# MANALAPAN ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING <br> Thursday, June 6, 2024 <br> TOWNSHIP OF MANALAPAN <br> Manalapan, NJ 07726 <br> Public In-Person Meeting 

Chairman Leviton called the meeting to order with the reading of the Open Public Meetings Act at 7:30 p.m., followed by the salute to the flag.

Board Secretary, Janice Moench, took the roll call of the Board
In attendance at the meeting: Robert Gregowicz, Michael Wechsler, Adam Weiss, Basil Mantagas, Daniel Pochopin, John Harrington, Stacey Klompus, Stephen Leviton

Absent from the meeting: David Schertz, Josh Shalikar
Also, present
Albert Marmero, Zoning Board Attorney Brian Boccanfuso, Zoning Officer/Administrative Officer/PE Janice Moench, Recording Secretary/Asst. Administrative Officer

Chair Leviton acknowledged the presence of Ms. Ilona Hofmann, of the Zoning Office. Ms. Hofmann compiles the ZCCO reports.

## MINUTES:

A Motion was made by Mr. Gregowicz, Seconded by Mr. Wechsler, to approve the Minutes of May 16, 2024 as written.

Yes: Gregowicz, Wechsler, Mantagas, Pochopin, Harrington, Klompus, Leviton
No: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Schertz, Shalikar
Not Eligible: Weiss

## RESOLUTIONS:

A Motion was made by Mr. Wechsler, Seconded by Mr. Pochopin
to approve the Resolution of memorialization for

## Application ZBE2409- Stillman/Resker

| Yes: | Wechsler, Mantagas, Pochopin, Leviton |
| :--- | :--- |
| No: | None |
| Abstain: | None |
| Absent: | Schertz, Shalikar |
| Not Eligible: | Weiss, Gregowicz, Harrington, Klompus |

## PUBLIC HEARING:

## Application No: ZBE2420

Applicant: Glenn Adamo
Proposal: Legitimize pool equipment ( side yard setback)
Request: Bulk relief
Location: 33 Ivanhoe Dr.~ 301/26
Zone: R20
The Applicant is proposing to legitimize the location of pool equipment (pool filter and heater) that is located within the side yard setback
for the Applicant's proposal, the following variance and waiver relief is required:
a. §208-8.A - No private swimming pool or any equipment and/or appurtenance thereto shall be constructed, installed, or maintained within 10 feet of any side or rear lot lines, whereas the existing pool equipment is setback approximately 5 feet from the easterly side property line.

The Applicant appeared before the Board and was represented by Andrew Ball, Esq. Mr. Marmero swore in Mr. Glenn Adamo.

The Applicant explained that the pool and the pool equipment have existed in this location for 27 years. He further explained that the existing location of the pool equipment is ideal due to the existing screening that prevents visibility from neighboring properties.

The Applicant indicated the current location of the pool equipment enhances the visual element and the aesthetics of the surrounding area as the pool equipment cannot be seen.

The Applicant made it clear that there is no hardship claim, so this would be a c(2)/flex variance argument.

The Applicant indicated that when he began the process to sell the property, many other zoning deficiencies were discovered, and all deficiencies and non-conformities have been corrected except for this proposed variance involving the location of the pool equipment.

The Applicant indicated that the pool equipment was installed by his pool contractor when the pool was installed with proper permits 27 years ago. The Applicant testified that little noise was produced by the pool equipment.

The Applicant presented and described the following exhibits:
-Exhibit A-1 - Survey of Property Showing Setbacks -Exhibit A-2 - Series of Photographs of the Property

Chair Leviton opened the meeting to the public for questions or comments regarding the Application.

Michelle Loney, of 31 Ivanhoe Drive was sworn in by Mr. Marmero. Ms. Loney indicated that she is a neighbor and the pool equipment has never presented any issue to her, even when the landscape screening was not fully developed as it is today. She stated that she cannot see or hear the pool equipment from her property.

Michele Calice of 35 Ivanhoe Drive was sworn in by Mr. Marmero. Ms. Calice stated that she supports her neighbor's variance application. She also indicated she felt it was unfair that these improvements were approved in the past and are now becoming an issue. The Board provided clarification to Ms. Calice and indicated that this is an issue because the location of the pool equipment was never approved or permitted

Mr. Marmero listed the relief being sought and the conditions as follows:

- Should the heater/pool equipment be replaced it will be relocated into a conforming location (minimally 10 feet from the rear and side property lines).
- Upon the death of the shrubbery/screening around the equipment be replaced so the existing screening is always in place.

A Motion of approval was by made by Mr. Weiss to approve the Application, Seconded by Mr. Mantagas for application ZBE2420~Adamo.

Yes: Gregowicz, Wechsler, Weiss, Mantagas, Pochopin, Harrington, Leviton
No: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Schertz, Shalikar
Not Eligible: Klompus
Mr. Marmero swore in the Board Professionals; Planner, Jennifer Beahm and Engineer Danny Lopez sitting in got Jordan Rizzo.

## Application No: ZBE2421

Applicant: Robert \& Melissa Belovin
Proposal: Legitimize driveway (side yard setback)
Request: Bulk relief
Location: 12 Saratoga Dr.~ 1505/6
Zone: R20
Robert Belovin and Melissa Belovin of 12 Saratoga Dr. were present and sworn in by Mr. Marmero.

The Applicant explained that the driveway was expanded without permits and was apologetic for doing so. He further explained the main reason for the installation of this driveway was for safety, as Saratoga Drive is used as a cut-through which creates fast-moving traffic. The Applicant indicated that the driveway expansion was a necessary area for the safety of his children as they cannot be in the street.

There was discussion about an improvement in the rear of the property that appears to be some type of stoned patio. The Applicant indicated that this is not a patio and would be removed as a condition of any approval granted.

There was also discussion about what appeared to be additional sheds, with one in the rear of the property and one in the front of the property, but the Applicant indicated that these were temporary plastic structures that would be removed as a condition of any approval granted.

The Applicant explained he has five children and when he moved to Saratoga Drive, he learned people used the street as cut-through and
speed around the bend. Mr. Belovin said he witnessed a school bus lose control, due to slippery conditions, and crash into a telephone pole. Mr. Belovin felt it was best to expand the driveway so he would be able to fit all vehicles on the driveway and not in the street.

The Applicant indicated that the driveway promotes the health, safety, and general welfare of the surrounding area, primarily as it enhances the safety of his property. The Applicant indicated that the driveway does not create any negative impact to the surrounding area.

Mr. Gregowicz explained he was reviewing the pictures submitted, and the driveway expansion looks like it can be used to drive a vehicle into the rear shed that looks more like a garage. The driveway leads directly to the structure. The Applicant explained that was not the case.

Mr. Weiss voiced concerns that someone could potentially trip. He asked the Applicant if there was a reason why the apron of the driveway was not expanded with the rest of the driveway. The Applicant thought he was not permitted to make any improvements to the curb. The Applicant explained he has resided in the home for 21 years and no person has ever tripped or fallen.

Mr. Boccanfuso further explained to Board there was a capital improvement project on Saratoga within the last year or two. This improvement included the removal and replacement of aprons to allow for the roadway to be paved. It is typical practice, if there is a noncompliant driveway, the capital improvement project will only be replaced to the original complaint status.

Chair Leviton explained to the Applicant, that although there have been no complaints, the Board needs to consider the encroachment in perpetuity. There may come a time when a future owner may have impact on the neighboring properties.

Chair Leviton opened the meeting to the public for questions or comments regarding the Application.

A Motion of approval was by made by Mr. Weiss to approve the Application, Seconded by Mr. Pochopin for application ZBE24212~ Belovin

Yes: Gregowicz, Wechsler, Weiss, Mantagas, Pochopin, Harrington
No: Leviton
Abstain: None
Absent: Schertz, Shalikar
Not Eligible: Klompus

## Application No.: ZBE2401

Applicant: 191 Highway 9, LLC
Proposal: Existing bank to be converted to retail space
Request: D Variance relief (use)
Location: 191 Hwy $9 \sim 1802 / 1$
Zone: OP (Office Professional)
Mr. Marmero stated Mr. Weiss an Mr. Mantagas have certified that they have read the transcript of the May 2, 2024 proceedings. Furthermore, they have provided certifications that allows them to participate in the continuation of the application.

Please see the attached transcript by AB Court Reporting, LLC
Chair Leviton opened the meeting to the public for questions or comments. Seeing there was none, Chair Leviton closed public

## ADJOURNMENT:

A Motion was offered by Mr. Weiss to adjourn the meeting at 10:50PM. All were in favor and none opposed.

Respectfully Submitted,

Janice Moench
Recording Secretary
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CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I will call back Application Number 2401, 191 Highway 9, LLC. And on behalf of the applicant, the esteemed Mr. Pape.

How are you, sir.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you, sir.
AtTORNEY MARMERO: Mr. Chair, could I
just indicate for the record that both Mr. Weiss and Mr. Mantagas have certified that they've reviewed the transcript and/or listened to the hearing, and they've provided certifications which does allow them to participate in this continuation this evening.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Outstanding.
MEMBER WEISS: I read the complete transcript.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
MEMBER MANTAGAS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I have seen the document that they have signed indicating as much. And we thank Mr. Marmero for pointing it out, and for our board members, Mr. Weiss and Mr. Mantagas, for doing their due diligence.
Mr. Pape.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: We want to indicate that you represent the owners of the property, not the proposed tenant who we had before us the last time you were here about a month ago.

And we asked you to return and we charged you to do some things. And we welcome you back, sir. We ask you to pick up where you left off and tell us where we are.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.
I wanted to make one comment before I started. In May of 1987, I interviewed a young attorney and hired him and he worked with me for the next six years, his name was Dennis Galvin, and we became the closest of friends. I hired him out of law school.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I don't know if any of the other members of the board remember Mr. Galvin. Bob, do you? You might have been the only one. No one else?

Janice certainly does. Of course,
Jennifer and Brian do. He's a great friend of this board.

ATTORNEY PAPE: It was nice to hear
that.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Yes. And did you know Mr. Muñoz as well? Yes. Very sad.

Okay, Mr. Pape.
ATTORNEY PAPE: When we were here last, the board asked -- we had a prepared presentation. We didn't get into that prepared presentation. We deferred to the board's inquiry about the tenants' business and we began there. And Mr. Buckley, who is the principal of the tenant, described his business.

There came a point where $I$ think the board's professionals and the board members realized that what he was testifying to as his proposed business operation exceeded the description of the business that was in my legal notice. And although his testimony became part of the record, it was agreed that we could not ask this board for relief without amending the legal notice and republishing.

I did do a revised legal notice. The legal notice, $I$ would like to read a portion into the record. I think it is very important that it become part of the record. And it defines what the uses are that Mr. Buckley, as a tenant, is looking to operate at the property.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Pape, let me ensure -- never mind, you have a court reporter. It's a moot point because it's being transcribed by •••

ATTORNEY PAPE: Are you hearing? CHAIRMAN LEVITON: We hear you. ATTORNEY PAPE: And they're all me? CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Yes. We hear you. ATTORNEY PAPE: After Mr. Buckley described his business, I had read what he wrote -I read what he said, then I interviewed him further and we put together a legal notice that identified the uses that he is requesting of this board.

And the legal notice that we
re-published and which your board has now taken -has marked into evidence is, "The applicant proposes to repurpose the existing bank building and site to conduct the following business activities:

A, on-site and online wholesale and retail sales of jewelry, watches, and parts, together with the administrative support offices that are relating to same;

B, is photography, including video and paid authentication and appraisal services for watches and jewelry;

And C, is participation/monitoring of chat groups and social media platforms that exist for the sale, promotion and marketing of watches and jewelry;

D, is creation and broadcasting podcasts, which may include interviews of representatives of companies and other persons in the watch and jewelry industry, which broadcasts may be for informational and/or promotional purposes for the applicant's business."

Those are the uses that we're asking this board to consider.

I have Mr. Buckley here. If the board wishes to hear anything further from him, he is here and he's available for testimony this evening.

We were also asked to provide a floor plan of the inside of the building. And the floor plan will be presented a little bit later when Gary Dean, who is our professional engineer and planner testifies. But I do have handouts and with permission, $I$ can hand those out.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Absolutely. And so
that the board knows, the floor plan was not submitted to the office; it has not been reviewed by our professionals. And whether or not we were going

```
    to proceed this evening became an issue because of
    that.
            And I made the executive decision to
    allow the presentation to commence this evening with
    the floor plan that is being distributed and is to
    be presented as an exhibit.
    ATTORNEY MARMERO: And, for the
record, since this wasn't submitted ahead of time
but is now, we'll call this Exhibit A-1 for
Applicant 1.
    ATTORNEY PAPE: That's fine.
    (Exhibit A-1, Floor Plans, was marked
    for identification.)
    ATTORNEY PAPE: I appreciate that the
        all board members took the extra step of reviewing
        the transcript and qualifying whether it needed to
        qualify, and appreciate the board taking
        jurisdiction of the application as we presented it.
            At the end of the meeting the last
        time, you asked, Mr. Chairman asked that we confirm
        that we had heard your message and that we would
        respond to it. The message that I took home and
        reviewed with the property owner was that the
```

property must have a sidewalk along the frontage along Route 9 .

And I will make the statement on the record, we've -- we will have a sidewalk across the front of the property, across the entire frontage of our property. We don't have an issue with that.

We also indicated that the pneumatic tube system was to be made inoperable or dismantled. I'd ask that we be relieved of the vasectomy -vascular, vascular surgery; that we don't have to go through the building to pull all of the tubing out, but that we dismantle it.

We can cover it from the outside. We have no intentions of using it. We can make it so it's inoperable, but would like to not have to tear the whole tube system out of the structure.

PLANNER BEAHM: Can $I$ just ask why?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Because it's in the brick walls. It's up through the ceiling across the building.

BOARD PLANNER: Fair enough.
ATTORNEY PAPE: There's a lot to it. The third was that the sign that was there and the pylon-styled sign was unacceptable; a monument sign was required.

We have a monument sign to present to you for your consideration this evening. We accepted that the pylon sign is coming down. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Pape, before we go further, I was rather curt and I apologize for that behavior. It was unbecoming and it was -- I don't know if it was unprofessional, but it was certainly something I regretted and I appreciate your consideration and the concessions that your client has made.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chairman, I
appreciated the efficiency with which you delivered that message.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you, sir.
ATTORNEY PAPE: You're welcome.
So this evening Douglas Sitar is here, he is the owner of the property. He and his brother are the owner of the property. I did not intend to call him, but $I$ can tell you that each and every representation that is made, we understand is a commitment on the property owner.

The tenant is the tenant. Hopefully
the tenant will be there for a long time if this board allows him to do so, but the landlord is the permanent party. The relief that we're asking of
you would be relief that is imposed on them, the property owner.

And the property owner is ready to tell
you, and $I$ can tell you this, any conditions, any
requirements that you impose on the property owner, it's the landlord's responsibility to impose them on the tenant. We accept that responsibility.

PLANNER BEAHM: Mr. Chairman, I just
have a couple questions as a follow-up from the last meeting.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Yes.

PLANNER BEAHM: So there was testimony presented by the tenant that this was not going to be a jewelry exchange except for there was content on social media saying that they can't wait for this to be a jewelry exchange. So I just want the record cleared up.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Sure.
PLANNER BEAHM: Is this, like --
you're not asking for us to make this a jewelry exchange?

ATTORNEY PAPE: In October of 2023 there was some broadcast by Mr. Buckley that he was looking forward to having a jewelry exchange. It became crystal clear to him that that was not what
was permitted.
On the record, he indicated, and $I$ will
just speak for the landlord, the property owner, there will not be an exchange; there will be a single-tenant operating from this property.

This is not an exchange. There will be no multiple sales taking place in the building.

PLANNER BEAHM: In addition to that,
there was some inquiry from some concerned residents that there were -- there was gambling taking place in the facility after hours, in the evening hours, on the premises. And quite honestly, there was YouTube footage to that effect as well.

So I would just ask that it be on the record, that there being no gambling permitted on site.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Ms. Beahm, I
appreciate the opportunity to make that statement on the record; there will be no gambling activity at all on the site.

MEMBER WEISS: Can we also add to that drug use, please?

PLANNER BEAHM: 100 percent.
MEMBER WEISS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: No gambling activity
and no drug use on the premises. Acceptable.
PLANNER BEAHM: There were also
concerns about the products, about that there were some videos, which quite honestly I saw, where there was some purchase of merchandise in the back of a pickup truck in a parking lot, and that is not what is being presented here.

So I just want to make sure that what
is here is officially a high-end situation. I cannot imagine a high-end Rolex dealer buying a watch out of the back of a pickup truck in a parking lot behind a shopping center.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I, personally, have not seen those videos that you've been describing, but I can stipulate that there will be no business activities at all conducted anywhere except inside the four corners of the building.

MEMBER WEISS: One thing, if I could ask, Mr. Pape, we're referring to podcasts, I think we need to call it what it actually is, that is Instagram, that is YouTube videos.

PLANNER BEAHM: Tik-Tok.
MEMBER WEISS: Tik-Tok, big time.
PLANNER BEAHM: Yep.
MEMBER WEISS: It's not podcasts.
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Podcasts is an audio program, like a serial program that comes out on a predetermined basis. What we have here, $I$ think, is more of social-media-influencer types, more like Pawn Stars than Antiques Road Show.

And so I think that when we call this a podcast, we need to actually talk about what we plan to do.

For example, in your drawing, Item Number 6 is called a "podcast area." For a podcast, all you need is a microphone because there's no video or anything like that.

Now, are we talking about building a studio for making videos and content, or are we talking about something else? It's a rather large room to sit there with a microphone and make a podcast.

But, you know, these are the kind of things I'm picking up on and, quite frankly, I have concerns about.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Before he answers, Adam, I just want to indicate that there's nothing in our ordinance -- well, actually, let me backtrack and start by telling you that we established -- and I know you listened to the transcript or you read it
-- we established that it is content creation, not
just a podcast. That is on the record. He is a
content creator, Mr. Buckley. Very successful
following of over 3 million people. And our
ordinance doesn't prohibit the creation content.
PLANNER BEAHM: It doesn't permit it
either.
MEMBER WEISS: It doesn't permit it,
but at the same time $I$ think we as the board --
PLANNER BEAHM: It's not permitted.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: No.
MEMBER WEISS: -- we need to get
accurate information.
PLANNER BEAHM: Correct.
MEMBER WEISS: And I think if the
information is being colored or tilted in a certain
way to try and get a desired outcome, that is one
thing. But $I$ think that, you know, honesty with the
board and transparency with the board is really
important here.
And, Mr. Pape, this is nothing with
you. I just think that the presentation needs to --
needs to mirror or match reality.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So these are
salient points everybody has been making.

And, Mr. Pape, I'm going to -- before I allow you to answer this question -- I don't know if it is a question as much as a statement -- I would like you to continue with your affirmative case in the way you see fit. And then even though you have stipulated to the non-drug use and to the non-use of the gambling, I still want to wait to hear those guarantees from Mr. Buckley himself.

So I'm going to ask you -- although you don't speak for Mr. Buckley, you speak for your client.

ATTORNEY PAPE: For Mr. Sitar,
correct.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Yes. So these are valid concerns. We brought you back for transparency. We want specifically what it is that we're going to be granting relief for.

We're not adverse to it, but we want it clear.

PLANNER BEAHM: So, Mr. Chair, I don't mean to speak for Mr. Pape and $I$ certainly don't need to speak for you, Gary, but my guess is that that information needs to be put on the record before you're able to give your use-variance testimony because the use-variance testimony is
based upon the use. And if we are not clear on the use, there is no sense in hearing from him.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay.
PLANNER BEAHM: So we should probably
here from Mr. Buckley and get everything clarified before Mr. Dean actually testifies because, as a planner myself, you're always bad at cleanup, right, so you have to make sure you have everything on the record before you can justify it.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: That's a good suggestion.

ATtORNEY PAPE: First, to Mr. Weiss, I take every statement that you make as a statement that is being made on behalf of the Township of Manalapan and the citizens of the Township of Manalapan. Your goal happens to be the same goal that $I$ have, and that is to make certain that what we're presenting to you is something that should be a part of Manalapan.

No offense taken at all.
MEMBER WEISS: Neither was I offended.
I appreciated his insight, always do, always do. He's our most valued board member, among the other eight people.

MEMBER WEISS: He meant to say, "in
this chair."

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Of course.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Before we go to
Mr. Buckley, there are a number of points that we have an obligation to make on the record. I would like to get those points on.

I can proffer them with your permission or $I$ can have Gary Dean help me, but $I$ would like to go through what they are.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: You do your thing, Mr. Pape.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay. And then we'll certainly bring Mr. -- there was a question about hours of operation. And during the week, Jordan reached out to me and he wanted me to make a representation on the record about hours of operation.

Hours of operation will conclude no later than 10 'clock, each and every activity, each and every activity that this board approves -- I'm hoping that there are activities that are approved -- will conclude at 10 o'clock, all of them.

And the building will be emptied of all tenants between 10 and 10:30 at the latest.

We asked Mr. Rizzo as to the lighting
to that. Lighting will be turned off 30 minutes after the last person leaves the building.

PLANNER BEAHM: So no later than 11?
ATTORNEY PAPE: No later than 10:30. PLANNER BEAHM: You said emptied by

10:30.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Right. And that's
when the lights would go off.
PLANNER BEAHM: At 10:30?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes. 30 minutes after.
PLANNER BEAHM: Okay.
ATTORNEY PAPE: So 10 o'clock business ends, 10:30 business is out and lights go off.

The lighting system that is there was the lighting system that was approved previously for a bank that had $24 / 7$ operation. We ask that we be permitted to continue to be able to use the same lighting system.

There was a request made by Mr. Rizzo
that we provide a description of the security
system. I provided a written description of the security system to him, and he asked that I place it on the record.
And Mr. Buckley was -- actually
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provided me with a copy of his contract for the security system, so $I$ can tell you that in addition to the representations that were made that include -- there's the vault that is there, there are safes that are there, there's a $24-h o u r$ camera system. There's 24 separate cameras that are on that are live all of the time.

There is also an Electronic Security

Corporation of American contract for a burglary
system. And Mr. Buckley has given me authority to confirm that it's not proprietary, $I$ can share it with you, so $I$ will submit it and it becomes a part of the record. The security system that's outlined in this, which is a jeweler industry standard is the one that he intends to have at the property.

May I submit this to be marked?
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: You absolutely may.
We won't need to enter it as an exhibit but it will be filed as part of the record.

BOARD SECRETARY: So I'm going to mark this as A-2.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
(Exhibit $A-2$, Security Contract, was marked for identification.)

ATTORNEY PAPE: We had early on gone to visit with the health department, the police department, and the fire department. And as you, I think you'll see in the record, there is evidence that we met with the fire officials and they gave us approval.

We met with the police officials and they indicated that they had no issues.

And the health department similarly gave us a clean bill of health.

So I wanted all those to be made part of the record so before Gary Dean, who is the professional engineer presenting the plan, as well as the professional planner. Perhaps we could keep his testimony concise and do it after Mr. Buckley. And I would ask that maybe now is the time we bring Mr. Buckley.

Mr. Buckley, if you would rejoin? CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Welcome back, Mr. Buckley. Mr. Marmero will swear you in again. ATTORNEY MARMERO: If you raise your right hand we'll get you sworn in. Do you swear that the testimony you will provide tonight will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

```
truth?
```

JOHN BUCKLEY: I do.
ATTORNEY MARMERO: Can you remind us of your name again, sir?

JOHN BUCKLEY: John J. Buckley.

$$
-\quad-\quad-
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E X A M I N A T I O N

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Buckley, I will
remind you that you are under oath and were sworn in and placed under oath when you were last here.

Before the board provides you with examination, $I$ would like to just go through the list and one by one ask if these accurately describe your uses and at the conclusion $I$ will ask, are these the only uses that you're asking this board to permit you to do on the property.

The first one is on-site and online, all sales and retail sales of jewelry, watches and parts, together with administrative and support offices relating to same. Is that a use that you're asking this board to permit?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that is.
ATTORNEY PAPE: The second is
photography, including the video and paid
authentication and appraisal services for watches and jewelry, is that a use that you're asking this board to permit?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
ATTORNEY PAPE: And the third is
participation and monitoring of chat groups and social media platforms that exist for sales, promotion and marketing of watches and jewelry. Is that a use that you're asking this board to permit?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Fourth one is creation
and broadcasting podcasts which may include interviews of representatives of companies and other persons in the watch and jewelry industry, which broadcasts may be for informational and/or promotional purposes for your business. Is that a use that you are requesting?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
ATTORNEY PAPE: And are these all of the uses that you're asking this board to permit you to operate from the property?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is -- yes, they are. Excuse me.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. Mr. Chair, and board members, if you have examination --
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questions or concerns that you would like Mr. Buckley to address, $I$ have nothing further on direct but he is available to you.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Buckley, you have heard the testimony this evening. You have been here for the entire proceedings. Can you affirm that there will be no gambling and/or drug usage at your establishment?

THE WITNESS: I absolutely guarantee there will be no drug usage and no gambling in the facility or anywhere that we have jurisdiction over.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Buckley, I have seen letters of complaint that were filed with our office and they -- one of them indicated that your business will attract an under-element of society, for lack of a better way of saying it. Can you address that?

THE WITNESS: I think it's just written by somebody who doesn't really understand the business. We're talking about five-, six- and seven-figure timepieces that we deal in regularly and $I$ can't understand who would write something like that.

PLANNER BEAHM: Respectfully, he referred to videos that your son broadcasts on

YouTube, which $I$ watched personally.
THE WITNESS: Tyler is my son's best
friend.

PLANNER BEAHM: It wasn't Tyler, it was your son who was purchasing watches from an individual in a pickup truck who wouldn't say where the watches came from, in a parking lot. And there was also a video of the gambling. So I get what you're saying, but your son's YouTube activity is inconsistent with the testimony.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So let me see if I can get something else. So Mr. Tyler, what she is referring to, I don't know firsthand. I have not seen any of these videos. However, I have seen a letter of complaint that does reference some of the same things that Ms. Beahm just talked about.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: One of them and you testified to five-figure pieces of jewelry. So one of the complaints indicated, and Ms. Beahm referenced it, a watch that was more than $\$ 100,000$, where cash was part of the remittance in exchange for the jewelry and the letter of complaint against you indicated that you could not establish its authenticity or where you got it from. So I see
your consternation on your face as you're confused by what I'm talking about. I want to ask you about the legitimacy of the pieces that you're selling. Is there a way to ensure that we're not going to be supporting something that is not aboveboard, which I'm sure you can understand is a legitimate concern. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. I say the same thing to the board, do a Google search of me. I am one of the top experts in the world and I -you know, I am the guy that jewelry stores in the area, and all over the country and the world, go to for authenticity and to buy and sell authentic parts for their collector-grade watches, auctions, auction houses, collectors all over the world.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I appreciate your expertise, sir. I'm not questioning it. What I'm trying to -- has it ever been your experience that a customer has asked you to ensure that the piece of jewelry that they're buying hasn't falling off a truck or hasn't been fenced?

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. We give invoices for everything and everything that we buy, we have a record of, whether it's shown sometimes on
a YouTube video or not, there's always a paper trail for everything that we do. That's just an industry standard.

MEMBER WEISS: How long do you hold the watches that are sold to you before you resell them?

THE WITNESS: Depends on the township or depends on the city. Usually in New Jersey, it's two weeks.

MEMBER WEISS: Two, not three?
THE WITNESS: I believe it's two, I'm not sure because once we open up --

ATTORNEY MARMERO: You guys might have a local ordinance. Some towns do have a local ordinance as to how long you need to keep them. It usually applies to pawn shops but it probably - well, that's what I'm hearing.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Albert is
researching it right now, so --
MEMBER WEISS: Thank you, Albert, for bringing that up because my other concern is that this turns into a pawn shop.

THE WITNESS: No. Definitely.
MEMBER WEISS: I know you say no, but
I have concerns about it. Do you photograph the
people to whom you -- from whom you purchase watches and maintain that on file?

THE WITNESS: From the public?
MEMBER WEISS: Yes.
THE WITNESS: We don't do a lot of
buying from the public in New Jersey, in New York we do. And we just take their passport, driver's license. That is what is required.

MEMBER WEISS: You do the same thing or similar here?

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. You have to.

MEMBER WEISS: What is Vookum?
THE WITNESS: Vookum is a company that, Tyler, who is my son's best friend, myself and my son started four years ago. Well, Tyler started it five years ago.

MEMBER WEISS: Tyler is also known as Vookum, correct? So it's more than just a company. It's actually a personality, if you will?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, you can say that, but it is a company. And when we started it, he left college, I will be very honest, because the story's all over the internet.

MEMBER WEISS: Well, you're under oath
so I hope you're honest.
THE WITNESS: Of course. And he left college and came to me and said, "I want to do what you do." I said, "Okay. If you want to do what I do, then you have to do it the way I do it." And he spent time with me and he started a business. And he wanted me to help him with it, which I did. And my son, who was in a photography field for the most part, who has grown up in the business, joined in during COVID because nobody was really hiring and we started this little business and it has turned into a, you know -- I had a very -- I still have a very successful business, but this business is like my pet project right now. And we've turned it into something, you know, we're not going to talk money but it's a great business.

MEMBER WEISS: And so let me ask you this, you have a website for Vookum?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, there's a rudimentary website.

MEMBER WEISS: What is the address of the property on the website? What do you put listed as your business address?

THE WITNESS: One of the addresses we had was Hazlet, an office that we used to have and I
looked online and somebody put the address on there. MEMBER WEISS: As you if you were already doing business there.

THE WITNESS: I didn't want to change it because $I$ didn't want to seem we're, you know -MEMBER WEISS: Not there. Right. THE WITNESS: No. I didn't want to seem like $I$ was trying to cover up anything. I saw that in the last couple of days. I was like oh, my God.

MEMBER WEISS: You didn't take it down.

THE WITNESS: I didn't want to take it down without, you know, being able to, you know, just sit here and not know if there's anyway to clean it up, but we have people that handled the page and they just put it up there -MEMBER WEISS: Okay. THE WITNESS -- in anticipation -MEMBER WEISS: What is this podcast thing that you do? Describe it for me.

THE WITNESS: Podcasting, just not to challenge your definition of it, but $I$ have been on numerous podcasts and they're always videotaped. MEMBER WEISS: So the Tik-Tok videos,

```
    do you consider those podcasts?
    THE WITNESS: No, not at all.
    MEMBER WEISS: So you won't be making
    them?
    THE WITNESS: We're going to be making
    them, definitely.
    MEMBER WEISS: Okay. So it's more
    than a podcast/social media production facility?
    THE WITNESS: I can clarify for you.
    MEMBER WEISS: What is Vookum
    Membership or Vookum Prime Or Vookum Plus.
    THE WITNESS: Vookum Verified?
    MEMBER WEISS: Vookum Verified. What
    is that?
    THE WITNESS: Vookum Verified is the
    chat group that is mentioned in the application,
    which we provide services to the public and we
    charge them a fee monthly to be in our chat group
    and we monitor it. We have moderators and I'm
    usually the last voice and people buy and sell and
    trade online all over the world.
    MEMBER WEISS: So as far as monitoring
    social media, doesn't Vookum Verify go beyond
    monitoring the social media?
    THE WITNESS: In what way?
```

MEMBER WEISS: In what way? Well, I mean, you're charging people a monthly fee to be a member of your --

THE WITNESS: Chat group.
MEMBER WEISS: -- web group, correct?
THE WITNESS: Correct.
MEMBER WEISS: So that's more than,
you know, the type of business operation that you have, it's rather multi-faceted; wouldn't you agree?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
MEMBER WEISS: You have the watch
sales, you have the potential jewelry sales, you have the Tik-Tok accounts that are monetized, I'm sure.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER WEISS: You also have this
Vookum Verified, which $I$ don't know if that was listed in one of the --

THE WITNESS: It is.
MEMBER WEISS: Okay. So there's a lot of different activities that are going on there.

In terms of bringing people in, personalities, if you will, do you plan on doing that, promotions where you will have like, you know, the Mazal guy come in who, you know, he's here for
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the day, come in and see and get some signings and have your watches evaluated? Are you going to do stuff like that.

THE WITNESS: It was not in our plan but if people that we know show up, they're going to be promoting it on social media, I'm sure.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I am going to need to interject at this time because that sounds like part of a conversation we had at the last meeting where $I$ asked you about collaborators --

PLANNER BEAHM: Yep.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: $\quad-\quad$ and Ms. Beahm said there would be none. And that's what we discussed before so, Ms. Beahm, I'm going to defer to you on this matter.

PLANNER BEAHM: I just feel like every time we have a conversation and every time a question is asked, what you're asking us for changes.

> So you're here for a use variance.

You're not here as an as-of-right application. So you're here as something that's not permitted at all and you're asking this board to give you permission to occupy a building, which regardless of what you say or not, the YouTube videos show me that you're

```
already in it.
```

So I feel like every single time a question is put to you and you have to answer it, the answer changes, so --

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Well, it doesn't change. He's truthful every time but he reveals something new.

PLANNER BEAHM: I'm going to
respectfully not opine on whether he's truthful because I feel like he said no to the jewelry exchange but there's a YouTube video that says jewelry exchange. He said no to --

ATTORNEY PAPE: That was in October.
He retracted it.
PLANNER BEAHM: -- anything outside the building being done for jewelry purchase but there's webcam -- there's a YouTube video that says his son is physically there buying watches from someone out of a pickup truck that says, "don't worry about where it came from," quote/unquote. It's on the internet. So there is an issue when you want to live your life on the internet, that's great, but you're going to live and die by what's on the interest.

ATTORNEY PAPE: It's the record that
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```
we make here that is the one that is binding on us
    as we go forward.
                            CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I am going to agree
with that.
    PLANNER BEAHM: I understand.
    However, you're also asking this board to opine on
    the positive and negative criteria associated with a
    d(1) Variance. And the negative criteria,
    respectfully, Ken, is the negative impact and it's
    impossible to quantify the negative impact when we
    don't know what the use is.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So we're going to
have to take that into account. We get that. But I
will say that when Mr. Weiss questioned you about
Vookum, you did introduce something new to this
board that we had not heard.
    THE WITNESS: What was that?
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: That Mr. Vookum is
both a personality and a business.
    THE WITNESS: It's just a name that we
made up for a company and people call --
    MEMBER WEISS: No, no, no.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: That's different
    than what I understood.
                        MEMBER WEISS: So there's actually a
```

podcast, which is a legit podcast, where people actually sitting around microphones, they have to video it, where Tyler is on there.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER WEISS: And they actually make a comment that they didn't even know his name was Tyler, because he's always known as Vookum, a personality. So again, you know, I guess I keep asking more questions, I will actually get a more transparent picture.

THE WITNESS: I can't confirm or, you know, answer for people, how they perceive Tyler or Vookum, but he is known as --

MEMBER WEISS: Sir, would you agree with me that Tyler is known as Vookum?

THE WITNESS: Yes. MEMBER WEISS: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So I said what I thought and it just doesn't look good that this information gets released in dribs and drabs. I do take you at your word, though, and $I$ do recognize that what you enter in the way of testimony and in this proceeding is what counts and what $I$ will consider.
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MEMBER WEISS: I will say, to be very
blunt, I found stellar information about you and your skills -- you and your skills. And if that was the only thing in front of us, we would be having a different conversation, at least $I$ would be.

Your skills are, from what $I$ can tell, unmatched and all of that. And I don't want to take that away from you at all. You know, you're to be complimented for that, but there's this other side of what you're doing and who you are associated with that are causing me -- causes me a lot of concern, especially given the fact that we're talking about a property that abuts a residential neighborhood.

THE WITNESS: Understood.
MEMBER WEISS: That's my concern.
THE WITNESS: If I can just try to explain. I had to sign a personal guarantee with the owners and $I$ give you, the board, a personal guarantee that everything that we address in the application will be adhered to and I will enforce it. And if there -- I guarantee there will be no shenanigans, no drug use -- I don't know where that came from but -- no gambling, none of that stuff will go on here. What we do on social media is shameless self-promotion, like most influencers do.
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I have been on social media for many, many years. That's where $I$ got my reputation. Tyler and myself, we went viral just as pure luck or happenstance and here we are. But what we do, our business -- and I say this to everybody on the board -- if you ask anyone online, anybody in the industry about our reputation in the business insofar as selling, you know, counterfeit goods or subpar merchandise, I you will not find anything on the internet. And I absolutely -- $I$ don't want to challenge but anybody can check on the internet. There is a lot of information out there. There is a lot of good information about us, too. And $I$ think we will be a credit and a great business in Manalapan. The boys grew up in Manalapan. I mean, you know, that's all I can really say. I can't say anything else.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I want to state for the record that, although $I$ have been privy to letters of complaint that I've addressed the contents within, $I$ quote that their value was more probative than it was --

ATTORNEY PAPE: Dispositive.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: -- dispositive.
Yeah, I don't want to contacts dispersions either.
I just want to bring everything out into the light.
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Since Mr. Pape does have Mr. Dean to present and he has given us Mr. Buckley for questions, let's go to the rest of the board and see what they want to ask, if they're not already there.

MEMBER WEISS: I'm good.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: The board wants to recognize Mr. Weiss's contribution in -- especially due to the fact that he missed the last meeting and we compliment him on his homework and his diligence. Bob, how about you, sir?

VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: I'm
concerned with the hours of operation. They're from 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., seven days a week.

THE WITNESS: It's just in the event
that we need extra time because we do business overseas online. I mean, if $I$-- sometimes, you know, if we're going to be working, there are times when we are working remotely, we won't even be there. But in the event that we're there and we have to take pictures or we have to use some of the stuff that we are going to have there --

VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: What happens
if you're working with a client overseas and working on a deal and it goes past 10 o'clock at night?
THE WITNESS: I tell them call me in

```
the morning or call me later.
VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: What happens between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m.?
THE WITNESS: It's business as usual.
Buying and selling watches.
VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: After
6:00 p.m., the doors are locked?
THE WITNESS: The doors are -- the doors are going to be locked all the time.
VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: Can't you do that marking business online at your own residence that you have to be there at the place seven days a week until 10 o'clock at night, Friday nights, Saturday nights, Sunday nights?
THE WITNESS: Again, in the event that we need it, we felt that we had to be open and honest about whether or not we don't want to be there and not be allowed to.
VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: I mean, it's one thing if the business can close at 6 at night but \(I\) have concerns that that business is going to be open at 10 o'clock at night, especially Friday nights.
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { THE WITNESS: It won't be every night. } \\
& \text { VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: You list it }
\end{aligned}
$$
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```
    here, it's going to be open seven days a week until
    10 o'clock at night.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Right. And the retail, the operation where customers are permitted concludes at 6:00 p.m., that was the testimony and from 6 to 10 that they would be -- the principals would be present and they could be videoing as late as 10:00 o'clock.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So that concerns me. A short follow-up to your question line is all about. Mr. Buckley?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: You indicate that
the reason that you will be maintaining a presence at the business is because you're doing overseas business but you don't say whether you are going to be creating content. That's problematic to me. Why not say, "we're going to create content"? Am I wrong?
THE WITNESS: We create content all
the time.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Otherwise I ask you
to not have -- you requested two technicians as staff.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
```

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Do you need them
between 6 and 10?
THE WITNESS: We may or we may not. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So then that's
content creation and you should say so and not
otherwise --
THE WITNESS: I thought we did.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: -- it doesn't come
across as credulous. But right now he's testified to the fact that the business is going to be conducting overseas transactions between 6 and 10 . That's why he wants it -- he wants the leeway to have the business open that late seven days a week. And if we grant it, we want to know what it is that we're granting relief for. This is a Use Variance. It's a heavy lift. And if he's going to be keeping his technicians on at that time, then it's really about content creation and he should say so. ATTORNEY PAPE: We do use -- one of the uses we state is creation.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: He needs to affirm it here -- when Mr. Gregowicz questions him about it, he needs to be transparent. That was the point of bringing you back, transparency. I'm going to let him resume his line of questions again.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Understood. I thought we established it when $I$ went through each of the uses and one of them is creation.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: But he didn't say
it, which is troubling to me, and it's why I -- you know, I'm not going to go around and around with it. VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: At this time I'm finished.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Understood.
PLANNER BEAHM: Ken, I think the
concern is when he asked about the hours of operation, the rationale that was presented to him was because he was doing business overseas. It wasn't content creation. So I think that that's what the chairman is struggling with is --

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: He understands. I'm not averse to content creation.

PLANNER BEAHM: I'm not saying you are.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I know. I'm just stating it for the record.

PLANNER BEAHM: You just want the information so you know what you're asked to approve.

```
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I dislike that it's
```

```
not forthcoming.
```

PLANNER BEAHM: Correct.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: That is what is
troubling.
BOARD PLANNER: That's what I'm
saying. It's like pulling teeth to get the actual information.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I prefer not to call
it pulling teeth. Between 6 and 10 at night, are you asking for permission to do videoing in between 6 and 10:00 at night?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Are you asking for permission to be in the building and conducting international sales with your doors locked and no customers?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thanks,
Mr. Buckley.
Mr. Mantagas.
MEMBER MANTAGAS: My question is for Mr. Buckley. The podcast creation of content is strictly watches. It's not going to be any other -THE WITNESS: We talk to people in the watch industry.

MEMBER MANTAGAS: It's all about
watches. No other content will be --
THE WITNESS: Jewelry, watches.
MEMBER MANTAGAS: Strictly?
THE WITNESS: Correct. Everything
revolves around that.
MEMBER MANTAGAS: Thank you. No more questions, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Wechsler?
MEMBER WECHSLER: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. Mr. Buckley, sticking with $D$ on the social media or podcast, will you be the only content creators there or will you be inviting other content creators in with you?

THE WITNESS: If they're in the
jewelry business or in the watch space, we will have other people coming in to do podcasts or a discussion or any kind of --

MEMBER WECHSLER: So is it strictly
jewelry and watches or will it be in custom cars or anything else like that?

THE WITNESS: I mean, we specialize in investment-grade watches, that's what we do.

Jewelry is an extra, as far as I'm concerned. I don't deal in cars.

MEMBER WECHSLER: Okay. And then my
follow-up to that is, is it limited to interior use only --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER WECHSLER: Or will it be
outside in the parking lot or any part of the grounds?

THE WITNESS: Interior only in the space that we identified.

MEMBER WECHSLER: Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you, Michael. Jennifer, let's go to you and get a ruling on this collaboration because it keeps come up and $I$ don't -- I don't -- you know, I'm don't personally see why he needs to be restricted from collaborating as it leads to jewelry where he creates his content but I know it's important to you and if you could expand on it and elaborate a little bit?

BOARD PLANNER: No, I think the
question was excellent because if it's interior to the building and it's jewelry, watches, based upon the use that they're asking for here, that is fine. But there has been some speculation that it's car dealerships, outside activities,
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things happening in the parking lot. So the testimony is there will be nothing happening in the parking lot and $I$ think that's an important piece of information to get on the record because I think up until now it's been very vague as to -- when we were here last time and it was, it could be other people. There wasn't any clear answer as to, to do what? And if it stays in that area, which is identified as area Number 6 on the building -- in this building in the floor plan and it's not exterior stuff, car stuff, et cetera, $I$ think that's a different use. Once you start going in the parking lot and you have things happening outside the building. So I think that that answer was critically important.

ATTORNEY MARMERO: If I could,
Mr. Chairman, I think the issue last time with the other people was part of the public good issue. That wasn't part of the public notice. The public notice now does indicate creation and broadcasting podcasts which may include interviews of representatives of companies and other persons in the watch and jewelry industry. So I think the issue before was you saw it as an additional use. You guys have now included that within your use and - -
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PLANNER BEAHM: Right.
ATTORNEY MARMERO: -- what it's
limited to.
PLANNER BEAHM: Right, so the notice basically says, "other people, as long as it's in the watch and jewelry business."

That's what they noticed for, right,
Al?
ATTORNEY MARMERO: Yes.
PLANNER BEAHM: Once it gets beyond
that, that's a different situation.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Buckley?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: You understand it?
THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: You're good with
all that?
THE WITNESS: 100 percent. As it's written, absolutely and I agree.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: That pleases me greatly.

Mr. Pochopin?
MEMBER POCHOPIN: Thank you,
Mr. Chair. I have no questions. All my colleagues have requested all the information $I$ was seeking,

```
    also. So thank you.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thanks, Danny.
    John?
    MEMBER HARRINGTON: Just quick
    question. You mentioned that your in your own
    location, your doors are locked all the time,
    correct?
    THE WITNESS: Yes.
    MEMBER HARRINGTON: Where is your
    other location and when do you --
    THE WITNESS: We have a location in
    New York that we -- we had a location for many, many
    years in New York and then when COVID hit, our lease
    ended, fortunately, just before it hit. And we go
    back and forth couple of times a week doing our
    business in New York and we still will be doing
    that.
    MEMBER HARRINGTON: I mean, are you
    conducting business anywhere else?
    THE WITNESS: No.
    MEMBER HARRINGTON: Just New York a
    couple of days a week?
    THE WITNESS: Yes.
    MEMBER HARRINGTON: And you're seeing,
        I guess, business which is good, picking up.
```

THE WITNESS: It goes up and down but we're very -- very fortunate.

MEMBER HARRINGTON: Okay. That's
awesome to hear.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: John, I'm going to
interrupt you because $I$ want to pick up on that vein. Mr. Buckley, once again, your testimony leaves me with more questions than answers. You testified that you're going to go back and forth a couple of times a week to Manhattan to do business but I'm not clear on what that is going to entail. Are you maintaining an office in Manhattan?

THE WITNESS: We have a satellite office that we have had for years that we get things shipped in or dropped off there. And sometimes we have to go and prepare shipping to ship back to New Jersey.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: You have office space in Manhattan?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that we share with another company, that we have shared for a long time.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And you have office
space in Hazlet?
THE WITNESS: No, that's been --

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: That's defunct.
There's nothing there.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And your primary
place of business is going to be here in Manalapan?
THE WITNESS: That is correct.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And your business
in Manhattan is related to shipping and receiving and/or trading?

THE WITNESS: Correct. And doing
business. If we have to meet someone in Manhattan and they don't -- we haven't been doing business in New Jersey. We don't have a place. But in New York is where we have been doing business for many, many years so a lot of people know us there. We still have associations there.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I understand.
Adam, anything else?
MEMBER WEISS: I'm okay. Thank you.
ATTORNEY MARMERO: Can I ask a
question, Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Yes.
ATTORNEY MARMERO: So you do have a
precious gems and metals chapter in your ordinance, Chapter 173. What that section governs is -- well,
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    it indicates that no individual person, partnership
    or cooperation shall engage in the business of
    purchase of precious metals and gems and all forms
    of previously owned jewelry would first obtaining a
    license. It goes -- throughout the text it
    indicates how long items have to be kept. It talks
    about the records that have to be maintained. It
    doesn't reference watches but it would seem to apply
    to this business.
    MEMBER WEISS: Does it define jewelry?
    ATTORNEY MARMERO: It has no
    definition section.
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: It's broad enough
to include --
    PLANNER BEAHM: But if the watches
    have diamonds on them, wouldn't --
    ATTORNEY MARMERO: The applicant is
    seeking the ability to sell jewelry, watches and
    parts. I think it would apply but you want to get
    the applicant on the record to agree to comply with
    your precious gems and metals ordinance.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Buckley?
    THE WITNESS: I comply.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: He is compliant?
    THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
```

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you, Albert.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Were you reading from the general ordinance of the town.

ATTORNEY MARMERO: Yes, Chapter 173 of the general ordinances and it's pretty similar to most of the pawn-shop-type ordinances that you will see in towns but it doesn't apply just to pawn shops. It's anyone doing any kind of purchase of previously owned. It does have exceptions if you're buying from -- if you're a company, someone who's in the business of selling, like if you're buying from an individual, it kicks in a bunch of different requirements. You have to keep the items for 20 days before you dispose of them or melt them or do something like that. There's a license required for some interface with the police required, identifications of sellers and receipt books. So it's got record-keeping requirements, too -THE WITNESS: Absolutely. ATTORNEY MARMERO: -- which you will see in most towns. THE WITNESS: Yes. ATTORNEY PAPE: Your statements are, you will comply with that ordinance and you'll secure the required license?

THE WITNESS: I will.
MEMBER WEISS: Mr. Buckley, as a
condition, would you agree that you will not operate any pawn business there and/or loans, if you will, in exchange for jewelry? Is that something that you would agree to as a condition to the extent the board looks favorably upon your application?

THE WITNESS: I agree to that.
MEMBER WEISS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: No pawn, P-A-W-N.
MEMBER WEISS: Pawn.
ATTORNEY MARMERO: That was a jewelry
setting.
MEMBER WEISS: For the record, I referenced Pawn Stars, P-A-W-N, Stars. It's a show. Thank you.

PLANNER BEAHM: Yes.
ATTORNEY MARMERO: It's real close to another word.

MEMBER WEISS: Yes, it is.
ATTORNEY MARMERO: It's a little stormy
outside right now.
MEMBER WEISS: It's okay.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: One of the things I enjoy most about serving the township in this
capacity is watching the legal eagles do their thing. They never cease to amaze me, whether they're hired professionals or volunteers who sit here on the days.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Our spouses are bored with it, though. Truly bored.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Ms. Klompus?
MEMBER KLOMPUS: How many people do you anticipate to be working in this building daily?

THE WITNESS: We have the three partners, which is myself, my son and Tyler. We have a cameraman. I think I have two camera people and maybe a receptionist and a part-time watchmaker, maybe one day a week.

MEMBER KLOMPUS: How often will the three of you there to -- the main people be in New York versus this building?

THE WITNESS: It depends on how we're going to be transitioning everything from New York into New Jersey. So we will be going back at least one a day. I mean, not all of us. Somebody will be in 191 and maybe the boys will go in or $I$ will go in on my own and handle whatever it is to kind of clean up what we have over there. The objective is to move everything into New Jersey. That has been the
objective for the longest time for many different reasons aside from the obvious that it's crazy in New York.

MEMBER KLOMPUS: So will those other people mostly be working Monday through Friday and there shouldn't be much activity on saturday and Sunday?

THE WITNESS: We will have camera
people on Saturday and/or Sunday. I'm not sure what the actual schedule is going to be, but we will have camera people there I'd say 75 percent of the days that we're going to be there. 75 percent of the time that we're going to be there.

MEMBER KLOMPUS: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
MEMBER WECHSLER: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Yes?
MEMBER WECHSLER: I have a question. I don't even know if it actually goes through right now but we have a lot of conditions come up back and forth. How do we ensure compliance?

PLANNER BEAHM: That's the critical
question.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Always tough.
PLANNER BEAHM: That's the critical
question, so it goes back on the township, whether it's police or code enforcement or what have you.

ATTORNEY MARMERO: So the precious gems and metals stuff is kind of a police thing and that one's kind of easy. And the social media stuff, I don't know. If it's involving cars instead of jewelry, $I$ don't know.

PLANNER BEAHM: That would be code enforcement.

ATTORNEY MARMERO: Someone would have to see it online and --

PLANNER BEAHM: And report it to code enforcement, code enforcement would have to issue them a summons and then they would duke it out in municipal courts.

MEMBER WECHSLER: Would it be Mr. Buckley or the owner of the property?

PLANNER BEAHM: Quite honestly, the owner of the property would end up getting the summons.

ATTORNEY MARMERO: For us, yeah, for the township's purposes, it's the property owner.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Michael, you're going to have to weigh whether you think about the granting of the use variance would negatively impact
on the neighborhood.
You've got your concerns. It's a negative criteria.

Mr. Buckley, you are testifying this evening that you were going to be creating content?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And that it was going to be all day long while the business is in operation and beyond 10 o'clock, and you specify in your Floor Plan Area 6 for that purpose.

And what hasn't been testified to is the percent of the business that is devoted to content creation. I would like to know about that.

Tonight you said that you had a very successful business as it related to content that you wouldn't quantify it financially but how you were very pleased with it. I threw that in. You didn't actually say that but $I$ threw in; accurate? You implied it.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So I'm not interested, I accept that for what it is but I would like you to say, as near as you can, how much of your business is jewelry appraisal, how much of it -- and/or anything watch-related and how much is

```
content creation?
    THE WITNESS: In terms of finances?
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: No.
    PLANNER BEAHM: Percentage.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Percentage, please.
    THE WITNESS: I can only --
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: See you're asking
    me --
    THE WITNESS: No, no. I understand
exactly --
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: The question is for
    the use variance and I want to know, how much do you
    think, you're a tenant, you're not an applicant, but
    how much am I going to be allowing here? What is it
    that -- I'm not even allowing because, again, it's
    not in our ordinance but I want, for the township's
    sake, for the residents' sake, for the
    neighborhood's sake to know what it is that you
    expect that's really going on there, on the record.
        ATTORNEY PAPE: If I may, how long
    have you been in the watch business before being
        involved in the video industry, how long have you
        been in the watch business?
        THE WITNESS: }27\mathrm{ years.
        ATTORNEY PAPE: How long have you been
```

in the video business?
THE WITNESS: 2020 -- '22 we started. ATTORNEY PAPE: Two years,
three years.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
ATTORNEY PAPE: If the video business,
which if it lasts, wonderful, if it doesn't last, are you still operating the first item that you listed was on-site and online wholesale and retail sales of jewelry watches and parts together with administrative offices and support for same; is that the core industry?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

ATTORNEY PAPE: If the video industry
goes away some day, is that the industry that you would keep at this business?

THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
PLANNER BEAHM: So vice-versa, what
happens if the video industry takes off and that becomes your primary business?

THE WITNESS: It's already taken off.
PLANNER BEAHM: Ken, I appreciate what you're trying to do, but that's not the question. The question is percentage.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Pape, I respect
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that also but respectfully, sir, I mean, content creation with three million followers. I'm not an expert. And I really got no idea but it could translate into millions of dollars. He has 3 million followers.
Attorney PAPE: I was looking to give
him foundation to him before he answered the question what his percentages are now.
ChAIRMAN LeVITON: This board accepts this man's credentials and we respect them completely.
Attorney Pape: Today, June 2024, what percentage of your time is spent in the video business and what percent -- and all this video is watch and jewelry; let's re-affirm that?
THE WItNeSS: Yes.
Attorney Pape: Everything is watch and jewelry, as a foundation.
But the actual sales of watches, appraisals of watches, sales of watches and parts, what percentage of your business is that and what percentage is the video?
THE WITNESS: I would say -- and I
have to be base it on numbers. It's 90 percent of our business is buying and selling watches.
```
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The content creation accounts for -- I
have to use numbers -- maybe 10 percent of our revenue. And that's how $I$ have to quantify it.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I'll accept that.

I have nothing further, board.
Anybody else?
ENGINEER LOPEZ: Mr. Chairman, I have a couple of questions $I$ would like to clarify.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Why don't you hold off until Mr. Dean makes his presentation?

ENGINEER LOPEZ: Oh, it's relative to this.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Then please continue, yes.

ENGINEER LOPEZ: So you've testified that the hours of operation would go to 10:00 p.m. I just want you to -- have you confirmed that again.

Because our concern is, A, I don't know how you would enforce -- let's say you're filming videos and you go past 10 and we are having these lights shut off at $10: 30$; so what if you go past that 10:00 o'clock and those lights shut off?

Are they on timers or are they manually
shut off.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We would have them on

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { timers so there was assurance that they went off. } \\
& \text { And the answer is -- and Mr. Rizzo } \\
& \text { actually raised this with me -- Mr. Buckley has } \\
& \text { stated he will close his business at lo o'clock. No } \\
& \text { matter what business activity is taking place, it } \\
& \text { closes at } 10 \text { o'clock. It will not go past } \\
& \text { 10 o'clock. } \\
& \text { We don't want to have -- we want to } \\
& \text { make the commitment that the lights are off at } 10: 30 \\
& \text { and we don't want people walking out in the dark. } \\
& \text { MEMBER WEISS: That's the exterior } \\
& \text { lights at } 10 \text { or } 10: 30 \text { ? } \\
& \text { ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes. } \\
& \text { MEMBER WEISS: But we all know that we } \\
& \text { can walk in a dark parking lot. } \\
& \text { So with that being said, is there any } \\
& \text { thought to putting the interior lights on timers? } \\
& \text { THE wITNESS: If need be we can, sure. } \\
& \text { We have an insurance obligation also and we have to } \\
& \text { state hours of operation and what we're doing and we } \\
& \text { have to adhere to that strictly. } \\
& \text { me be frank, Mr. Buckley. I'm not concerned about } \\
& \text { you; I'm concerned about the other things that I } \\
& \text { have seen from your partners. }
\end{aligned}
$$

That's my concern, as it relates to
that neighborhood behind the building.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And, Mr. Weiss, you
have seen videos, is what you're referring to?
MEMBER WEISS: Publicly available
online. Anybody with an internet connection can see them.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay.
MEMBER POCHOPIN: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Yes.
MEMBER POCHOPIN: With all this -- all these statements, that was my main concern also. I know that your credibility, and you're vouching to adhere to all these things that the board is recommending, $I$ have the same concern about policing what my colleague brought up, to make sure -- I know you're going to do the right thing, if you see these things going on, everybody brought up.

But that's the big concern about policing, there's so many different branches on who's doing what, so that's the only concern.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Pape, I don't really know how much importance you want to place on Mr. Dean's testimony, but if you proffer that he's going to be complying and work with Mr. Rizzo and

```
CME and anything --
```

PLANNER BEAHM: Well, he has to put the use variance testimony on the record.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay. As a planner, he needs to do that.

PLANNER BEAHM: But I would -respectfully, I would ask for a restroom break. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So why don't we do that? We're going to take five minutes. We'll hear from Mr. Dean in a concise manner. And then the board is going to discuss one of the things and its concerns for everyone's edification.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Very good.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So this board is now in recess for ten minutes.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you.
(A recess is taken at 9:26 p.m.)
(Time noted, 9:37 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I'm going to call
this meeting back to order and we're going to recognize the applicant's planner and engineer, who is going to be putting onto the record the proofs

```
    for the use variance.
    Mr. Dean, welcome, sir.
        GARY DEAN: Good evening.
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Good evening.
    ATTORNEY MARMERO: We'll get you sworn
    in, sir. Would you state your name for the record
    please?
    GARY DEAN: Certainly. Gary Dean,
    D-E-A-N.
    ATTORNEY MARMERO: And do you swear
    the testimony you will provide tonight will be the
    truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
    GARY DEAN: Yes, I do.
    ATTORNEY MARMERO: Okay. Could you --
    did you want to list cities you've testified
        in before --
    ATTORNEY PAPE: I think he has placed
    his credentials on the record.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: The board accepts
    his credentials.
    GARY DEAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
        - - -
        E X A M I N A T I O N
    THE WITNESS: I'm a licensed engineer
```

and planner, both are in good standing. I have been practicing in New Jersey Land Use for 40-some-odd years. And while not a New Jersey native, I proudly can call New Jersey my home. However, born and raised in Massachusetts.

Given tonight is the first night of the NBA Finals, $I$ will say if $I$ get cut, $I$ will bleed green.

So that aside, $I$ maintain an office in Ocean Grove, as well as Somerville, New Jersey. I have appeared in Manalapan. I think my appearances were principally before the planning board.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you, Mr. Dean.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. Mr. Chair, I'm going to ask for permission -- you, a few moments ago, indicated that with regard to engineering testimony that there could be an abbreviated presentation.

> If that's not offensive to any board members, what we can do from the engineering perspective is just introduce the exhibit to you, identify it as existing conditions, and make a commitment that we will address the outstanding requirements of your professional staff.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Absolutely. ATTORNEY PAPE: Is that acceptable? CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Oh, completely. ATTORNEY PAPE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And for stepping on
your toes, forgive me. I'm not solving a war.
THE WITNESS: I have three exhibits,
possibly five, but $I$ will start with the first,
which is an aerial photograph of the subject
property. I think A-2?
ATTORNEY PAPE: A-3.

THE WITNESS: Wasn't there an $A-1$ ?

SECRETARY MOENCH: We have A-2, so
this would be $A-3$. So this was not sent to me digitally, correct?

THE WITNESS: It's just a colored paragraph, an aerial.

No, it has not been sent previously.

SECRETARY MOENCH: So if you wouldn't
mind, if you could send it to me digitally after?
THE WITNESS: I certainly will.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Thank you for that. You got it.

SECRETARY MOENCH: Yes, that's A-3.
(Exhibit A-3, Colored Aerial
Photograph, was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: So A-3 is nothing more -just to orient the board, although I suspect you're familiar, Route 9 is to the right featured in yellow. Briar Hill Road runs horizontal across this exhibit.

Plaza 9, which is the unusual sort of slip ramp that leads to Route 9 south, forms the western and southern boundaries of the subject property.

And the site itself is essentially this island in between all of that roadway. Interestingly enough, as such, it basically is surrounded on all sides by roads. So then it becomes front yard, rear yard. That's a zoning -an interesting element of zoning, but for -- I'll say just orientation purposes, we'll consider Route 9 to be the front yard.

The subject property, and $I$ am going to move from this quickly for efficiency, the subject property is developed with a former drive-in bank. All of the improvements would remain unchanged with
the subject application.
And I have presented a colored exhibit that is the site plan that we submitted as part of the application prepared by me. It features the zoning table and the general information.

This was based on a combination of actual site survey that was conducted within the past 18 months to two years, and then the zoning information from the prior approvals for the bank.

ATTORNEY PAPE: A-4?
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Rendered.
(Exhibit $A-4$, Rendered Site Plan, was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: You will see approximately half the site on the western side remains undeveloped in green. The building itself is shown in brown and in a lighter brown tone $I$ have shown the sidewalk, a pedestrian network surrounding the site. The gray area is existing blacktop. To the east of the building is the overhead canopy that features somewhat of a decorative tower, if you will, that previously
served as part of the drive-thru window components.
All of the drive-thru, the pieces are there, and the window itself that was the outboard has been closed and shuttered. But as you have heard represented, the drive-thru elements will be removed if the board favorably considers the application.

The traffic flow on the site is somewhat interesting in that it flows from north to south, so that all of the traffic and $I$ suspect it was done for the bank with the drive-thru, in that customers would approach the westerly driveway, proceed in a southerly direction of the drive-thru, and then exit out to Plaza 9, which is only one way. So that is intended to direct traffic to Route 9 South.

The applicant -- this is essentially a retenancy. As you heard, Mr. Buckley wishes to move his business into the building.

Well, rather than taking down the overhead canopy and the tower, which, you know, aesthetically has some nice appeal, I had the idea that in lieu of the four drive-thru spaces, it would make for nice covered parking.

So our plan does show, nothing more
than to simply park cars instead of them being there temporarily for the drive-thru, they would be there just so the occupants have access to their vehicles outside the building.

All of the other features and parking would remain as is. I forget the total parking count but it's 27 spaces. And so 27 striped in the lot with the four underneath yields 31.

As you have heard from the testimony, I think it was six, possibly, with a part-time technician, no more than seven. So parking is not an issue.

And then the last exhibit, which does relate to the site and the environs, it's simply the land use that is surrounding the subject property. Again, another exhibit which will be A-6.

SECRETARY MOENCH: A-5.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, A-5.
SECRETARY MOENCH: So I just want to
review: $A-1$ is the floor plan.
A-2 is the security system.
A-3 was the overhead colored rendering.
A-4 was your colored rendering of the
site plan.
G. Dean, P.E., P.P.

THE WITNESS: Correct. Thank you.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes.
THE WITNESS: What we've done,
again --
ATTORNEY PAPE: A-5.
THE WITNESS: The aerial on $A-5$ is the same as featured on $A-3$, the only distinction is the use of each property surrounding the subject site has been identified with the tenants in each one of those and all of them are commercial; they are not residential uses.

So the subject property itself on all of its borders is surrounded by non-residential uses and activities.
(Exhibit A-5, Colorized Aerial Photograph with tenant details, was marked.)

MEMBER WEISS: Mr. Dean?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER WEISS: If I may what is the closest home or where is the closest home to the subject property?

THE WITNESS: I believe it would be the house and I'm pointing to Exhibit $A-5$, but it
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would be to the west or to the left of what has been identified as Number 6, which is 24 Plaza 9 .

MEMBER WEISS: Is there a reason why you didn't highlight that as being noncommercial or residential?

THE WITNESS: No, it is residential. What $I$ have done is highlight the commercial uses; the non-residential uses. Everything else to our west that's off of the exit is residential.

So with that, just for context, you have heard from the applicant in terms of their intended use, which is not permitted in your OP zone.

The OP zone does permit certain commercial uses that are not office that include the former bank, that include medical office, business offices, childcare centers, fitness, health clubs, dance studios and medical labs.

In addition to the plan that our office prepared as part of the completeness review on February 20th, $I$ prepared a traffic summary outlining what $I$ would characterize are the benefits of this application.

So when it was developed it was a bank. And in 1999 or so, when banks were a little bit
different than they are today, a typical drive-in bank using industry standard traffic rates would have generated in the morning not a lot of traffic, maybe 20 vehicles an hour in and out.

And then we get into the evening, you know, particularly before internet banking took off and the like, 80 customers on a typical, you know, Friday, cash the paycheck, would have been fairly typical. And $I$ contrasted that with the traffic associated with other permitted uses in the OP zone.

And I would submit that other than a straight business office, which $I$ would -- to me is analogous to what is proposed, all of the other uses in the $O P$ zone, should the building be reoccupied by a tenant otherwise permitted, would be more traffic intensive than the proposed use.

And so with an eye towards always
managing traffic impacts in a community, certainly something that keeps that activity suppressed as much as possible, I think advances one of the purposes of zoning that $I$ will cover in a moment.

So on net balance either between what it was or what it could be, I think the use is superior in that sense.

The applicant is seeking relief as a
use that is not expressly permitted in the zone. I would call it a specialty retail use. Although it has a certain office element, as you have heard from Mr. Buckley with his staff, you know, evaluating, appraising, things of that nature, but then we have this content creation, or sort of media production element.

I was certainly encouraged to hear that that only represents a small percentage of the activity, 10 percent or so, that 90 percent would be associated with obviously very limited retail sales, the appraising element of the business, and I would submit, general consulting as would typically be found in an office environment.

So in terms of being as close to compatible with the uses permitted in the OP zone, I think we're very close. Obviously, retail sales is not permitted, but this is not intended to be a convenience store, or a Dick's Sporting Goods, or a traditional retail. It is very limited. And I would say the relief that is being sought, I will leave it to the board, the applicant is seeking, I would say, future flexibility, in terms of, you know, if it were a boutique dress, you know, maker, something of that ilk would give that -- would allow
for future flexibility in terms of leasing.
But if it is only this tenant that the board, I'll say, will permit subject to future tenancy review, I certainly understand that. But the intent would be very -- you know, how do I call it -- specialty, unique land uses. If someone wanted to restore antique musical instruments, to me that's akin to the proposal. But it would not be traditional highway retail.

The site is somewhat unique in that it doesn't have a highway driveway. It only accesses is on Briar Hill.

So that does segue into a certain element that $I$ don't mean to jump ahead in my order, but the signage and the identification.

The site does feature -- and I guess I do have one more exhibit -- the site does feature, as I'm sure the board is aware, a rather large overhead or a pylon sign that is -- I have a detail on my plan -- but it's 18-and-3-quarters-feet tall. The overall sign is just under 40 square feet.

And, I'm sorry, I have one more
exhibit. I might have two. But the next exhibit is just a photograph, nothing more than what $I$ call a street view photograph looking southwesterly from
traveling south on Route 9 .
Briar Hill Road is just to the bottom
THE WITNESS: I say that, Ms. Beahm,
thank you, just to segue into that very point, which
is the -- as the chairman and board made clear, the
preference -- and $I$ just have, $I$ guess this is
A-7 -- nothing more than a very crude but simplified
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Photoshop rendering of taking that blue sign on the big post at 18-and-3-quarters and putting it on the ground as your ordinance requires.
(Exhibit A-7, Photograph of proposed sign, was marked.)
THE WITNESS: By using that same panel -- and I guess this is where we would like relief, only due to the economics of taking that down -when \(I\) say "that," the sign.
The sign height itself is 4.17 feet. The maximum height permitted for your ground-mounted signs is 4 feet. So --
PLANNER BEAHM: But in reality, you're not going to take the sign face and put it on the ground; you're going to create a new sign.
It should be compliant.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Well, he's testifying that they're going to do that.
THE WITNESS: I don't know what the Sitar's ultimate objective is.
PLANNER BEAHM: Okay, but what I am suggesting, Mr. Pape, is that you're creating a new ground-mounted monument sign. It should be
```

```
    compliant in area and setback, period. It's new.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: You know, I have no
    problem with what he's suggesting. If they take the
    pole away --
    PLANNER BEAHM: They're not going to
    take the face of that pole-mounted sign and put it
    on the ground, it's physically impossible. They're
    going to have to create a new sign.
                            ATTORNEY PAPE: The actual face of the
    sign can be saved and can be --
                            PLANNER BEAHM: I would not advocate
    for that.
            This is a use variance for a high-end
        watch dealer. The site requires a ground-mounted
        sign. There is literally no planning justification
        -- other than economics, which we cannot consider --
        to allow for a non-conforming sign, which if they're
        going to put a new sign, it should be compliant.
            CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you for that.
        Duly noted.
            THE WITNESS: So for the remaining
        relief, we are here seeking a D(1) use variance and
        I'll thank Ms. Beahm, as always, for her thorough
        review of the application, particularly as it
        outlines the proofs that are required.
```

This is a pre-existing building that has a variety of, I'll say, grandfathered or otherwise, permitted areas relative to front yard parking and things of that nature.

As is necessary for a use variance, we have to first identify are there special reasons. As a Board of Adjustment $I$ would submit that your job is basically to say no to applications, unless there are compelling or special reasons that warrant the grant of the use variance. That then needs to be balanced with what is called a negative criteria, which are the impacts on the surrounding area.

In terms of the positive criteria or special reasons, $I$ would first submit the general unreasonableness of not granting the relief. And the reason is, this was a special purpose building for a bank; it has sat vacant for now, I believe it's in its third year.

And due to the changes in work environment and leasing in general, both banks are no longer a favored or popular land use. Simply stated, banks aren't opening, they're, in fact, contracting.

And secondarily, there's no office market since work-from-home mandates, Team, Zoom and
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people ability to telecommute, that represents a
    significant hardship to the property owner in terms
    of being able to comply with your zoning standards.
    The size of the building itself also
represents a hardship in that a health club or a
childcare center just can't use a 2,900 square foot
building.
    So it's too small for any of those
    permitted uses without a further expansion.
    MEMBER WEISS: Mr. Dean?
    THE WITNESS: Yes.
    MEMBER WEISS: Why is it that a
childcare center can't use a 2,900 square foot
building?
THE WITNESS: The economics of the building and the cost.
    A childcare center of that footprint
    would fit maybe 40 kids. The typical childcare
    centers now that are being built have capacities of
    180 or so, are 10,000, 11- and 12,000 square feet.
    So at 2,900, this is well below that.
    PLANNER BEAHM: But it could be
        demolished and reconstructed.
            THE WITNESS: Oh, no question.
            PLANNER BEAHM: I would just request
```

1
that instead of this whole montage into what you can't do, what goal of zoning are you advancing?

THE WITNESS: Sure, okay. Particular suitability of the site for the use.

PLANNER BEAHM: That's not what I asked. What goal of zoning are you advancing? Not special reasons.

What you've provided me right now has not met that burden; you have not given me one goal of zoning you're advancing.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: He needs a particular suitability.

PLANNER BEAHM: Particular suitability is a separate prompt; goal of zoning is special reasons.

That's what we started out; what goal of zoning are you advancing?

THE WITNESS: Sure. I would submit that the purpose of zoning, among other issues and $I$ started with traffic, is that this is a use that does not promote traffic. And so under Subsection 45D-2, H is a use that does not promote undue traffic congestion or blight.

I think it provides a good civic arrangement in terms of reusing the building that's
already been constructed. It does not require demolition.

I would submit that the use itself is consistent, generally, with what is otherwise expected in the zone, and that the zone can't possibly encompass things such as media content creation in that it is looking at where we are in terms of current conditions that, $I$ would say, can't be envisioned.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Ms. Beahm, do you take exception to the advancement of those?

PLANNER BEAHM: I take exemption to the last one, 100 percent. Because there's no goal of zoning that -- like the goals of zoning are $A$ through whatever, and the last one you just brought up is not even on there at all.

So, you know, there are -- there are statutory requirements in justifying a use variance, as you know. One is the special reasons.

So you said H. What civic arrangement;
what purposes is that?
THE WITNESS: A good civic design. I believe that one is either J or G, I can't say I've committed to memory each one of those zoning
standards. But it is to create a desirable, visual

```
environment --
PLANNER BEAHM: That's not the same. That's a different goal of zoning.
THE WITNESS: It's still a goal of zoning, correct?
PLANNER BEAHM: I agree.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
PLANNER BEAHM: But that's not the same as civic arrangement. That's a totally separate goal of zoning.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: He's citing it now.
THE WITNESS: Appropriate uses for a mixture for a variety of uses such as residential, commercial, office, in appropriate locations, I believe is the purpose of zoning.
PLANNER BEAHM: Mr. Chair, I get it.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Well, he may be all over the place and he may not be answering the questions as they're being asked, but he satisfied an advancement of the \(M L U L\), right?
PLANNER BEAHM: I mean, I'm going to reserve my opinion on that because \(I\) don't necessarily agree with all of these goals of zoning that he's citing, but he needs to cite them.
Like, I understand that there's all
```

these other ancillary things that come up, but there's statutory requirements that this applicant is obligated to provide. And in the event that someone were to challenge any action that this board takes, we have to make sure that they're providing the requisite information.

So he's here as a planner, so he needs to provide planning testimony.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So I'm going to sustain that, Mr. Dean, and ask you to be specific about which -- which zoning purposes you are advancing, A through whatever?

H didn't have to do with transportation routes. So we're given that one.

THE WITNESS: Under 40:55D-2, we will say E, "to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhood, communities, regions and preservation of the environment."

And I cite "preservation of the environment" in that the building is here; it requires no construction, no removal of trees, no demolition of anything. It preserves it as is and that it is a creative rehabilitation or reuse of the

```
    building that exists.
        Subsection G, is the one that I had
    cited, which is "to provide sufficient space in
    appropriate locations for a variety of stated uses
    in order to meet the needs of all New Jersey
    citizens." I'll leave it to you whether a
    specialized watch appraisal and sales meets the
    needs of all citizens, but it is an appropriate
    location for the use. It's not in a residential
    neighborhood; it is on the highway that enjoys
    convenient and free access, similar to the bank.
    Subsection I is "to promote a
    desirable visual environment through creative
    development techniques." Well, the building is
    there. So while it's there, the suggestion that it
    could be demolished for a permitted use, I will
    leave it to you to gauge the aesthetics of the
    building and whether that is something you would
        seek to preserve.
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay.
        THE WITNESS: Beyond that I --
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So that's fine.
        Let's defer to Ms. Beahm.
            THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Jennifer, he has E,
```

G, H, and I.
PLANNER BEAHM: So I'm just going to start. "Promote a desirable visual environment." The building is there. You're doing nothing to enhance it. There's no increased landscaping, there is no aesthetic improvement to the building. You going in this building does not change the aesthetics.

> I don't subscribe that that's promoting anything.

THE WITNESS: But for the sign.
ATTORNEY PAPE: It's a preservation of aesthetic.

PLANNER BEAHM: The sign that $I$ just
beat you into submission for?
THE WITNESS: We heard that at the
last hearing. The sign and -- I'm sorry.
PLANNER BEAHM: Secondly, "to
encourage location and design of transportation routes to promote flee flow of traffic." How exactly is the occupancy of this building "changing or encouraging the location and design of transportation routes which will promote the free flow of traffic"?
You're on a highway. You're doing
nothing; you're creating no transportation improvements with respect to this. You're not changing the parking lot. You're not changing the circulation. I don't subscribe that that's being advanced. I think it's -- you're on the highway, it is what it is.

So, like, I understand that, you know -- and then the population density argument, $I$ don't understand how this -- a nonresidential development has anything to do with population density at all. So I just feel like it's a stretch. You know, you may -- I would suggest maybe appropriate development and appropriate location, that may be something that could be advanced here, but the other ones, I don't -- like, I think you're --

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: That's interesting
that you would take $G$, because it has to do with agriculture and recreation.

PLANNER BEAHM: But it also has to do with residential, commercial, everything. It's not everything, it's --

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay. So then it's been offered and you're going to accept I, right? Well, you have to take I.

PLANNER BEAHM: I don't have to take

```
I. I don't.
```

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Well --
PLANNER BEAHM: They're not doing
anything to improve the aesthetics.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: He testified, and
it made sense to me, that occupying an empty
building, one that has been so for three years, with the limited prospects of getting someone else to do so in today's business environment, for the reasons he enumerated, makes better sense. And it is visual.

PLANNER BEAHM: I mean, I get it.
Listen, you can take my professional advice or not, that's up to you. But what I'm saying to you is that in a use variance situation, when you're promoting a desirable visual environment, there has to be an aesthetic improvement.

They are not providing -- they are not proposing one shrub, tree, blade of grass.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: But they are taking down the pole.

PLANNER BEAHM: Okay.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And that to me,
that's a big deal to me.

PLANNER BEAHM: Okay. But I don't subscribe that that's enough to qualify under that.

They don't have to meet everything;
they have to meet one.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And they have one, you're giving them G.

PLANNER BEAHM: I understand that. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay.

PLANNER BEAHM: What I'm saying is, is that the testimony that has been provided to me in all this plethora of reasons, a daycare center could go into that building, much to Adam's argument, and it would be the same situation.

Like this use is not changing the scenario. They're not improving the aesthetic. If they wanted to promote the desirable visual environment, maybe add a shrub.

Or is there going to be landscaping around this proposed sign -- that before was just going to be the sign face that was on the pylon down on the ground, and now it's going to be a monument sign; is there going to be landscaping by it?

Like, you have to promote desirable change.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Pape?

PLANNER BEAHM: You can't just say the building is there.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Pape?
ATTORNEY PAPE: If I may, with regard to the monument sign, we went right into the planning testimony. We don't have an issue with complying with the setback, and we don't have an issue with complying with the sign face. It is a hardship and we could have used the sign, but we will comply.

What $I$ would suggest, though, and I'd ask of the board, is an opportunity to put the monument on a 30 -inch-high base so that we have an opportunity to do four sides of landscaping around the base. And that would take a 4-foot sign, the height of the sign, and it would make it 6-and-a-half feet.

Six-and-a-half-feet monument with a
fully landscaped base is the offer that is made, and we use the same sign face.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Jennifer?
PLANNER BEAHM: I mean, I think that the base is fine with the landscaping around it, but I think -- like, listen, this is a high-end business. I think if they're really looking to make
an impression in Manalapan, taking that sign face that was on the pylon sign and just putting it on the ground, I think you could do better.

ATTORNEY PAPE: We just agreed that we would do that.

PLANNER BEAHM: Okay, that's fine.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay.
ENGINEER LOPEZ: Mr. Chairman, if I could...

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Lopez, yes. ATTORNEY PAPE: Well, he is in the middle of his testimony. Maybe he could conclude his testimony?

ENGINEER LOPEZ: I was just relating to the landscaping that we were recommending.

I know you guys were requesting design waivers because you're not really proposing to do anything, but $I$ do at least recommend taking a look at adding some landscaping along the parking spaces that front along Route 9, just to provide some shielding or screening for any headlight glare for any vehicles travelling up and down that highway. ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Lopez, if it's acceptable to your office and the board, we'll meet with Shari spero, the arborist for the municipality,

```
at the site and will follow her good guidance on landscaping supplements.
```

ENGINEER LOPEZ: That works.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you for the suggestion, and for the concession.

THE WITNESS: One of the other improvements, Mr. Chairman, that was requested was a sidewalk. And that certainly promotes general health, safety and welfare. While not shown on the plans, it was done intentionally because our proposal, where it makes the most sense is to put it within the DOT right-of-way so it's not on the subject premises itself.

But in discussion with the applicant, with the understanding that it is limited to our frontage and, obviously, subject to DOT approval, that that amenity would be added to the plan as well.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Good decision. MEMBER WEISS: Can I ask Mr. Dean a question?

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: You may. Let me first point out, it's 10:10 almost. This board usually has a hard limit of 10:30. We're getting to the end.

I want to go out to the public. I want to satisfy all of my board members. I want to go to Mr. Marmero, who needs to put on the record the conditions, and $I$ want summation from my professionals.

And then $I$ want to discuss here any
concerns that you may have because some of the questioning from you has indicated that you don't support this application, which $I$ understand.

But I want to put it out there and do all of that in the next 20 minutes.

MEMBER WEISS: Mr. Dean, very quickly.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
MEMBER WEISS: A-5, I'm not asking you
to go to it, but A-5 was the overhead of the ten or so businesses in the area.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MEMBER WEISS: Can you, from memory, tell us what those businesses currently are or the types of businesses that they currently are?

ATTORNEY PAPE: We have them listed.
THE WITNESS: They are listed.
MEMBER WEISS: Because I don't have
that -- I don't have the copy of that with me here.
ATTORNEY PAPE: I'm not going to

```
testify but I can read it.
    MEMBER WEISS: Yeah, if you could just
    read it.
    ATTORNEY PAPE: One: 189 Plaza 9, A&E
    Kitchen and Bath; Envision Acne & Skin Care Center;
    Tiny Tots Therapy & Pediatric Specialists.
    Two: 16 Plaza 9 is Faktor,
    F-A-K-T-O-R; a dentist.
    Three: The K&E building, K&E
    Engineering; K&E Hy-Teck; K&E Gamatron; and Cirangel
    Architects.
            Four: Chudnoff Associates; marketing
    research.
            Five: Topel & Silver, Certified Public
    Accountants.
    Six: NÜVA Smile; dentist.
            Seven: Dermatology, Surgery, Cosmetic;
        dermatology.
            Eight: RWJ Barnabas Health Manalapan
    Primary Care; Yvonne Kamen ACSW, LCSW; and
    Ameriprise Financial.
    Nine: Center For Advanced Dentistry.
    Ten: JODE Professional Plaza, and
    then a list of the tenants.
    MEMBER WEISS: Thank you, Mr. Pape.
```

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Anything further,
Mr. Dean?

THE WITNESS: Briefly, the applicant
is seeking relief for three, I'll say, bulk
standards. The first one identified in Ms. Beahm's letter is a buffer to a residential zone.

Across Route 9 is a residential
boundary. According to the zoning map, it's to the center line of Route 9. And with our site frontage, I believe we have -- I scaled 60.8 feet from the property to that zone boundary. So I don't know that that relief is necessary but again, it's a preexisting condition.

The second one pertains to the width of a parking space for ADA.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Hold on. Is that relief necessary, Jen?

PLANNER BEAHM: It is. But I think what Mr. Dean testified to justifies what they've requested.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay, you got that
one.

THE WITNESS: Parking for the ADA
space is governed by New Jersey Barrier-Free Code and the Department of Justice. So your ordinance
doesn't meet the current federal and state requirements.

So technically we need that relief. I don't know legally whether state and federal statutes supersede your local ordinance, but we will acknowledge that that relief is necessary.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Hold on.
Mr. Lopez, any objection?
ENGINEER LOPEZ: I take no exception
to that. He is correct, the federal ordinance is different than the local ordinance.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you, sir. You got that, too.

THE WITNESS: And secondly, no required off-street parking or loading can be in a front yard. And, as i indicated in my overview, everything on this site is a front yard, so $I$ would submit that that is a hardship relief that we are seeking due to the unusual configuration of the site and is also a preexisting non-conformity for which we will continue to seek that variance relief.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay. What kind of loading is there?

THE WITNESS: I don't think there's
any loading; it's parking. It's parking and
loading.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So then do you take exception to that, Mr. Lopez?

ENGINEER LOPEZ: We take no exception to that either.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay, good stuff.
THE WITNESS: And we've eliminated the variance request for $C$, which was the no existing sidewalk along Route 9 and we will add that.

That is all I have, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay. Before I go
to the public, can you just speak to the negative criteria?

THE WITNESS: Certainly.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Because it's where the concerns emanating from the board are.

THE WITNESS: In my opinion, and again buttressed by, and I appreciate, Ms. Beahm seeking the foundation from Mr. Buckley for me to conclude that the granting of the relief, with the caveats and limitations that were expressed between the board and the tenant, that the granting of the use variance would not substantially -- and $I$ focus on the word "substantially" -- impair the intent and purpose of your zoning plan or ordinance, in that

1
the use, while commercial, I would submit is very similar in characteristics to the uses that are otherwise permitted. The hours of operation would be similar.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Dean, I agree with all of that, and that is part of negative criteria, I'm not going to tell you how to do your job. But the concerns from the board had to do with damage to the public.

THE WITNESS: I was about to get to that one too.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And that it is not equivalent -- the granting of this use variance, in my opinion, is not equivalent to a rezoning of the property. It is considering the unique and specific elements of the proposal in contrast to the zone plan. And, in my opinion, the relief could be granted without substantial, again, focusing on substantial detriment to the public good, which would be enhanced through suppressing the potential traffic on the site through the removal of existing non-conformities related to the sign and the enhancement of the site as was described through additional landscaping, sidewalk, and accessibility
features.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Dean.

Mr. Pape, before $I$ ask you to summate,
I want to go out to the public to see if there's anyone in attendance who wants to cross-examine the testimony that has been offered.

Seeing none, $I$ will close public then.
And, Mr. Pape, before I go back to you, I want to get input from the board, and first, I want to hear from our professionals.

Jennifer, overall -ZONING OFFICER BOCCANFUSO:

Mr. Chairman, $I$ just have one very specific question before we get to Jen's.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Boccanfuso. ZONING OFFICER BOCCANFUSO: Yes.

So with regard to the sign, specifically and clearly, so that $I$ know because I'm going to be the one who has to review the application if there's an approval; what is the proposed height and the proposed area of the sign?

Total height is measured from grade to the highest element of the sign, and total area of the sign face.

```
    ATTORNEY PAPE: 6-and-a-half feet,
    30 inches of which are the base, and 4 feet of which
    is the sign face.
    ZONING OFFICER BOCCANFUSO: And the
    area?
    ATTORNEY PAPE: The area is -- I don't
    know what it is but we'll agree that we --
    ZONING OFFICER BOCCANFUSO: 30 feet is
    permitted, but that would be including the sign
    base.
    I would imagine you don't want to
    include that sign base?
    ATTORNEY PAPE: Yes, we would ask for
    the size that is permitted, if it's 30 square feet,
    but that would be for the sign. And then the base
    would be in addition to the sign.
    ZONING OFFICER BOCCANFUSO: Understood.
        Thank you.
            ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you for that
        opportunity.
            CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Is that a design
        waiver that we're granting?
    PLANNER BEAHM: A variance.
    ZONING OFFICER BOCCANFUSO: It would
        be a variance, yes.
```

G. Dean, P.E., P.P.

But they have provided -- I'll defer to
Jen, but they have provided testimony that the -the variance for the height. And to the extent that the area variance is needed because the base is included, is to allow them to improve aesthetics by landscaping around it.

PLANNER BEAHM: And it does that? PLANNER BEAHM: It does.

ZONING OFFICER BOCCANFUSO: I would agree.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So you understood
my initial concern, and you would support their offer as it advances what the board would care about?

PLANNER BEAHM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Anything else,
Ms. Beahm?
PLANNER BEAHM: No.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Lopez?
ENGINEER LOPEZ: Just a couple of
things.
Since you're not doing any
improvements, $I$ do see that there is existing ADA
spaces and handicap ramps on site. Just confirm
that if we do find that they're not compliant that

```
the applicant agrees to bring them into conformance with the ADA Compliance Act?
ATTORNEY PAPE: ADA is ADA; there's no variances allowed.
ENGINEER LOPEZ: Okay. Just wanted to get that confirmed.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Surely.
ENGINEER LOPEZ: And the existing
utilities, you're not making any modifications to the utilities outside? You're keeping them the same?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Exactly.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
ENGINEER LOPEZ: And what about outside agencies, do you guys need to get any approvals from outside agencies?
ATTORNEY PAPE: I don't believe so. This is a use variance only, it's not a site plan. The county doesn't take jurisdiction over site plans.
THE WITNESS: One exception.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Unless DOT is going to
step in when we go for the sidewalk.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
ENGINEER LOPEZ: Okay.
```

ATTORNEY PAPE: We do need a sidewalk permit from the DOT.

ENGINEER LOPEZ: I have nothing
further.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Pape, I would
like to ask Mr. Buckley a follow-up question.
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Buckley.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you,

Mr. Dean.
THE WITNESS: Thank you for your time
tonight.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Buckley, you testified that the percent of the business devoted to content creation was 10 percent and you qualified that as having been based on financial matters.

JOHN BUCKLEY: Correct.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I want to just get some further clarification as it relates to content creation. How many days a week do you currently create content?

JOHN BUCKLEY: The days that we're in New York, maybe three.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So three days a
week you're filming?
JOHN BUCKLEY: For the most part, yes.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And/or creating
content?
JOHN BUCKLEY: Correct.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Or producing
content?
JOHN BUCKLEY: Correct, and editing. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And of those three days, how much of the day is devoted to creation of content?

JOHN BUCKLEY: We go in certain days specifically to shoot content. And we have people that we're doing business with that are amenable to that. So that's how we do it.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And would the filming -- how long would the filming take; is that all day?

JOHN BUCKLEY: It's basically -- the filming is the short time that you see on YouTube. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Oh, there's editing?

JOHN BUCKLEY: The editing is what takes time. But that's usually done either off-site now, but if we do have a place to set up the editing, which we're hoping for, it will be done on site at 191.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Just who does that? JOHN BUCKLEY: The technicians that we have, that we mentioned in the application. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And, Mr. Buckley, how -- where does the idea for the creation -- what is the impetus for the creation of content? Where does it derive from?

Does it emanate from your son and his
friend? Is it generated from something that they see? Is it coming from you or business that you conduct?

I would like to nail that down too. JOHN BUCKLEY: Sure. Tyler's main videos are him, like, fly-on-the-wall videos, doing negotiations, buying and selling.

Mine are sometimes buying and selling, but mine are more showing the ins-and-outs of what a particular model entails. What is good about it, what is bad about it. More of an examination-type video.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Tyler has the
capacity to create in the moment, you're saying?
JOHN BUCKLEY: Oh, yes. Everything is in the moment.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Oh dear, okay. So

```
    there's no -- all right.
    MEMBER WEISS: Listen, there's a video
    out there, you can go them going into WaWa on
    Route 9 in Sayreville. I mean, it could be
    anywhere, at any time.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Not really because
    tonight we have defined his content creation as
    exclusive to --
    PLANNER BEAHM: Only for this site.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: -- jewlery at this
    site. Yes.
            PLANNER BEAHM: This site. But what
    Adam is saying is, it's not -- that's not the
    entirety of their brand.
            CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Yes, but that --
    this is what they're going to be confined to, and
    they have agreed to it.
        PLANNER BEAHM: Yes.
        ZONING OFFICER BOCCANFUSO: At this
    location.
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: At this location.
        ZONING OFFICER BOCCANFUSO: We can't
        restrict what they're doing...
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And that's fine. We
        don't -- it's not our business to. And we wish them
```

success; we want them to be successful. But we want it on the record, and we want to know what it is and where it comes from.

Okay, I am finished, Mr. Buckley. I'm very satisfied with your answers now. Thank you, sir.

JOHN BUCKLEY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Pape, before --
I'm going to allow the board to discuss its thoughts at this moment, and then $I$ will ask you to summate, and we should conclude before our 10:30 deadine.

Okay, you all likely know where I
stand and what $I$ think. I will state it for you but after $I$ hear your thoughts. I don't want to -- I don't want to influence you, and $I$ want to ensure that you're comfortable with what you think and in what you're going to do with the weight of your vote.

But $I$ did hear your concerns and $I$ do know that some of you have them, and so $I$ would like to discuss them here now and put them on the record why they're concerns of yours. And maybe we can ameliorate them, or maybe we can't, but I would like the applicant to know what they're up against before we -- before we go further.

So let's -- let's go out to anybody who wants to contribute.

VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: Like I said before, I have concerns.

SECRETARY MOENCH: Can you put your mic up.

VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: I have concerns.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Your concerns, what are they?

VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: What occurs after 6:00 p.m. especially on Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays. I was going to say that's the most part when they do all their content creations and their filming.

The address is going to be out there, where this film is going to be done. I have concerns that this Tyler might have followers, that they might try to come to this business after hours, a large crowd.

I think Mr. Buckley said, from time to time you're going to have maybe special guests. That might be out, there's a special guest might be coming a week from today. That might draw a big crowd. And I'm concerned the effect it's going to
have on the residents around there.
And also the buildings or businesses that was mentioned. Now, it would be possible that most of them are closed or just open during normal business hours. They're closed on Sundays. They're not open Saturday nights and Friday nights, which would give the residents some relief with the businesses being closed, so they look like they're good businesses.

But I really have concerns what is going to occur with this filming and the crowd it has potential to attract. It could be -- if they have 3 million followers, it wouldn't be out of the realm to assume that you could have 4-or 500 people showing up just for autographs for a special person that is going to go there to enhance the business. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So that does, that does speak directly to the negative criteria. VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: It has the potential. If we have large crowds -- he just said he has over 3 million followers. Now, I don't think they all live in New Jersey, but I'm sure a large amount. If the word gets out they got somebody very popular coming --

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I'm acknowledging
your concern. The negative criteria necessitates that there's no damage to the public good and that's what you're expressing, the potential for damage to the public good.

So before we call for a motion, or
let's go further, we will ask Mr. Buckley to address that specific concern, as it relates to the generation of the crowds in the past.

And anything else that you haven't expressed already?

VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: No. Just
that was my concern.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay. So we'll
recall him after we go through the rest of the board.

Stacey, concerns?
MEMBER KLOMPUS: Not right now.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: John?
MEMBER HARRINGTON: My concerns fall
in line with Mr. Gregowicz has --
SECRETARY MOENCH: Microphone, you
need the microphone.
MEMBER HARRINGTON: My concerns fall in
line with what Mr. Gregowicz has expressed.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: The potential

```
    damage to the public good?
        MEMBER HARRINGTON: Correct.
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Pochopin?
        MEMBER POCHOPIN: Same with me, sir.
    Like I expressed before, the policing. This is all
    new to me too, because it's not really zoning stuff,
    it's the activities. And so many different branches
    out on the business, as I go through the testimony
    the last two times. It's just always learning
    something new and it's very confusing.
    And it doesn't seem -- like I said,
    it's very big concern to me.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: The potential
    damage to the public good?
    MEMBER POCHOPIN: That's correct. And
    also the traffic -- what Mr. Gregowicz, the safety,
    the large crowds of people. The highway safety,
    cars in and out. That's a very busy highway.
    You know, maybe in a different area in
    Manalapan, but that's a highlighted area and, you
        know, that's my concern.
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Wechsler?
        MEMBER WECHSLER: Thank you,
        Mr. Chairman. I believe my concerns have been made
        apparent, so I'm good.
```

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Actually,
Mr. Wechsler, I'm not really sure where you stand and $I$ want the applicant to be clear.

So are they consistent with the things
that you've heard from other board members?
MEMBER WECHSLER: They're consistent
with what the board has brought up.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay.
Mr. Mantagas.
MEMBER MANTAGAS: My main concern is
them being open seven days a week, and up to 10 o'clock at night. And that sometimes just moves fast and loose with rules.

And you always say one day for rest, one day to shut down, and it's a residential area and that's my concern.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So, Mr. Pape, that is going to tie into what Mr. Gregowicz expressed in the way of a concern. He talked about Friday night, Saturday night, and after-hours as a potential for damage for the public good. And Mr. Mantagas has piggy-backed on that in a way that's relevant. So I want to unite them together in their concern for your information.

Mr. Weiss?

MEMBER WEISS: Yeah, I think -- look,
all of my concerns did come out, $I$ think, in real time. But, in addition to that, let's not forget that behind this building is a whole development with kids on a residential street.

It's not just, you know, the first ten buildings that look like houses but are dentists' offices and other professional offices. Beyond those ten offices, it's a whole neighborhood that we're talking about, a 25-mile-an-hour street with kids.

So in addition to the concerns that $I$ have, not about Mr. Buckley, but Mr. Buckley's associates, and in addition to the traffic issue --

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Based on what you have seen on the internet?

MEMBER WEISS: Based on what $I$ have viewed personally with my own two eyes on the internet, and publicly available to anybody with an internet connection, I have real concerns.

I have concerns that -- while
Mr. Buckley might be there, everything is okay, I don't want it to be turn into a He-Man Woman Haters Club at the end of the day or at night, where people are just hanging out.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: That was a reference to the Little Rascals.

MEMBER WEISS: Sure was. Spanky was
my favorite.

But anyway, those are really my
concerns. I just think that it's a bad spot for this type of business, which still I haven't really gotten my arms or my head around what the business really is.

Because if it's 90 percent watches, you
know, it sounds to me like that's Mr. Buckley's area. I don't know that that's the area of watch repair, taking them apart and all that kind of thing. I don't know that that's the area really of the other two individuals. I think the other two individuals are really more of a
social-media-influencer type of an operation.
And I just have major concerns about
the application.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: So when you put it like that, it's hard to not really look at it through your prism. Because I, up until you talked about, you know, the residences behind the commercial buildings and the kids, and you look at that combined with the concerns -- the potential --
the concerns of the board for the potential harm to the public good, you know, it gives pause to think, maybe to re-evaluate, maybe. I don't know.

Up until now I supported this application, $I$ thought it's -- you know, it's a watch store; they appraise watches and they create content. And $I$ don't have a problem with either.

And I like that the building is being occupied as opposed to being vacant. And I accepted Mr. Dean's testimony that it is difficult to get that building to house other businesses that would be permitted uses. Notwithstanding our own planner's input that the building could be demolished and built from the ground up again.

But I did hear your concerns. And I know the applicant heard them, and so I'm going to defer to Mr. Pape.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, if I could just have two minutes to confer with my client? Two.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I'm going to extend our hard deadline so that you can confer with him for five minutes.

> ATTORNEY PAPE: I only need two.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Okay.
(A recess is taken at 10:30 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Forgive me. I'm
good now. I call the meeting back to order.
Mr. Pape?
ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chairman, board members, we listened carefully to your concerns. I knew there were concerns; wasn't certain what they were.

Mr. Buckley and Mr. Sitar have authorized me, as both the landlord and as the tenant, to make the following modifications to what has been presented and one clarification. If these are of value to you, $I$ would appreciate if you could share that with me.

But the hours of operation, we would ask Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday -- Monday through Thursday, 8 o'clock, the doors are -- it's finished. There's no more activity.

What was 10:00 becomes 8:00; it's 8 o'clock. It's still 6 o'clock that we lock the doors to the customers. 6 o'clock we lock the doors to the customers. 8 o'clock we're out of there.

Friday, Saturday, Sunday, 6 o'clock is

6 o'clock. There's nothing that happens after 6 clock. There is no activity in the building at all after 6 o'clock.

And for the board's knowledge,
historically there has never been even one person who was an audience for any podcast.

There is no audience allowed in the building. The building is locked while the recording is taking place. And historically, there has never been any audience.

And controls can be put in that no audience is permitted in the building. And we can have a security system that prevents anyone from accumulating in the parking lot if that becomes an issue. We don't expect it to be.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Those modifications may well be effective. So 1 will go to the board and will ask them.

But let me ask you about Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday when you are going to have your business hours continue until 8:00 p.m. Between 6 and 8, will that exclusive timeframe be devoted to content creation only; no customers, no business transactions, just inside the building with your employees?

ATTORNEY PAPE: Internet wholesale activity could be taking place during that time. As Mr. Buckley had testified, that's one of his activities after hours.

He had said up to 10. Now it will stop at 8, but it would also include the video.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: All right. Let us discuss it amongst ourselves, then.

Bob, you started and there are modifications made.

VICE-CHAIRMAN GREGOWICZ: I'm still concerned about what occurs after close and is there any checks or balances that it's going to ensure it's going to close at 8 o'clock?

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: If we take him at his word, though, he does say after 6 nothing goes on on the outside, and after 8, the building is empty on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday, and that there is no past 6 o'clock on any other day of the week.

That is a hefty concession. I know you did have concerns, but...

ATTORNEY PAPE: I had one other
comment, if $I$ might. Mr. Buckley is the tenant.
He's not my client. He's a nice man; he's not my

> client. Mr. Buckley is not my client, he's a tenant.

The landlord is the Sitar family. The Sitars are very substantial -- very substantial

Monmouth County commercial developers. They didn't buy this to flip it. This is part of their portfolio.

Mr. Sitar is here. He's listened to everything. He has given me the authority to say this. He knows that it is him and his family that is on the line making these statements. This is not someone who has one building. This is a very substantial real estate developer.

So you've got the Sitars saying, I am going to make sure that these are enforced. And they want the record to reflect that. And if the record -- and $I^{\prime} m$ stating that on the record, the Sitars stated that they recognize they're responsible for the performance, so be it. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: The board recognizes their acceptance of responsibility and their inclusion of it on the record.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Thank you. Adam, you had concerns. Do the modifications...

MEMBER WEISS: While I appreciate
them, they don't change my position.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Basal, how about you? You talked about -- you talked about after hours.

MEMBER MANTAGAS: Well, I feel more comfortable with those hours. I think they're better than the 10 o'clock hour, and it's a compromise.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Thank you, Basal. Michael?

MEMBER WECHSLER: No, it doesn't change my position.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Oh dear.
So I will remind the board that this is
a Use Variance, it's going to necessitate five affirmative votes. There are two, possibly three negative votes already.

Daniel.
MEMBER POCHOPIN: Same for me, it's still detrimental to the community.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And John?
MEMBER HARRINGTON: I'm going to have
to, you know, stick with my original gut feeling.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: It's rooted in law,
when they can't meet the proofs for the negative criteria and there's questionability, it is appropriate for this board to err on the side of caution.

And I will acknowledge your volunteerism, each and every one of you, and thank you for affirming your votes and for stating your opinions. The township appreciates your contribution.

Stacey?
MEMBER KLOMPUS: My concern is how much -- even though he states 8 o'clock, he's expressed a lot that he deals with overseas and the time needed to be later. So what if you're in the middle of something and it becomes 8 o'clock, are you going to just go home and deal with that? You're going to stay and finish, that's what everybody does.

So as much as I appreciate the time change, you told us the nature of your business, there's a lot of overseas. I'm not sure how much of that might happen.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I still support
this application. I appreciate the concessions and the hard work that you put into it.

ATTORNEY PAPE: I would ask one
further, Mr. Chair. Clearly this is not an
application that has the support of the board this evening. I would like to the opportunity to confer with my client and with his tenant to see if there are further concessions that can be created.

We can't do it at a quarter to 11 , after we've been working this many hours. As much as $I$ was hoping that we could conclude this evening, I wouldn't want to leave -- I wouldn't want to leave it, if there's something further that we could do.

May I ask that you carry -- that you not vote this evening and carry it to a future hearing date. When we return -- hopefully when we return, we can fashion something that addresses your concerns.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: You absolutely may, and we're happy to do that.

Janice, we're going to give them a
date. Offer him a date, please.
Mr. Pape, you understand when you
return you're going to come with --
ATTORNEY PAPE: Concessions.
CHAIRMAN LEVITON: We're not going to ask you to re-notice, but you're going to come with

```
a firm...
    SECRETARY MOENCH: If we can't carry
    it to a date certain --
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: It has to be
    carried to a certain date.
        ATTORNEY PAPE: Yeah, to a date
    certain.
    SECRETARY MOENCH: So 7/18 you're not
    here. I guess August 1st I have.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: I want to do
    everything possible to facilitate the approval of
    this application because it's consistent with what I
    think should happen, but I have to tell you, it
    looks like you're up against a hard wall.
    You understand that?
    ATTORNEY PAPE: I understand that.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And when you come
    back, there needs to be radical changes that is
    going to influence what you have seen in the way of
        opposition.
            ATTORNEY PAPE: And I don't know that
        I have those radical changes to offer, but I would
        be remiss if I did not explore them to the nth
        degree before asking you to...
            CHAIRMAN LEVITON: And I would be
```

remiss if $I$ didn't acknowledge that and insist on a vote this evening, despite the opposition I'm up against. I know it's unpopular.

ATTORNEY PAPE: When we return,
Mr. Chair and board members, I anticipate that we're not going to be long in our presentation. I don't think that we will be dominating the evening as we did this evening.

CHAIRMAN LEVITON: We accept that and we appreciate it.

Janice, what else do we have for them, a date?

SECRETARY MOENCH: August 1st. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: What else do we have?

ATTORNEY PAPE: What else is on that night?

SECRETARY MOENCH: Nothing right now. CHAIRMAN LEVITON: August lst it is, then.

ATTORNEY PAPE: Mr. Chair, members of the board, board professionals, I thank you. If it could be announced that we're carried to August lst without further notice of publication.

I'll follow it with a letter of

```
    extension of time for the board to act.
        CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Mr. Marmero, any
    objection?
    ATTORNEY MARMERO: No objection from
    me. August 1st. As long as it's a date certain
    anyone that's here for the application, and there's
    no one here, is aware of it.
    CHAIRMAN LEVITON: Outstanding. Thank
    you, the applicant, Mr. Pape, and the court
    reporter, the board's professionals. And I'm going
    to go out to public at this time and see if there's
    anyone else that wants to address the board with
    non-agenda items?
    Seeing none, I will close the public
    and before we adjourn for the evening.
            (Whereupon, the application was
        adjourned to August 1, 2024.)
            (Time noted, 10:45 p.m.)
```
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