had a heavy rainfall event or a lot of runoff and again we've heard Mr. McKenna's testimony, but we don't have the benefit of topography here to know exactly how it would pitch. Any contractor who knows what they're doing and in fairness this does appear to be nice work knows that you would pitch it at least away from the house. Right? So assuming that's the case maybe there's front and backward pitch as well. A lot of runoff would get into that stone, be collected by the pipe if there's an overflow, gets discharged back towards the rear of the property where if you notice beyond the rear lot line there's an exempted Township of Manalapan property that is likely vacant. So certainly, I think that would mitigate any potential drainage impact. I'm having a hard time really getting the consensus for what the board is thinking with regard to the driveway, but if the board is just really not in favor of this less than one foot setback and would be more comfortable

1	with a couple of feet that drain could be extended to alongside the
2	driveway as well. I don't really know where you are at collectively on
3	the driveway issue, but if it's really just we acknowledge there's a
4	hardship here and ten feet is just completely unreasonable. You're
5	generally okay with the driveway, but less than one foot is too much
6	and I'm not putting words in your mouth. Again, I don't know where
7	you're at with it, but if that's the case and you're okay with a
8	couple of feet perhaps that drainage improvement could be extended to
9	pick up that as well.

 $\,$  MR. LEVITON: I love how you connected safety to the hardship and I'm not sure either where you stand on the driveway. It was difficult to tell.

MR. SHALIKAR: That might've been done on purpose.

MR. LEVITON: Well, done son.

 MR. SHALIKAR: I'm indifferent with the drainage to the front. Brian, I could see the benefit, but.

MR. WECHSLER: It looks like it's pitched to the front.

MR. SHALIKAR: I think it's pitched enough I would say. So, the new driveway is pitched enough I would as a condition ask for a minimum of six-inch pipe.

MR. MCKENNA: On the?

 $\,$  MR. SHALIKAR: Along the pavers going to the back of the property to prevent headaches, future headaches.

 $\,$  MR. MARMERO: To me your condition then would be fair to say underground drainage within the stone that surrounds the paver patio that's satisfactory to the township engineer?

MR. SHALIKAR: Yes, thank you.

MR. MCKENNAL Center of it or where I have the stone?

MR. SHALIKAR: I couldn't have said it better myself. Where the stone is.

MR. MCKENNA: Where the stone is, right? Take the stone up, put a six-inch pipe.

MR. SHALIKAR: Yeah, and if you have to move the first course, so be it, but at minimum you'll clear and have adequate drainage.

3 4 5

1 2

MR. MCKENNA: Where that - - - I understand.

6 7

8

9

MR. SHALIKAR: Yeah, the shed I have no problems with. It's fine, it's two and a half feet. I'm in favor of the driveway to make it clear Mr. Chairman.

10 11

MR. LEVITON: Outstanding, thank you.

12 13

MR. SHALIKAR: No further questions or comments from me.

14 15

MR. LEVITON: Thank you for the clarification. Bob, he has enough support.

16 17 18

MR. GREGOWICZ: Whatever concerns I have, were put to rest after hearing from the board and the professionals so I'm fine.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. LEVITON: Okay. Mr. McKenna, I'm also not in favor of the one-foot encroachment and because they're in a generous mood, I'm going to be able to vote no on your resolution, on your application, but you're going to get it because you have the four votes that you need out of the seven. So, we're going to ask Mr. Marmero if he needs anything else.

262728

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

39

40

41 42

MR. MARMERO: No, but just to sum up the relief there's a lot of different things going on, but the applicant provided testimony. Again, just to reiterate we're dealing with the pavers in the back where the five-foot setback would be needed. The applicant has a setback of plus or minus two feet. We're dealing with the driveway that we talked about which would need the ten-foot setback where we're dealing with less than one foot, and then we're dealing with the location of the shed which as we discussed has a setback of 2.5 feet where five feet is required. That shed is going to stay regardless because of the ZCCO program, but if that is included within the variance relief then the applicant is able to improve it or rebuild it if there's some type of issue, and then in terms of conditions. One of the conditions that was discussed outside of the normal conditions that are attached is just that there be underground drainage within the stones that surrounds the paver patio with such underground drainage being satisfactory to the township engineer.

43 44 45

MR. LEVITON: Will someone make a motion?

46 47

48

MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make the motion to approve the application with the conditions that have been cited.

PAGE 51

1 2		MR. LEVITON: Will someone second that?
3 4		MR. MANTAGAS: I'll second it Mr. Chairman.
5 6		MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar and thank you Mr.
7 8	Mantagas.	
9	ROLL CALL	
10 11		MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
12 13		MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
14 15		MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler?
16 17		MR. WECHSLER: No.
18 19		MS. MOENCH: I'm sorry did you say? I couldn't hear.
20 21		MR. WECHSLER: No.
22 23		MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
28 29		MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
30		MS. MOENCH: Mr. Harrington?
32 33		MR. HARRINGTON: Yes.
34 35		MS. MOENCH: Ms. Klompus?
36 37		MS. KLOMPUS: Yes.
38 39		MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
40 41		MR. SHALIKAR: On this pause, go ahead Steve.
42 43		MR. LEVITON: Yes, congratulations.
44 45		MR. MCKENNA: Thank you so much.
46 47 48	appreciate	MR. LEVITON: I appreciate the way the property looks. I his hard work.

1	
2	
3	

MR. MCKENNA: I'll tell you one thing; I'm not touching that shed unless I come back to you.

MR. LEVITON: Good luck sir going forward. At this time, I'm going to go out to the public for anything other than agenda items. Seeing none, I'll close public. Brian you are good to go. We will memorialize your approval at our next regular meeting and right after that'll happen, you'll be able to go to work and.

MR. MCKENNA: Make the changes.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah.

MR. MCKENNA: Yes, and the only change I do have to make we all discussed so I'm on the right page is the six inch pipe, perforated pipe, down the pavers.

MR. SHALIKAR: The fence line.

MR. MCKENNA: The fence line, right, and then down by the pavers and put stone back on top of it and then we'll be okay.

MR. LEVITON: And Brian.

MR. MCKENNA: And bring it to my yard instead of the front.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Here's what you'll have to do Mr. McKenna when the resolution, some point after the resolution is memorialized which should be in a couple of weeks.

MS. MOENCH: He doesn't know what the resolution means.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: What's up?

MS. MOENCH: He doesn't know the resolution.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay, the board's approval is going to be documented with what's called a resolution. It's a six, or seven-, or eight-page document that our attorney is going to prepare. That will be formalized at the next, most likely, at the next meeting. Once that's done and you have a copy of it, you're now going to need to submit for zoning permits for all of this stuff that's already there. The zoning permits that now you know you should've got in the first place. So now that you'll have a board approval, you'll need to get zoning permits for those. In order to submit those zoning permits you're going to need to submit a plan similar to what you've submitted already. However, that plan is also going to need to show what you're

46 47

48

PAGE 53

doing with the drainage improvements that we discussed here tonight. 1 2 You're going to submit that to the zoning department with the application form. There's a fee that goes with it, it's \$150.00. 3 Myself, I'm sorry a hundred dollars for residential, a hundred 4 dollars. Myself or any of the girls in the department can help you 5 through the process when that time comes as long as what you're doing 6 is consistent with the proceedings here tonight and the language 7 that's going to be in the resolution. We will review it and approve it 8 and then you're good to go and install the drainage improvements, 9 10 alright. 11 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you everybody. Thank you for your help. 12 13 14 MR. LEVITON: Good night, folks. 15 MR. MCKENNA: Enjoy your holidays. 16 17 MR. LEVITON: You as well. 18 19 20 MR. MCKENNA: Thank you. 21 MR. LEVITON: Thank you sir. Albert, any news on litigation? 22 23 24 MR. MARMERO: No, nothing on my end. 25 26 MR. LEVITON: Brian, anything coming down the pike of 27 interest? 28 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Not really, potentially, but nothing that's 29 certain at this point. Janice and I had an interesting meeting today 30 with an application that I don't even think you would remember. It 31 goes back to 2009. Were you on the board in 2009? 32 33 34 MR. LEVITON: That pre-dates me. 35 36 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay so, we'll see. It's the Buddhist temple on Gordons Corner Road. 37 38 MR. LEVITON: Oh dear. 39 40 MR. BOCCANFUSO: I don't know if any of you are familiar 41 42 with it. 43 MR. LEVITON: Right next to Taylors Mills. 44 45

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes, they're considering modifying their

site. It's in the very, very early stages at this point so we'll see.

They would like to provide a small facility for visitors. It was

originally in 1999 a zoning board application, we're not sure why yet, we have a little bit of homework to do, but because the original application was to the zoning board, we would retain jurisdiction and they would be coming in for an amended site plan. So, it'll be an interesting one for sure. I don't know that it's going to be.

1 2

MS. MOENCH: Brian and I meditated today.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes, Janice and I did a site visit today. We feel very spiritual after that. It was quite nice. It's really a nice property. I don't know if you've ever been there, but it's very nice.

MR. LEVITON: And Shoprite is planning board?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: That is a planning board application, yes.

MR. LEVITON: Not coming here whatsoever.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: It is not. I mean I think you all are aware at this point we do have a pending application for a billboard on the Toll Brothers property, but.

MR. LEVITON: Yeah, only I know they don't know.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay it's pending, but we don't really know where it's going at this point when we're going to hear it, if at all. We'll see.

MR. LEVITON: They were scheduled to appear before us. They asked for us to carry it. Jennifer doesn't believe that they even have, that we have jurisdiction to even hear it because for them to have gone before the planning board they had to agree according to Jennifer to get rid of those things in the first place. Now they want to come here and ask if they can keep it and at their last planning board meeting the developer asked for permission to extend his building into a new phase and it was granted, but as a condition they had to take the billboards down and they still object to the removal and said that that wasn't the proper forum to decide that issue.

MS. MOENCH: You don't know that for sure.

MR. LEVITON: Well, that's what was reported in the paper, and that's where I get my information and that's what I'm relaying to you.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes, I believe the recent planning board approval for phase two of the development which really should've just

been a formality. I think it was conditioned, specifically
conditioned, on the removal of the billboard, but the applicant I'm
not sure what Jen's opinion on it is as far as jurisdiction is
concerned. What I will say is it's not a permitted use so if they are
going to process this is the correct board to go to because they would
need a D variance.

MR. MARMERO: Both boards really. It sounds like to me they have to go to the planning board to get rid of that condition.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: If they're successful, if they're successful here after I think they do have to go back to the planning board to amend that condition.

MR. MARMERO: Oh yeah.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah, and I don't want to get too much into it because it's a pending application, but we'll see. It's pending. We may hear it, we may not. I don't know. It's going to be a challenging case for them. I will say that. It's tough. A use variance should be, they're always a challenge.

MR. LEVITON: They know, they know it's a hard lift and it's on them.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Well said.

MR. LEVITON: Okay.

MS. KLOMPUS: How about Shoprite? Anything new?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah, it's pending for the planning board. It has nothing to do with this board at all. So the information that I'm providing to you would be the same that I'd provide to any members of the public. It's a pending application. We are having a professional, a technical review committee meeting with them next week and they're hopeful to be heard before the end of the year, possibly in November with their first public hearing. We'll see what happens so it's proceeding.

MR. LEVITON: You live near there.

MS. KLOMPUS: I'm in ---.

MR. LEVITON: Sure.

MS. KLOMPUS: I mean the traffic is terrible as it is

47

48

			PAGE 56
1 2 3	paving the n		MANTAGAS: They can't fix the road right. They finished and everything is open now.
4 5	can handle t		KLOMPUS: Yeah. It's not even that, it's Tennent Road. I traffic.
6 7 8		MR.	MARMERO: Yeah, Tennent's always backed up.
9 10	half hour be		KLOMPUS: You literally have to leave my house like a you need to be.
11 12 13		MR.	MANTAGAS: When it's rush hour, oh yeah.
14 15	Wegmans? Do		LEVITON: Is there a different way for you to get to have to go through that Main Street area?
16 17 18	around or I		KLOMPUS: I don't go on 33. I go Route 9 all the way the drive though Englishtown.
19 20 21		MR.	MARMERO: Oh, Englishtown is bad.
22 23			SHALIKAR: Englishtown is worse than Tennent.
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	me to leave		KLOMPUS: I go on Waze, see how bad it is, and time for
27 28			LEVITON: Bob lives off
29 30 31	were going t	to ha	KLOMPUS: That's why it was a joke when they said they ave the kids walk to school From my house my kids to walk down that road. They're all looking at their
32 33	phones		
34 35 36			LEVITON: 3-1 Los Angeles.  GREGOWICZ: If the Dodgers win the series, the Yankees
37 38	have no char		GREGOWICZ. II the Dougers will the Series, the lankees
39 40 41			LEVITON: If the Dodgers win.  GREGOWICZ: If the Dodgers beat the Mets, there's no way
41 42 43	the Yankees		going to beat the Dodgers.
44 45			WECHSLER: Oh no way. You do know I have to vote right?
46		TATE/	LEVITON: No way.

MR. WECHSLER: I'm just saying.

## TOWNSHIP OF MANALAPAN MINUTES

## ZONING BOARD MEETING DATE OCTOBER 17, 2024

PAGE 57

1		
2		MR. LEVITON: John Harrington has stood up.
3		MR. HARRINGTON: I've got to go to the restroom.
5 6 7	right there	MR. SHALIKAR: That's a good way to adjourn the meeting because of Bob.
8 9		MR. LEVITON: Meeting is adjourned.
10 11 12		MR. SHALIKAR: Alright Bob.
3		MS. MOENCH: Did anybody adjourn?
15		MR. LEVITON: I adjourned it.
16 17 18		
.9 20		*********
21		
22		
24 25		