46

47 48 PAGE 1

1 2 MEETING IS CALLED TO ORDER: 3 MR. LEVITON: Greetings public. I'm going to call 4 this meeting to order and ask that you all stand for the 5 6 salute to the flag. 7 SALUTE TO THE FLAG 8 9 MR. LEVITON: Pursuant to section five of the Open 10 Public Meetings Act notice of this meeting of the Manalapan 11 Township Zoning Board of Adjustment was sent and advertised in 12 13 the Asbury Park Press. A copy of that notice was posted on the bulletin board where public notices are displayed in the 14 municipal building. In addition a copy of this notice is and 15 has been available to the public and is on file in the office 16 of the municipal clerk. Accordingly this meeting is deemed in 17 compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act. Roll call 18 please. Oh yeah let's do that first. Tonight its going to be 19 20 my ---21 22 MR. GLASS: You would do a roll call and then we 23 would swear him in to start the meeting. 24 MR. LEVITON: Okay. 25 26 27 MR. GLASS: --- do the call to order so the meeting's 28 at least in order and then I'll swear him in. 29 30 MS. MOENCH: So am I calling him? ---31 32 MR. GLASS: You can just say he's joined the meeting 33 later on. 34 MS. MOENCH: Okay. 35 36 ROLL CALL 37 38 39 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz? 40 41 MR. GREGOWICZ: Here. 42 MS. MOENCH: Mr. DiTota is absent. Mr. Rosenthal? 43 44

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz is not with us yet. He's on

MR. ROSENTHAL: Here.

his way. Mr. Shalikar?

PAGE 2

1		
2	M	R. SHALIKAR: Here.
3 4 5	Mabsent. Mr.	S. MOENCH: Mr. Weiss is absent. Mr. Mantagas is Pochopin?
6 7	M	R. POCHOPIN: Here.
8 9 10	M	S. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler?
11 12	M	R. WECHSLER: Here.
13 14	M	S. MOENCH: And Chair Leviton?
15 16 17 18	be my pleas	R. LEVITON: I am here. Okay tonight it's going to ure to have our attorney Mr. Glass swear in our ner Mr. Wechsler, counselor.
19 20 21 22 23 24	I'll kind oup, but that I will	R. GLASS: Mr. Wechsler so just repeat after me. f say this in pieces and hopefully not so broken I Michael Wechsler do solemnly swear or affirm support the Constitution of the United States and ution of the state of New Jersey.
25 26 27	that I will	R. WECHSLER: I Michael Wechsler do solemnly swear support the Constitution of the United States and ution of the state of New Jersey.
28 29 30 31	allegiance	R. GLASS: That I will bear true faith and to the same and to the governments established in States and in this state.
32 33 34 35	to the same	R. WECHSLER: That I will bear truth and allegiance and to the governments established in the United to this state.
36 37	M	R. GLASS: Under the authority of the people.
38 39 40	M	R. WECHSLER: Under the authority of the people.
41 42 43	and justly	R. GLASS: And that I will faithfully, impartially perform all the duties of the offices of the ownship Board of Adjustment alternate number three
44 45 46		rding to the best of my ability so help me God. R. WECHSLER: And that I will faithfully,

impartially, and justly perform all the duties of the offices of the Manalapan Township Board of Adjustment alternate number

48

PAGE 3

1 2 3	three member a	according to the best of my ability so help me
4	MR.	GLASS: Congratulations.
5 6	MR.	WECHSLER: Thank you.
7 8	MD	LEVITON: Congratulations Michael and Mr. Glass
9		well swear in the board's professionals.
10 11	MP	GLASS: Yes will you please both of you raise
12		nds. Do you both swear or affirm that the
13		ou're about to provide are the truth, the whole
14	truth, and not	thing but the truth?
15	1.50	DOGGLANDINGS II T. I
16 17	MR.	BOCCANFUSO: Yes I do.
18	MS.	BEAHM: I do.
19		
20 21	MR.	GLASS: Thank you.
22 23		LEVITON: Thank you professionals. It's nice to It's been awhile.
24 25	MS.	BEAHM: Nice to see you guys.
26 27 28	MR.	BOCCANFUSO: Likewise.
29 30 31 32	evening is to	LEVITON: Okay our first order of business this accept the minutes from October 6th. I'll need make a motion and I'll need one of you to second
33 34 35	MR.	ROSENTHAL: I'll make the motion to accept.
36 37	MR.	SHALIKAR: I'll second that.
38	MR.	LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Rosenthal and thank you
39		yes very good.
40		
41	ROLL CALL	
42		MOTINGIA Mar December 100
43	MS.	MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal?
44 45	MÞ	ROSENTHAL: Yes.
45 46	M.	1/00PM 11WJH • 169 •

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?

ROLL CALL

48

PAGE 4

```
1
              MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.
2
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin, am I saying that right?
3
4
5
              MR. POCHOPIN: Pochopin, yes.
6
7
              MS. MOENCH: I'll get it and Chair Leviton?
8
9
              MR. LEVITON: Yes and next we're going to memorialize
    several applications. The first one is ZBE2236.
10
11
12
              MR. GLASS: Thank you. This was an application for
13
    bulk variance relief. Openly the board granted three variances
    for excuse me an existing fence which is located 47 feet from
14
    the street side of Tracy Drive where sixty feet was permitted,
15
    for the existing pool patio located 47 feet from the street
16
    side of Tracy Drive where sixty feet is permitted, and for the
17
    existing wooden deck located 57 feet from Tracy Drive where
18
19
    sixty feet is permitted. There was a condition of approval
    placed on the application that the applicant remove the
20
21
    existing vinyl shed from the property.
22
23
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Glass.
24
              MR. GLASS: Which has been done I'm actually told.
25
26
27
              MR. LEVITON: Outstanding. I need a motion.
28
29
              MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make a motion to approve.
30
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar.
31
32
33
              MR. POCHOPIN: I'll second it.
34
              MR. LEVITON: And a second?
35
36
37
              MR. POCHOPIN: I'll second.
38
39
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Pochopin. Yes Mr.
40
    Pochopin you didn't actually --- to vote so you can't be the
    second. My mistake and thank you for pointing it out Ms.
41
    Moench.
42
43
44
              MR. ROSENTHAL: I'll second.
45
46
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Rosenthal.
47
```

1 2 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal? 3 4 MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes. 5 6 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz, I'm sorry he's not here. 7 Mr. Shalikar? 8 9 MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. 10 MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? 11 12 13 MR. LEVITON: Yes and as I scan the three other resolutions Mr. Pochopin your name doesn't appear on any of 14 them either. Okay the next application to be memorialized is 15 16 2237, Mr. Glass. 17 MR. GLASS: Yes this was also an application for bulk 18 19 variance relief. Ultimately there were three variances granted. One for the swimming pool, the existing pool and 20 21 decking, located five feet from the rear and three feet from 22 the side yard whereas they're permitted no closer than ten feet from those side and rear yard lines. Another variance for 23 the detached accessory building for the storage shed which was 24 permitted to be located not less than five feet from the side 25 yard lot line and it was located four feet from the side yard 26 lot line. There was a condition of approval placed on this 27 application. If you'll recall the wooden deck to the extent 28 29 the portion not being worked on at the time whether it be worked on in the future, the applicant agreed to bring it into 30 conformity with the other five foot side yard set backs. 31 32 33 MR. LEVITON: Thank you counselor. I need a motion 34 and a second. 35 36 MR. ROSENTHAL: I'll make the motion to accept. 37 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Rosenthal. 38 39 40 MR. SHALIKAR: I'll second that. 41 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar. 42 43 44 ROLL CALL 45 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal? 46 47 48 MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes.

PAGE 6

1 2 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar? 3 4 MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. 5 6 MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? 7 8 MR. LEVITON: Yes. The next one is 2245, Mr. Glass. 9 MR. GLASS: Thank you. This was also an application 10 for bulk variance relief. The first variance was granted for 11 the existing garage which exists twelve feet from the side 12 yard lot line whereas a variance had previously been granted 13 for fifteen feet and the zoning ordinance requires thirty 14 feet. Additionally driveway shall not be within ten feet of 15 any property line. The existing driveway was seven feet from 16 the property line and there was a condition of approval that 17 the applicants agree to shield and/or angle the light that was 18 on the garage away from the neighbors. 19 20 21 MR. LEVITON: Thank you counselor. Motion please. 22 23 MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make a motion. 24 25 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar, second? 26 27 MR. ROSENTHAL: Second. 28 29 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Rosenthal. 30 ROLL CALL 31 32 33 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal? 34 MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes. 35 36 37 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar? 38 39 MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. 40 MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? 41 42 43 MR. LEVITON: Yes and the last application for memorialization is 2241. 44 45 MR. GLASS: Yes thank you and the application also 46 for bulk variance relief with just one variance. The applicant 47

proposed to construct a portico and front porch on the home at

1 68 feet whereas the set back in the R20 zone on Olde Queens 2 Boulevard is 75 feet.

 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Glass. Will someone move to accept, to memorialize?

MR. SHALIKAR: I'll move to memorialize.

9 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar and will someone 10 second it please?

MR. ROSENTHAL: I'll second.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Rosenthal.

ROLL CALL

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal?

MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes.

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?

MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.

MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?

MR. LEVITON: Yes. Please let the record reflect that board member David Schertz is now in attendance and off the record my memory isn't what it used to be, forgive me David. Okay our first public hearing this evening is 2107 David's Lawn and Landscaping Design and on behalf of the applicant Mr. Van Wart, the doctor of juris prudence and former attorney for this board the esteemed Mr. Galvin. Welcome back Dennis.

MR. GALVIN: Greetings Mr. Chairman and its good to be back. First thing I would say is this case is déjà vu all over again. This case was before the board in 2019. At that time, my partner who is no longer with us, he's deceased, Bob Munoz prosecuted this case and received a use variance approval for this project. For the new guys what happens when you have a use variance case it's not unusual to do what we call bifurcating. You get your use variance and then you have to come back another night to do your site plan. One of the things that happened over the course of the two years, Covid, losing Bob, was that we were going to have to pave a parking lot after site plan. We paved the parking lot in anticipation of the site plan and we messed everything up. So we finally

worked out all the details with all the professionals and I believe that we can give you very good answers on the site plan and we will renew our use variance planning testimony, but hopefully there is no material change between now and the last time we received our approval except now we have a paved parking lot that I'm led to believe you want. So with that, oh I thought I was getting a drum roll. It's okay that works for me. So I'd like Mr. Ploskonka to be sworn in and I'll have him here to testify.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Galvin.

MR. GLASS: Please raise your right hand sir. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to provide is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. PLOSKONKA: I do.

MR. GLASS: Thank you.

MR. PLOSKONKA: John J. Ploskonka P-L-O-S-K-O-N-K-A, professional engineer Manalapan, New Jersey.

MR. LEVITON: Welcome back Mr. Ploskonka.

MR. PLOSKONKA: Thank you Mr. Chairman, appreciate that. So we've been working about a year and a half on this plan back and forth trying to work things out with the professionals. This is a 5.5 acre site. I see four of the members here have heard the original testimony on the original application which was voted unanimously by the board, but you have some new members tonight so just take me a little bit more time, but not much time because of the Yankee game tonight to move along as quick as I can. It's a 5.5 acre site. It's on Daum Road and I did provide the board and the board members with an aerial photograph, if everyone got that yet. Was that passed out?

MR. SCHERTZ: Is there exhibit number for it?

MR. PLOSKONKA: It's exhibit A.

MS. MOENCH: It's exhibit A, yes.

MR. PLOSKONKA: And that kind of shows you where we're at with the site. It's a landscape business. It's on Daum Road. It's close to Iron Ore Road. It's close to the Happy Day day camp if you've been there at all. It's close to

Ellen's Tree Farm which is down the street a little bit so 1 it's in an area that's residentially zoned for farms and other activities besides David's landscape business. On his left 3 side as you face the house is Joe Brenner, a long-time member of Manalapan and Joe Dooling on the right side, both are 5 familiar with the client and have worked with our client over 6 7 the years. So we have a 5.5 acre site. In the back of the property there's the Manalapan Brook so it's environmentally 8 sensitive in the back and we can't go back and touch that. 9 There's the township stream --- buffer that comes on to the 10 site a little bit. We're trying to now go from the use 11 variances that were approved to a plan how we'll manage the 12 property in the future. The property has 5,500 square foot 13 pole barn behind an existing house. There's a house near the 14 15 road which is the residence of my client and he keeps stones, shrubs, other landscaping materials that he takes in and out 16 on a daily basis to different projects throughout the Monmouth 17 County area. There's no work proposed at all for the 18 environmentally-sensitive area in the rear and basically with 19 the two neighbors on both sides he has had a good neighbor 20 21 policy where they ask him to do some buffering. He put a lot of trees up front near the right side for Mr. Dooling and he 22 put trees on the back side for Joe Brenner over the years 23 whatever they've asked him to do he's done that. There's also 24 a drainage problem that existed on Daum Road where waters 25 26 flooding right in front of the property which wasn't his fault. It was a matter of the road issue. The township came in 27 and they put in some drainage and they ran it through his 28 property to a ditch in the back of his property. It's shown on 29 the site plan and that was not ever finalized. First Bob Munoz 30 had passed away. They didn't get the paperwork done on that 31 easement, but there's an easement proposed on our plan that's 32 to be dedicated to Manalapan subject to the client meeting 33 34 with the town attorney and Dennis and my client working out those details. That's shown on the plan. 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

MR. LEVITON: Let me stop you there and talk to you Mr. Galvin. Regarding that easement, a condition of approval I'm going to ask that the twenty foot drainage easement that Mr. Ploskonka is talking about be provided to the township. So if the board's going to act favorably I'm going to want it written in as a condition.

42 43 44

 $\,$ MR. GALVIN: Subject to the terms we work out with the township.

45 46

MR. LEVITON: Of course.

MR. GALVIN: Okay and if we can't work out those terms then we won't have an approval.

MR. LEVITON: Counselor?

MR. GLASS: Yeah it's just like any other condition of approval. If they don't satisfy it then they wouldn't satisfy it.

MR. GALVIN: It's important to understand that we can ask for reasonable things as part of an approval, but there's a point where we got to be careful. We can't ask for a fire truck so we got to be careful when we're doing this and we're going to play nice in the sandbox. We're going to work it out. I got to talk to the municipal attorney about what we're doing and how we're doing it.

MR. LEVITON: And so that the board knows the applicant, the fees for tonight's hearing were waived and that was adopted by the township committee and the applicant agreed to grant the township the easement.

MR. GALVIN: It's a little different than a normal situation where you say hey we want some roadway. This is different. This would be like they came on our property and created a roadway. So it's a slight variation. The other thing in the spirit of cooperation things got messed up between the loss of Bob and Covid to be quite honest with you. I tell you candidly it's a broken play and I'm trying to do what I can to save it for everyone concerned so. But I get the point. Are we good Jen? We're good?

MS. BEAHM: Yeah I think that it's important to know that Mr. Ploskonka showed the easement on the plan. I'm totally fine. If we condition any positive action by this board even being provided terms to be worked out between ---

MR. GALVIN: Right.

 ${\tt MS.}$ BEAHM: The applicant and the township.

MR. GALVIN: That's the way to settle this.

 MS. BEAHM: It's not really our issue. It's the township's issue so let them work out the terms of it. If it falls apart at that point then we're looking --- at that point, but I think ---

1
2 MR. GALVIN: I'm going to work really hard.
3

MS. BEAHM: Right so I think in terms of what we're dealing with today I think that's all we need to say about it honestly.

MR. GALVIN: Right as long as everything else is set and we'll give you the condition. We can't operate without dealing with it we will deal with it.

MS. BEAHM: Yup.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: And Mr. Chairman I agree wholeheartedly. In fact, I don't think it would be appropriate for the board to engage in negotiations of the terms of the easement. It's going to be between the applicant and the township not the board of adjustment. So to condition the approval on it, let them work it out, hope that they can. We have reasonable people on both sides of the table I think. I think that's the way to go.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you to the board's professionals and once again thank you to Mr. Van Ward for allowing the township to put the drainage piping in. At the time there was horrible flooding in front of your home sir so thank you. Please continue Mr. Ploskonka forgive the interruption.

MR. PLOSKONKA: Thank you Mr. Chairman. We did have meetings with the --- and review committee with Brian and Jen a couple months ago. We went over some of the details and we had to meet new stormwater regulations based on the fact that they've been there for fifteen years and now we're closing a stormwater basin towards the back of the property which will help with the stormwater runoff. Subject to Brian's approval, we'll finalize that with his office in the future. We've given him information so far.

MR. GALVIN: So that'll improve stormwater runoff for the whole neighborhood right?

MR. PLOSKONKA: Well just for our property.

MR. GALVIN: Okay.

MR. PLOSKONKA: It's just for our property and what's important as we've gone through these tough years and the Covid we had to put in a new septic system for both buildings

and because there was a lot of clay out there we went down 1 twenty feet. It cost them like \$60,000.00 to put in that new septic system out there and there's been a lot of cost 3 involved that he's run up against over the last couple years just in delays etcetera. So we now propose a stormwater 5 management basin, repaved a portion of the property in advance 6 7 which we shouldn't have done, but now we have a place to put nineteen parking spaces. We can repave them. We're going to 8 stripe them and we're going to have an aisle that's 24 foot 9 wide for most of the area, some of its 34 feet wide and the 10 last five spaces have an 18 foot aisle, a little bit larger 11 than 18 foot. So that's a waiver of variance. We do have a 12 planner to talk about the variances. The sign is out there on 13 the road. It's a nice little small sign. It's only 23 square 14 15 feet. It's around nine foot from the pavement and it's the G5 sign. We need a variance for that sign. It's a small, tasteful 16 sign. The parking spaces are nine by eighteen. The ordinance 17 says ten by twenty, but these are people parked all day long 18 so nine by eighteen for this area is a reasonable. No need to 19 have a ten by twenty. If you have a 7-11 or a Wawa where 20 21 people come in and out every five minutes you need the bigger spaces. So these are people coming to park with their truck, 22 with their cars and staying all day. There's no curbing around 23 the parking lot. 24

25 26

27

28 29 MR. LEVITON: And just a second Mr. Ploskonka for the edification of the new members its not, your client doesn't own a store. He has clients come pick out shrubs for landscaping so there isn't a lot of traffic anyway was what the testimony had been for the use variance.

30 31 32

3334

35

36

37

38

3940

41

42

43

44

MR. PLOSKONKA: He's basically a wholesale dealer. A few retail people come in, but basically it's wholesale. So that's correct and we're not putting in curbing because we got stormwater running right down to the stream with a retention basin now. We want the water flow naturally over the ground and take it into the basins so there's a waiver for curbing. Shade trees, we'll providing shade trees. I think we're going to have a meeting which Shari Spiro to finetune the landscaping in front that was recommended by the planner and the engineer. In paving the property we understand that we've done at his cost and expense and his peril, but I'll put a note on the plan saying the work is done in accordance with his private parking lot and he doesn't hold the township harmless at all. That'll be a note on the plan ---

45 46 47

48

MR. GALVIN: So he does hold the township responsible? He will hold the township responsible?

46

47

48

PAGE 13

1 2 MR. PLOSKONKA: I'm sorry? 3 MR. GALVIN: That's alright, that's alright keep 4 going, keep going. Keep going you're almost there. 5 6 7 MR. PLOSKONKA: Thank you counselor. There's a buffer required on both sides of fifty feet. I mentioned before that 8 Mr. Dooling's on the right, Mr. Brenner's on the left, they 9 both asked for some landscaping that we did over the years and 10 I think it's more than adequate. There was a mention of 11 putting up a fence on the right side next to Joe Dooling. He 12 was against that. He came out and --- that I don't want any 13 fence on this property. He wants it the way it is. We put a 14 15 lot of trees there so I think we're good with Mr. Duling on that basis. 16 17 18 MS. BEAHM: The buffer is on both sides. It's a 19 variance right? 20 21 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes. 22 MS. BEAHM: Okay I just want, we're running through 23 it and I'm totally fine with running through it I just want 24 make sure it's very clear what we're asking for. 25 26 27 MR. PLOSKONKA: Buffer on both sides good. 28 29 MR. GALVIN: Our planner ---30 31 MS. BEAHM: I understand. 32 MR. PLOSKONKA: And we're going to park the 33 34 construction vehicles which are like ten pickup trucks and maybe four trailers to be parked behind the building in the 35 back towards the rear not in the wetlands and we'll probably 36 have to cut back some of that landscaping that's been active 37 there just to get those to fit back there and --- some space 38 so we're going to take back a little bit of that area Brian 39 and park them in the building back to the edge of the stone. 40 41 42 MS. BEAHM: And that's going to be gravel? 43 44

MR. PLOSKONKA: In the gravel area yeah. We're not going to change that. We're not going to change the gravel. We have some of the gravel in the township stream corridor buffer, but we're not going to touch that area at all. That'll be off limits. The dumpsters, there's two dumpsters that are

PAGE 14

	up roa	_	next	to	the	fence.	They	y're	set	back	200	feet	from	the
3 4			MR.	GAI	LVIN:	They	were	move	ed.					

MR. PLOSKONKA: They were moved.

8 MR. GALVIN: They were moved a hundred feet based on 9 the last hearing before the board. They were moved from a 10 hundred foot location to two hundred feet back.

MR. PLOSKONKA: And there'd be a --- behind the house right now and they're pretty far off the road and actually blocked by some vision and McKinley will talk to that a little bit when she gets up.

MS. BEAHM: No I agree that that's what was discussed. I just you're not proposing any closure which I'm not advocating any additional fence.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. GALVIN: Or against you need a variance for that.

MS. BEAHM: I agree, but I'm just saying that I really want to make sure that wherever the dumpster is actually located whether its refuse, refuse recycling, whatever it is not visible from the street. If you're not going to put it in an enclosure you got to make sure we can't see it.

MR. GALVIN: We're going to verify that for you. We believe we moved what?

MS. MOENCH: I looked at the minutes from the first meeting and it was stated that they're in the rear of the property for grass clippings in the rear.

MS. BEAHM: And that's not garbage this is a dumpster. We're talking about a dumpster. We're not talking about ---

MS. MOENCH: Two dumpsters it says.

MS. BEAHM: Yes, but I want to make sure the ordinance requires that it not be visible right? It says technically from people on an off-site. I'm not really worried about the on-site because I understand that this is a commercial business, but I just want to make sure that if you're not going to put it in an enclosure which I'm perfectly

fine with then you won't ever hear me say that again, ever.
Just so long as it's not visible so as long as you can ---

MR. GALVIN: So can we put a tree in front of it so it's not visible?

MS. BEAHM: I don't care whatever you do, but just make sure it's not visible.

MR. PLOSKONKA: Why don't we work it out with Shari Spiro? We put some kind of buffering up front before the dumpster.

MS. BEAHM: Perfect.

MR. PLOSKONKA: Trucks can pull around and get in.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: That'll be fine just it might be easier for the operator to move it to a different location in the back where they can just drive in instead of having to navigate around a tree. I mean I have no issue working out the details, but I agree with Jen on this. As long as it's not visible from the street I think it complies, may not comply fully with the ordinance, because as she said it says you can't even see it from on-site. I don't know how you could even do that.

MR. GALVIN: We'll let our planner talk to this. I put David under oath, but we need a hundred feet. There's a topographical change you cannot see the dumpster from the street.

MS. BEAHM: Which is fine, I would submit Mr. Chair that this be a condition and that the ultimate location be verified by myself and Brian. As any condition, if the board acts favorably on the application I don't think we need to delve any further into it I'm just saying as long as we can agree to agree then we're fine.

MR. GALVIN: We're going to make whatever you tell me.

MS. BEAHM: Perfect.

MR. LEVITON: Any you want to present who else?

47 MR. GALVIN: Or do you want to ask the public to ask 48 questions?

Toms River ---

46 47

1 2 MR. LEVITON: We're going to hold questions in advance. Board we're going to let the affirmative case play 3 out. We'll go to our professionals and then I'll go out to 4 5 you, then I'll go to the public. 6 7 MR. GALVIN: No problem, good call Mr. Chair. Alright I'd like McKinley could you come on up? 8 9 10 MR. LEVITON: Good evening ma'am. 11 12 MS. MERTZ: Hi. 13 MR. LEVITON: Welcome. 14 15 16 MS. MERTZ: Thank you. 17 MR. LEVITON: And Mr. Glass will swear you in. 18 19 20 MR. GLASS: Please raise your right hand ma'am. Do 21 you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to provide is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 22 23 MS. MERTZ: I do. 24 25 26 MR. GLASS: And please spell and state your name for 27 the record. 28 29 MS. MERTZ: Sure. McKinley Mertz M-E-R-T-Z business address is 236 Broad Street in Red Bank. 30 31 32 MR. GLASS: Great and then I guess just your 33 qualifications for ---34 MS. MERTZ: Sure. 35 36 37 MR. GLASS: To qualify you as an expert. 38 MS. MERTZ: I have a Master's of city and regional 39 40 planning from Rutgers. My PP License, professional planning license, is active and in good standing. I also have the 41 National Planning Certification, the A.I.C.P. I've been 42 qualified in several boards around this state. I have not had 43 the pleasure of being in front of you all, but I have been in 44 45 front of your planning board a few times. Also Branchburg,

MS. BEAHM: Mr. Chair I have seen this professional 1 2 multiple times. 3 4 MS. MERTZ: Thank you Ms. Beahm. 5 6 MS. BEAHM: I have worked with her on many instances. 7 I have absolutely zero qualms about her qualifications. 8 9 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Beahm. 10 MR. GALVIN: She's the planner of Millstone Township. 11 12 13 MS. MERTZ: I'm right around the corner, yeah. 14 15 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Mertz. The board accepts 16 your credentials. 17 MS. MERTZ: Thank you. 18 19 MR. GALVIN: We're actually lucky to have her tonight 20 21 that's how good I think she is. 22 23 MS. MERTZ: My other meeting was cancelled so I got 24 to come here. 25 26 MR. LEVITON: Okay. 27 28 MS. MERTZ: Mr. Ploskonka ran through what's 29 happening on the site, the existing conditions, why we're here. I'm going to retouch on the variances so it's clear 30 what's a variance relief and what's a waiver relief and this 31 being, please correct me if I put one in the wrong category. 32 First just to remind the board and to let the new board 33 34 members know we were approved in 2019 for three bulk variances that remain the same, that are not changing. That would be the 35 front yard set back of the existing single family home. It's 36 required to be a hundred feet set back. We have about 76 feet 37 that's not changing by our application. We also have outdoor 38 storage of materials and outdoor storage of goods. Both of 39 40 those are associated with the nursery and landscaping use and the conditions of that have not changed since 2019. We of 41

conforming and this one's under D2 so we're here again to ask for another D1 to approve our nursery, to legitimize our

D2 variance because it was a non-conforming use that was

approved by a D1 variance. So its not a pre-existing, non-

course received the use variance for the nursery and

landscaping that is being asked for again tonight. That was

one of our "new variances". It is a D1 variance instead of a

42

43

44

45

46

44

45

46

47

48

PAGE 18

```
existing nursery and landscaping business. The additional
1
    variances we are seeking tonight are and again Mr. Ploskonka
    touched on them, but we have two variances associated with
3
    buffering. The first being that we are required to provide a
    fifty foot buffer, a landscaping buffer, because we are
5
    adjacent to two residential properties and we do not have that
6
    full fifty feet. Associated with that we're not permitted to
7
   have any loading or parking within that buffer area and we do
8
9
    have some of the paved area, some of the outdoor storage is
    within that buffer area. The third which is one or two I quess
10
    depending on how you look at it is a deviation from the sign
11
    requirements. We are required to have a ten foot set back for
12
    signage. Our existing sign is one foot from the property line.
13
    Although I'll note that it is actually about eight to nine
14
15
    feet from the roadway itself because the grass does extend a
    little bit further. Second and I did confirm with Ms. Beahm
16
    before we started tonight we are qualifying the sign as a G5
17
    ground sign which is allowed to be twenty square feet and up
18
    to two and a half feet in height. The essential part of our
19
    sign is 18 feet and then we have an address below as it was
20
21
    distributed. If I may was this one of the exhibits?
22
23
              MR. SCHERTZ: Janice do we have this one as an
24
    exhibit?
25
26
              MS. MERTZ: I'm sorry. Oh this was not distributed I
27
    apologize. Can we distribute that?
28
29
              MR. GLASS: We'll mark it. Sorry we can mark it.
30
              MS. MERTZ: So this would be exhibit B.
31
32
33
              MS. MOENCH: What are you marking as A2?
34
35
              MS. MERTZ: A2? Whatever you prefer.
36
37
              MS. MOENCH: I think the first one was just Al right?
38
              MR. GLASS: And that's fine.
39
40
              MS. MERTZ: So this is A2, okay. Okay --- I'll refer
41
    to my copy, but you can follow the top part is of the sign
42
```

to my copy, but you can follow the top part is of the sign that says the address, 146 and then it says David's Lawn & Landscaping Design. That's approximately eighteen square feet. The piece that hangs below it which reads greener pastures, nursery, wholesale and retail is approximately five square feet so we exceed the total of twenty between the two and it's taller than two and a half feet. I apologize I don't have the

exact height, but it's a little bit more about four and a half feet tall. So those are the variances associated with the sign all from your attachment six from the ---

3 4 5

1 2

MR. GALVIN: Does it identify the business well?

6 7

8

MS. MERTZ: It does. It's very easy to see. I visited the site this morning for the first time and had absolutely no problem seeing it in time to slow down and turn.

9 10 11

MR. GALVIN: So would you say the motoring public needs that sign in order to be able to identify the business?

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

3334

35

36

37

38

3940

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MS. MERTZ: I think so because the business is close to the rear of the property. I shouldn't say closer, but further back from the single-family dwelling so you would really just think it was a single-family home if you were driving past it. That sign really does provide a needed identification. So those are the variance associated with tonight's application. The design waivers we're seeking also includes a landscaping screening. There is one ADA parking space located next to the pole barn that does not have any landscaping surrounding it and the reason for that is it's in the middle of the property next to the pole barn. It's not adjacent to any other neighbors. There's really no reason to screen it because nobody can see it. As was mentioned, the size of the parking stalls, nineteen feet by eighteen feet instead of ten by twenty feet. The ordinance does say that it can be nine by eighteen feet if it's for employee parking and we do anticipate 98 percent of these parking spaces to be utilized by employees of David's Landscaping so really we're mostly in conformance with that and as Mr. Ploskonka testified to you're not having people coming in and out very quickly. The cars are being parked there for much longer so we feel that that's an appropriate size. The aisle width is required to be 24 feet whereas the smallest width is 18 feet. The parking area/curbing and I'll come back to that in a little. The parking area/curbing as John testified to we don't have any curbing around the paved parking area where it's required and he noted that that's for drainage purposes. So that's really what I consider a technical waiver that is engineering based. Pavement and marking of signage, I'm sorry, pavement markings and signage for off street parking spaces because the truck parking is on gravel we're not striping those parking spaces for that pure reason. Its gravel and striping them really wouldn't do much good as the trucks run over them they're going to move it. This allows the trucks a little bit more flexibility with parking within the gravel space and

finally the recycling containers as we just talked about. We 1 do have one dumpster that's a refuse and one that's the recycling. I'm going to come back to the proofs for that in a 3 little bit, but that is another one that we are requesting 4 from this board. First I'm going to touch on the planning 5 first for our D variance. For those of you who were here three 6 7 years ago our planning testimony has not changed in terms of the positive and negative criteria. We still believe that the 8 site is particularly suited for this use. It's located in a 9 generally rural, agricultural area. There are residents near 10 us, but they're on fairly large lots and our neighbor straight 11 to the north is very similar to us where they have residential 12 unit in the very front of the property and then they have the 13 commercial business towards the rear of the property so we're 14 15 really right in tune with what they already have there. There is an active farm across, down road from us. So again my 16 opinion is that the landscaping and nursery business is really 17 akin and contextually sensitive to what's already in the 18 19 neighborhood and to what the agricultural zone permits. The expansion that we're here for is the paving of roughly five 20 21 thousand square feet. It's my opinion that this paving, it was already the plan to pave this. It is not going to increase the 22 intensity of this use in any way. The parking testimony and 23 the traffic testimony that was given three years ago remains 24 the same. We do not anticipate any change in the operations 25 26 because we are paving five thousand square feet. It's going to provide a much better circulation and management of the 27 parking area. We also have to prove that special reasons exist 28 29 to justify this D1 variance and these are pulled from the purposes of zoning in the M.L.U.L. It's my opinion that the 30 same purposes that were given to you three years ago remain 31 valid. That would be purpose G to provide sufficient space in 32 appropriate locations for a variety of uses including 33 34 agricultural and commercial which is really what we have here. Again as was testified it's an appropriate location and 35 contextually sensitive to the neighborhood that it's in. The 36 second purpose of zoning that again qualified in 2019 that 37 we're reiterating tonight is purpose M which is to encourage 38 the efficient use of land. These improvements like I said 39 40 they're going to make the parking and circulation patterns on this site much more efficient and will provide an aesthetic 41 benefit to the site as well. It won't look as chaotic because 42 the cars now have a designated place to park instead of just 43 haphazard around the site. The negative criteria associated 44 with the D variance, we have to prove that granting the use 45 variance will not be a substantial detriment to the public 46 good nor will it impair your zone plan. As was testified in 47 2019 and again tonight I do not believe there are any negative 48

```
impacts from the D variance that we are seeking. Mr. Ploskonka
1
    testified that the owner has worked closely with the neighbors
    to ensure that they do not feel negative impact from the
3
    property. The dumpsters were moved at the request of this
   board, but I believe it was in conjunction with discussion
5
    with those neighbors so they have worked together to improve
    the site. Again the nursery and landscaping business is
7
   contextually sensitive so they're not putting on an additional
8
   burden to the neighborhood that they're within. There's
9
    nothing expressly in the township's master plan that addresses
10
    this site, this zone, or this geographic location, but the
11
    fact that this is a long-standing business within the township
12
    speaks to its viability and that's a benefit to the township
13
    as a whole. The C variances that I mentioned before, it is
14
15
    typically my testimony when it comes to D1 variances that bulk
   variances are subsumed into the use. However, these are
16
    associated with the buffering and the recycling so I think it
17
    is pruded to go ahead and give all of the C variance testimony
18
19
    associated with them. We are qualifying these under what we
    refer to, I'm sure you're familiar with the C2 variance,
20
21
    commonly known as the benefits versus detriments. We have to
    prove to you that the benefits of granting these variances are
22
    going to outweigh any potential detriments associated with
23
    them. Again, there are three/four associated when you count
24
    the two for signage. All three variances, four variances can
25
   be qualified under the C2. Again, I do not believe there are
26
    any detriments associated with granting these variances. The
27
    buffer distance of fifty feet is a product of the fact that we
28
29
    are next to residential. However, we have a commercial
30
    property also north of us.
```

MR. GALVIN: You did good. Do you want more?

33 34

MR. ROSENTHAL: Would you repeat?

35 36

MR. GALVIN: Thanks Ter.

3738

MR. LEVITON: Anything else Mr. Galvin?

39 40 41

MR. GALVIN: No that's our case Mr. Chairman. I think we've done a good job presenting the negative and the positive criteria.

42 43 44

MR. LEVITON: Let's go to our professionals then. I'll start with you Ms. Beahm. Do you take exception to any of Ms. Mertz's testimony?

45

MS. BEAHM: I do not. I think she is very thorough. I think that she provided the justification for the relief and I don't take exception to the relief that has been asked for by the application.

MR. LEVITON: Then I echo Mr. Galvin's sentiments, well done.

MS. MERTZ: Thanks.

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Boccanfuso, how did Mr. Ploskonka

do?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: He did well and I'll defer to Jen on the variance relief and design waivers, but I think there is one thing that we do need to get on the record. Perhaps Ms. Mertz mentioned it and I missed it when I was taking notes and that is the existing stone areas in the township stream corridor buffer.

MS. BEAHM: Oh yeah.

 MR. BOCCANFUSO: It's an improvement that as we sit here today is existing however it was done by the applicant some ten years ago or so. As Mr. Ploskonka indicated there's no new improvements proposed in the stream corridor buffer. It's going to remain as stone. There's no structural improvements within it. It's really just a disturbed area, however, to the letter of the ordinance a design waiver is required. So I'll defer to Jen as far as any criteria or any testimony.

MR. GALVIN: We request ---

MS. BEAHM: And I don't think that Ms. Mertz needs to provide any testimony. I think that Mr. Ploskonka provided the background as Brian had indicated that it's existing, there's stone, there's no additional disturbance, but if we're just trying to clean everything up on the site and get all the approvals ---

MR. GALVIN: Yes.

MS. BEAHM: We mind as well include that as a waiver that the applicant is seeking and I don't take exception.

MR. GALVIN: Sorry I missed.

MR. LEVITON: And I see.

MS. BEAHM: I missed it too.

MR. GALVIN: No problem and just kind of to further support the granting of the waiver, I'd point out that the applicant had some issues with the D.E.P. which he has since resolved to their satisfaction and at that time this stone area was stone and the township stream corridor buffer and the D.E.P. gave him effectively a clean bill of health, said he was okay with anything he had done previously up to that point and that included the stone areas. So I don't take any exception to it either.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Boccanfuso.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Sure just a couple of engineering things Mr. Chairman, members of the board. I'll try to be quick. John did a pretty good job going through our report, but he bounced around a little bit so I just want to make sure everything's covered. He spoke about the hold harmless agreement that was in reference to the paving that was performed. Typically when paving is done in connection with a site plan it would need to be observed by the township to ensure that it's done in accordance with the township specifications. In this case that didn't happen so instead of requiring all kinds of investigative work I have no issue with the applicant's willing to put a note on the plan indicating that they hold the township harmless from any damages to the pavements so they can't turn around and say that we approved it if anything gets damaged, if it falls apart, or anything like that.

MR. GALVIN: Pinpoint by a week.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: The stormwater management improvements at the very outset of the testimony Mr. Galvin asked Mr. Ploskonka if this would actually improve conditions in the area. I think it would albeit maybe imperceptibly for offsite. The applicant ---

MR. GALVIN: I was hoping he would say yes.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yes he didn't take the bait so I'll take it for him.

MR. GALVIN: He's going to be as honest as he can possibly be.

1 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Yeah.

MS. BEAHM: The first rule of being a lawyer always know the answer before you ask the question.

MR. GALVIN: --- not a good lawyer.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: It certainly will in my opinion at least mathematically because they are required to reduce the flow that's the whole point of the stormwater management improvement. So while it may not be noticeable to the neighbors, in fact they will reduce the peak flows that are leaving the site. So there is some not just an improvement on site, but a global and regional improvement as a result of stormwater management improvements and there's a couple of technical things that I'd like to go over with Mr. Ploskonka and Mr. Hillari. They've agreed to do that. Nothing that I don't think we can't satisfy if the application is approved. Spoke about the refuse enclosures, spoke about the landscaping. The striping, the parking area will be striped is that correct?

MR. GALVIN: Correct.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay. The grating has been addressed. Traffic was addressed during the wetlands, I'm sorry --- I'm skipping right to the next one was addressed during the use variance. Wetlands items were addressed. Lighting, there's no lighting proposed in connection with the application is that correct?

MR. GALVIN: --- going to be operating at night.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay and then Mr. Chairman, members of the board, if they're not operating at night they don't require lighting by ordinance so there's no relief necessary there. The last thing, kind of an open-ended item, as Ms. Mertz indicated, Mr. Ploskonka as well, they do need a variance from the buffer requirements. There is landscaping out there. You heard Mr. Ploskonka's testimony about the fence. I will really leave it to the discretion of the board as to whether or not you feel requiring additional buffering, fencing, anything of that nature is necessary the ordinance requires it to protect the neighbors really so it's a matter of whether you think what's out there is sufficient or whether it should be supplemented. I don't feel strongly either way. To my knowledge there haven't been any complaints

that there should be additional buffering. We haven't open public yet so perhaps we'll hear some, but I'll leave it to your discretion as to whether or not anything else is warranted.

MS. BEAHM: If I can make a suggestion to that end, you've committed to working with Shari on the various landscaping, a buffer ---, I would recommend that if the board act favorably that you commit to working with Shari and if she feels that there's bald spots or open spots that require additional landscaping to solidify the buffer that you provide that.

MR. GALVIN: We know a good landscaper.

MS. BEAHM: I would think so and I think that would satisfy it unless there's comments from the public.

MR. GALVIN: And we're okay with that.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Galvin. Thank you Ms. Beahm. Thank you Mr. Boccanfuso. You make our job easy tonight and at this time I'm going to go to the board and we'll start with you Terry.

 $\,$ MR. ROSENTHAL: Just out of curiousity the parking spaces, I'm just curious as to why they can't be complied, not a big deal.

MS. MERTZ: You mean the nine by eighteen versus the ten by twenty?

MR. ROSENTHAL: If it's more spaces I don't know.

MS. MERTZ: It does, but it also really is a modern standard. It's used much more frequently these days in a ten by twenty and we're not going to have cars coming in and out very frequently that might be needing that extra space because of the influx and we're going to have cars coming in the morning, parking, and staying. I was there today and there was spaces available. It was very easy to park. There really just isn't a need for the wider spaces and we can fit the cars that we need in terms of the number of employees we expect to have on site.

MS. BEAHM: Plus it's less pavement.

48 MS. MERTZ: Yeah.

1	
2	MS. BEAHM: Like to have more, wider parking spaces
3	over a course of "x" amount of spots, you'd need more pavement
4	and I concur that nine by eighteen is a pretty good standard.
5	No usually like at a Home Depot or some kind of retail space
6	where you have cars going in and out, in and out, here you
7	don't have that issue and I would recommend the smaller space
8	as they provided which would be more than adequate for what
9	they need and also keep the amount of impervious coverage to
10	the minimum necessary.
11	
12	MR. GALVIN: The other thing too is predominantly the
13	parking spaces is going to be for employees and the standard
14	in the town is nine by eighteen.
15	
16	MS. BEAHM: Which is true.
17	
18	MR. GALVIN: For employee parking. We're just trying
19	to be as honest as possible. We don't know if there's going to
20 21	be a few people that are going to get there that are going to be outsiders but.
22	be outsiders but.
23	MS. MERTZ: Yeah like me this morning so.
24	no. mente. roun rine me ones morning so.
25	MR. ROSENTHAL: Understood thank you.
26	1
27	MR. LEVITON: Thanks Terry, Bob.
28	- '
29	MR. GREGOWICZ: I did have a few with the signs and
30	the parking, but they were answered over and over again so I
31	have no further questions.
32	
33	MR. GALVIN: Thank you.
34	
35	MR. LEVITON: Yeah while he's talking about the
36	signage, I'm just curious is there a right of way down there?
37	Is that why there's grass beyond his property?
38	
39	MS. BEAHM: Yes.
40	MD IFITTON: Davida
41 42	MR. LEVITON: David?
42	MR. SCHERTZ: The parking spaces are nine by eighteen
44	will they accommodate 4x4 pickup trucks?
	mill one, accommodate in promap cracks.

MS. MERTZ: I saw very large trucks parked in them

this morning so yes it'll accommodate.

47 48

45

46

1 MR. SCHERTZ: Okay. 2 3 MS. MERTZ: Yeah. 4 5 MR. SCHERTZ: Thank you. 6 7 MR. LEVITON: Thank you David, Josh? 8 9 MR. SHALIKAR: No questions Mr. Chairman. 10 MR. LEVITON: Dan? 11 12 13 MR. POCHOPIN: That was just a lot of information. I'm new to the committee so these guys up here ---14 15 16 MS. MERTZ: My apologies I also talk a little quickly 17 sorry. 18 19 MR. POCHOPIN: Due diligence these very thorough. I have no problem with that sign --- the size of it and all, the 20 21 parking spaces, etc. for clients coming in there and I guess with the less size of the parking spaces is it actually good 22 because you fixed the drainage problem which probably helped 23 out like you said the neighbors also although off. So that's 24 also a good thing and you're not going to operate after hours 25 26 so by trade I'm in the electrical field so if you did you'd probably would like I said you have a couple for just means of 27 people going from the cars maybe to one of the first buildings 28 for the public. Winter time it gets dark a little bit earlier, 29 something like that so just evaluate that as you go along. You 30 seem like you --- with all this a simple one more light 31 wouldn't matter, a flood light or something like that so, but 32 otherwise yeah I don't see any other issues there. Good job. 33 34 MR. LEVITON: Thank Dan. Their case was tidy and 35 behind the scenes over an extended period of time Mr. Van 36 Wart's professionals have worked closely with ours to ensure 37 that tonight went smoothly and so we thank everyone involved 38 for that. Mr. Wechsler? 39

40 41

42

43

MR. WECHSLER: No Mr. Chairman. It was a very thorough presentation, checked off all my answers and questions as we went along so I thank you very much for your time.

44 45 46

47 48 MR. LEVITON: Thank you sir. At this time I'm going to go out to the public and ask if there's anyone in attendance who wants to ask a question to Ms. Mertz or to Mr.

Ploskonka about the testimony that they've entered onto the record this evening or Mr. Galvin or if you'd like to address the board that would be appropriate at this time as well. Seeing none I'm going to close public and look to you Mr. Galvin for something summative.

MR. GALVIN: Well I had this really long dissertation, but I'm not going to give it to you. I do think you have an advantage in this case because you see the finished product. Not that you want a finished product when you're approving these things, but you know it's out there as opposed to all the other cases. They're going to tell you something then you got to hope it's going to come out the way they're telling you. We submit based on the proofs and everything supplied and subject to the condition that I will work with the municipal attorney to resolve the easement issue.

MR. LEVITONL: Thank you Mr. Galvin. Mr. Glass do you require anything further sir?

MR. GLASS: I do not. I would just echo the sentiments of a very thorough presentation. I think the legal proofs were covered in detail.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you sir. Then I'm going to go to the board and ask someone to make a motion.

MR. ROSENTHAL: I'll make a motion to approve.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ GLASS: I'll just put the conditions on the record. ---

MR. LEVITON: Outstanding.

MR. GLASS: So in addition to the variances and waivers with the use variance there were certain conditions of approval. The first being that the dumpsters would not be visible from the road regardless of location. The second being that the applicant agrees to enter into an easement with the township, the details to be worked out amongst the parties. Additionally there'd be a hold harmless agreement for the paving that was performed and to the extent that needs to be in there that the applicant will work with the township to address any necessary buffering in the fifty foot buffer.

```
MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Glass. Mr. Rosenthal has
1
   moved to approve the application. Will someone second the
    motion?
3
4
5
              MR. SHALIKAR: I'll second.
6
7
              MR. GREGOWICZ: I'll second.
8
              MR. LEVITON: Mr. Shalikar we thank you sir and we
9
    thank Mr. Gregowicz too.
10
11
    ROLL CALL
12
13
14
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
15
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
16
17
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal?
18
19
              MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes.
20
21
22
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?
23
24
              MR. SCHERTZ: Yes.
25
26
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?
27
28
              MR. SHALIKAR: Yes.
29
30
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin?
31
              MR. POCHOPIN: Yes.
32
33
34
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler?
35
              MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
36
37
              MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
38
39
40
              MR. LEVITON: Congratulations Mr. Van Ward, many
    years of success and health and happiness. It was very nice to
41
    meet you Ms. Mertz and Dennis what a pleasure to see you again
42
    sir.
43
44
              MR. GALVIN: Hopefully I'll see you again.
45
46
47
              MR. LEVITON: Okay. And Mr. Halari, hello. It was
```

nice to see you sir. Alright next up is 2124 Luso Builders,

48

LLC. Mr. Klauser on behalf of the applicant we call you sir and you're the court reporter? Welcome, you're welcome. Thank you so much Jennifer. Yeah you wrote a nice report you mind as well --- sure. Okay Mr. Klauser your client is proposing a two-story single family home. Have at it sir.

6 7

8

9

10

11

MR. KLAUSER: Thank you Mr. Chair, members of the board Peter Klauser with the firm Heilbrunn Pape on behalf of the applicant Luso Builders. I've been in front of this board a number of times, but it feels like forever. This is the first time I've been back live in front of this board in a long time so it's nice to be back here.

12 13 14

MR. LEVITON: It's nice to have you back.

15 16

MR. KLAUSER: Mr. Boccanfuso thank you for staying. I appreciate that.

17 18 19

MR. BOCCANFUSO: No problem Mr. Klauser. It's good to see you. It's been a long time.

202122

MR. KLAUSER: It has been a while.

23

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Not counting Zoom meetings.

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

3940

MR. KLAUSER: Right, yeah. I've had about enough of those. So yes Mr. Chair this is an application for a singlefamily home on Sweetmans Lane in between I think we're between Woodward and Smithburg and the lot is half as wide as it's supposed to be under the ordinance standards and you'll actually see from Lorali's testimony that there's a few houses that are out there like that. However, the applicant has proposed a home on a lot that meets the floor area ratio requirements so it fits within the type of house that is supposed to be out there, but because the lot is narrow we need variance relief for lot width and --- for lot frontage and then we also need side yard set back. Again we're squeezed in minimum improvable area and minimum improvable diameter. We were supposed to be here in August. I think we might have had a couple of board members that were unavailable. Somebody wasn't available and we pushed it to tonight.

41 42 43

MR. LEVITON: Yes it's been carried since the 18th.

44 45

46

47

48

MR. KLAUSER: Right exactly. We did notice for then. That notice was carried to this evening. The applicant sent letters out to the neighbors as you might be aware when you have an undersized lot we have an obligation to reach out to

the neighbors and say hi want to buy some of our property or 1 do you want to buy our property or can we buy some of your property. In this instance I don't think really the letters 3 made a lot of sense because we would've been taking from other people who were also undersized, but I did it. So the board has that as part of your record and that's important because I think Lorali is probably going to use the word hardship when she testifies as to the variance relief that's requested. So 8 if I could I'd like to have Ms. Totten sworn, place her 9 credentials on the record. Having Lorali this evening testify 10 as both a professional engineer and a professional planner. 11

12 13

MR. GLASS: Thank you ma'am. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to provide is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

15 16 17

14

MS. TOTTEN: I do.

18 19

MR. GLASS: And then please spell and state your name.

21 22

MS. TOTTEN: Lorali Totten T as in Tom, O-T-T-E-N, CREST Engineering Associates Millstone Township, New Jersey.

2425

23

MR. GLASS: And just some of your credentials.

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

MS. TOTTEN: Yes I graduated from Lehigh University more than forty-five years, 1977 with a Bachelor of Science and I studied and passed my professional engineering test in 1983 and my planner's license is from 1986. Both are in good standing in the state of New Jersey as well as I've worked with six different firms over the course of my career the last

33 34 35

MR. LEVITON: Ms. Totten, the board interrupts you and accepts your credentials because my daughter is a Lehigh alumnus and we're Mountainhawks.

373839

36

MS. TOTTEN: No we're engineers sorry.

40 41

MR. LEVITON: We're Mountainhawks now.

42 43

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}$. TOTTEN: I know, but when I went we were the engineers, we are we are.

44 45 46

47

MR. KLAUSER: Let's not fight with the chairman. Lorali if I could, if you could identify for the board the

property and then bring us to the site. Give us a little bit about the existing conditions and what we're proposing.

MS. TOTTEN: Yes can you hear me if I use my planning board voice over here?

MR. LEVITON: We can, but for posterity Ms. Moench is going to transcribe the proceedings and she can only do that from the recording through the microphone.

MS. TOTTEN: Okay thank you very much. So the site as

MR. LEVITON: Alrighty.

MS. TOTTEN: Is known as Lot 4.01 in Block 76.01 and it's located as noted on Sweetmans Lane between Woodward Road which shows in the upper corner of our exhibit, upper right corner and the other road Smithburg Road very small piece of it in the lower left hand corner of the exhibit. Do we need to enter this into evidence?

MR. LEVITON: Janice will mark it.

MR. KLAUSER: We didn't submit the aerial so that shall be A1.

MR. GLASS: Then will mark this as I guess Al then.

MS. TOTTEN: So the site is outlined in red on this particular exhibit. It is a 42,000 square foot lot that is a hundred feet wide and 424 feet long so it's a long narrow lot. It contains the correct amount of area because we're located in the R-40 zone which is a 40,000 square foot zone. It slopes gently from west to east across the property and based upon the width of the lot and the required set backs of thirty-five feet you have a thirty foot wide strip in the middle of the lot on which to construct the house that would conform with the ordinance. So now I'm going to switch to the second exhibit which is a copy of the variance sketch that was submitted. I've just colored it in and put a couple of dimensions on it. So I don't know if you want to.

MR. GLASS: Just to be safe we'll just mark it as A2 so it'll be the colored version of this.

MS. TOTTEN: Yes so as you can see from the larger scale of the lot it is obviously narrow and deep I'm sorry

narrow and deep. Dropped my notes here. I'm sorry there is a 1 2 dry sewer line in the road however we have conducted septic sutability testing because this lot is four lots outside the 3 sewer area and I spoke with W.M.U.A. today, there's no plans in the near future to activate this line. There's nothing near 5 by it's just a segment installed years ago. There is a water 6 7 line in the street. We show a well that was accidental. We do so much work in Millstone where you need both septic and well 8 9 so we apologize, but it will be on city water. The proposal is to construct a single family home with a driveway and we will 10 add as requested and required a turn around area in the front. 11 The septic system is proposed on the lot. We will seek 12 approval for that septic system. It is close to a drainage 13 easement and there are ways to work with that by putting an 14 15 impermeable barrier along the sides so we feel very confident we can obtain approval for a septic system on this lot. We 16 will also seek soil erosion, sediment control should you grant 17 approval for this. Now the meat of the issue here is as was 18 pointed out the lot is only half the width. That means that 19 20 under existing conditions we do not have the buildable area. 21 We don't have the 70 foot diameter circle so we're asking for waivers from both of those. Also because a thirty foot wide 22 home would not really be in, first of all, people don't want 23 to really live in a thirty foot wide home and secondly it 24 would be not in accordance with the standards or the 25 character, sorry, character of the neighborhood. We're 26 proposing a sixty foot wide home and the home is located, 27 would be located adjacent to the set back with a home that's 28 29 located to the left. The home to the right is on a much smaller property and is located somewhat in the middle of this 30 lot. So I just wanted to give you an idea of the types of 31 widths of homes and widths of properties in the neighborhood 32 so you can get a sense of why this home will be in character. 33 34 I'm going to move back to exhibit A and again the lot in question is highlighted in red. Now this is a very interesting 35 part of town. So the lot, the block I'm sorry on the left side 36 is Block 76.01. The block on the right side is 7601, very 37 interesting so. Anyway the house three houses to the left, 38 it's an area of .92. It has a width of 100 feet and the house 39 40 width is around 59 feet scaled from this aerial. The house two houses from the left, I'm sorry the lot is 135 feet and the 41 house is about 64 feet wide. The house directly next to the 42 proposed lot is 135 feet and the house is about 75 feet wide. 43 The house to the right which is a small lot; it's only it's 44 45 less than a half acre. The width is 93 feet and the house is 53 feet. The next lot to the left which is also a half acre, 46 under a half acre, lot that's 100 feet wide with a 66 foot 47 wide home and then the last lot in this immediate neighborhood 48

of the proposed development is another lot that's under a half 1 acre. Again about a hundred feet wide and the house is about sixty. My lot widths are taken from the tax map as well as the 3 tax assessor website where they give you all the information on lots plus I checked by scaling, but we didn't survey those. 5 So those are approximate numbers, but it gives you an idea 6 that the existing lot which meets the lot area is in character 7 with the neighboring lots in the immediate vicinity of this 8 particular lot and none of these lots, well at least not five 9 of them, do --- the houses that exist on those meet the side 10 yard set backs. The proposed lot where we're proposing to put 11 a sixty foot wide home which would mean we would request side 12 yard set backs of 20.1 on each side where thirty-five foot is 13 required fits in very nicely in the neighborhood. As you heard 14 15 me say we have one at fifty-three. We have three in the sixties, one at fifty-nine, and 175 or six foot wide home. So 16 it will be in character with the neighborhood. Let's see, so 17 that gives you an idea of the neighborhood. With regard to the 18 engineering aspects of this we will seek any approvals that 19 are required which includes subsurface disposal system, FSCD. 20 21 We will also agree to comply with all the comments and Mr. I hope I can say his name right, Boccanfuso and I think I just 22 have to say this I've spoken with Brian over the years many 23 times, I don't think we've ever met in person before. 24

25 26

MR. LEVITON: He's very handsome.

2728

MS. TOTTEN: Yes and probably half my age too right?

29 30

MR. LEVITON: I doubt it too.

31 32

33

34

35

MS. TOTTEN: So anyway we agreed to all of his comments that are in his letter which are mostly I believe housekeeping items. So now if it's alright with the board I could start planning testimony or if you have engineering questions.

363738

39 40

41

42

43

44

MR. KRAUSER: Well I just want to clarify, yes there are some technical comments in the plot plan review which we have no issue complying with as you've stated. There was a request that a sidewalk be installed along the front of the property. The applicant will do that. You did it, during your testimony that we would put the turn around in. The hammerhead can be installed there. You're comfortable. You have room to do that.

45 46

MS. TOTTEN: Absolutely.

MR. KRAUSER: And FSCDs so we can do FSCDs.

1 2 3

MS. TOTTEN: Yes.

4 5

6

MR. LEVITON: So before you continue Ms. Totten we thank Mr. Boccanfuso for those suggestions and we thank the applicant for compliance. You may continue ma'am.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15 MR. KRAUSER: You've identified now I would say this if I was bringing up a planner, you've identified facts with regard to the character of the neighborhood and also with regard to the layout of the existing site when it's to be built out should the board act favorably. So now as a planner using those facts if you could just take the board through the proofs that they need in order to be able to grant the variances.

16 17 18

19

2021

MS. TOTTEN: It is my opinion that this is a C1 bulk variance or these all are related. The side yard set backs, the minimum buildable area and the circle that's required on these plans, they're all related to the lot width so I'd like to speak about them all as one if that's acceptable.

2223

MR. LEVITON: Sure. You can take them all as one.

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

3334

35

36

37

38

3940

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MS. TOTTEN: Yes so I believe that this is a hardship C1 that's due to size, lot configuration, topography in this case to lot configuration. So we do meet one of the purposes of zoning which is G, we're providing appropriate and sufficient space in appropriate locations for a variety of agricultural, residential, recreational, and commercial, and industrial uses and open space both public and private according to their respective environmental requirements in order to meet the needs of all New Jerseyan citizens. So therefore this is a residential space that meets the lot size in a residential zone. The site is uniquely suited because the use is permitted and the house on this narrow lot is not out of character with the neighborhood as from my facts from before and there are other, several other lots that are also narrow in this area and some that don't have enough area either for the zone and this one does have enough area. Additionally the house meets the F.A.R. requirements. It's actually a little bit less which means that the house is not outsized for the lot itself. With regard to the negative criteria, the proposal will not have a substantial detriment to the public good for the following reasons. With regard to the impact on the neighborhood the use is permitted. The other lots in the vicinity are either narrow or undersized or both

right in the immediate neighborhood of this particular house proposal. The home is consistent with the other homes in the area and as I noted it meets the building coverage requirement as well. There's no substantial impairment to the intent and purpose of the zone plan and ordinance. This lot is also unique in that it's the last lot if you look again at exhibit A. It is the last lot in this immediate vicinity on this side of the road that is of this shape and to be developed. So once this one if you grant approval to develop the house, the property as we request all the lots on this side of the road will then be developed and so then the uniqueness is gone. Therefore it is my opinion that these deviations from the ordinance can be granted without substantial detriment to the zone and the zone plan.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Totten. Anything else?

MR. KRAUSER: Lorali said it all. There's no need to repeat it.

MR. LEVITON: She did put on the record proofs for C2 and C1.

MR. GLASS: I would agree with that and I guess just for clarification I think it was a fair representation that there are four variances they're straight up hardship, the approval of a lot diameter is what it is, the lot frontage, width, and improvable area. The side yard set back I think there was testimony that you could fit a home on there however the request is related to the fact that the lot is long and narrow, but as you said Chair there was testimony as to both the C1 and C2.

MR. LEVITON: Well they're asking for C1 variance. They've established the positive and negative --- to do so. Unlike the last application which was a use variance five affirmative votes were needed. Here all they need is a plurality. These are bulk variances and they fall under the C1 or C2 variance. They're asking for C1. Ms. Totten testified about the uniqueness of the property. It's shallow and that is a hardship under the municipal land use law. Brian do you take any exception to anything she testified regarding engineering?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I don't Mr. Chairman. Just for the board's information I know that our report, both of our reports, including our most recent was typed up as a disapproval. However I think Mr. Klauser characterized it r maybe it was Ms. Totten I'm not sure, one of them

PAGE 37

characterized it well by saying it's largely housekeeping items. Nothing that I think would substantially change the proposal. They've agreed to comply with all the outstanding comments. Further, I point out that this is a challenging lot both geometrically and topographically. So the fact that they were able to come in with an application that for all intents and purposes meets the flexible standards, but for the side set back is a testament to the effort that they put in with the design. The one question I would ask is the 20.1 foot set back that's proposed is very precise so I assume and correct me if I'm wrong that the architectural plans have been finalized amd you know exactly what it is that you're going to need at this point is that correct?

MS. TOTTEN: That is correct, yes.

 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Okay I mean typically an applicant would come in with twenty feet or plus or minus twenty feet so that they have a little bit of wiggle room. In this case they've got it down to the inch. Certainly no issue with that, it just doesn't allow them any flexibility in the design. The last thing I would offer I don't know that it really has any bearing on the case, but just for the board's information, I have worked with this builder before. They built several at least several houses in town and I found that the finished product is very nice. They build very nice homes so I'm confident that if the board does approve the application and the home gets built that it will be a nice addition to the township and the neighborhood.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Brian.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Sure.

MR. LEVITON: And in particular thank you for the suggestion for the turn around. Sweetmans Lane is a well-traveled road and you head towards Smithburg Road and Millstone on the other side it would be difficult for a homeowner to back out. So I like that in particular and let's go out to the board. We'll start with Terry, any questions?

MR. ROSENTHAL: No I'm good.

MR. LEVITON: Bob?

46 MR. GREGOWICZ: I did have the driveway, but you took 47 it.

47 48

conditions.

PAGE 38

MR. LEVITON: I didn't. I didn't take it. 1 2 MR. GREGOWICZ: Because I was looking at it and that 3 was a good thing when I read the report about the turn around 4 because backing out it's a busy road, but it's also a fast 5 6 road. 7 MR. LEVITON: Yeah. 8 9 MR. GREGOWICZ: About 50 miles an hour average. It's 10 --- about thirty-five but --- they're flying on that road. 11 12 13 MR. LEVITON: Mr. Gregowicz is a retired police 14 officer. 15 MR. GREGOWICZ: So that's what I was a little bit 16 concerned about, but then you clarified it all so no further 17 questions. 18 19 20 MR. LEVITON: David? Thanks Bob. 21 22 MR. SCHERTZ: Was there a house previously on this 23 property? 24 MR. KLAUSER: Not that I'm aware of and there's 25 26 nothing out there now. 27 28 MR. SCHERTZ: I know there's nothing out there now, 29 but I have something in the back of my mind that there were houses on those lots twenty years ago. 30 31 MS. TOTTEN: There is an existing driveway on the 32 33 property. 34 MR. SCHERTZ: I see that. 35 36 37 MS. TOTTEN: But we did not investigate that. 38 39 MR. SCHERTZ: My concern is that there's a septic 40 system in there somewhere that nobody knows about. 41 42 MS. TOTTEN: When we submit for, should you approve this of course, submit for an approval on the septic system. 43 If there is one they'll probably have records of it unless 44 45 it's really, really old.

MR. SCHERTZ: Okay I'd like to be included in the

1 2 MR. LEVITON: What David that they check ---3 4 MR. SCHERTZ: They check. I'm sure there's got to be 5 a septic system somewhere. 6 7 MR. LEVITON: Ms. Totten you testified that there's 8 going to be public water. 9 10 MS. TOTTEN: Correct. 11 12 MR. LEVITON: And a septic system, correct? 13 MS. TOTTEN: Correct. 14 15 16 MR. LEVITON: So they need to locate is that on the plan? The septic system is that on the plan? 17 18 19 MS. TOTTEN: Yes it is. 20 21 MR. LEVITON: And what is the likelihood that they're 22 going to find if they look for an old septic well? 23 MS. TOTTEN: Well they might encounter it when they 24 are digging for the foundation or digging for the septic. We 25 did not encounter anything when we conducted the test pits on 26 either side of the septic which are done ten feet deep and 27 they're done with the back hose so they're like twenty feet 28 29 long and five feet wide. 30 MR. LEVITON: Did you know that? 31 32 33 MR. SCHERTZ: Depth? 34 MR. LEVITON: No that they all have already tested. 35 They tested with a back hose going down ten feet at certain 36 37 points around where they're intending to put the new septic system. He didn't know that. 38 39 40 MR. SCHERTZ: I didn't know that. 41 42 MR. KLAUSER: No I didn't know that. 43 44 MR. LEVITON: But you know that now. 45 46 MR. SCHERTZ: Now I know it.

PAGE 40

1 MR. LEVITON: That was helpful. Do you still have 2 concerns?

MR. SCHERTZ: No.

MR. LEVITON: His concerns are gone.

 MR. BOCCANFUSO: Mr. Chairman if the applicant, say the application were approved and they were to go out there and start digging the foundation and they found a septic system or worse an underground storage tank. I think that would be a worse situation. They would need to remove it, abandon it, remediate it in accordance with any applicable standards anyway. There's nothing that the board could approve or disapprove that would get them off the hook from that. They would have to do that.

MR. LEVITON: Under whose offices would that fall?

MR. BOCCANFUSO: I think the health department.

MR. LEVITON: Of course now that you said. She's so good. She jogs my memory. She does everything for me.

MR. BOCCANFUSO: Ms. Totten any input on that on who would be the agency that would kind of oversee and ensure that that remediation, abandonment as the case may be would be done?

MS. TOTTEN: I believe that would be correct. It would probably during inspections it would be seen by the inspecting people and the builder would have to report it and then get a permit. I'm not sure whether a small tank you need a permit or not, but has to be reported and then abandoned properly, both an underground storage tank as well as a septic system. It's really old. It's probably a cess pool which isn't very big either.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Totten. Any further concerns about that David? It was a good one.

MR. SCHERTZ: As long as it's in the conditions ---

MR. LEVITON: We don't need to put it in the condition because Brian said no matter what we did we can't, they're going to be compelled to address it if it's found.

MR. GLASS: And there also is language in the resolutions that they're subject to outside agency approvals so whether that's the health department, the D.E.P., or whatever that agency is they would have to comply with those rules and regulations.

1 2

MR. SCHERTZ: Okay.

MR. LEVITON: Joshua? Thank you David.

MR. SHALIKAR: Mr. Chairman no questions, no concerns. Thank you for your testimony.

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Pochopin.

MR. POCHOPIN: They were answered by Brian with the -- and this gentleman here Mr. Schertz with the tanks perhaps being discovered, but they're all answered so no questions for me.

MR. LEVITON: Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: No concerns, no questions Mr. Chairman.

MR. KLAUSER: I'm sorry Mr. Chair with regard to those concerens I share with Brian that I think it's state law that kind of covers those decommissions, the things that you have to do, but I would have no issue if the board feels comfortable to put in the resolution that should an old septic tank be discovered on the property that it has to be decommissioned properly. I don't see why you can't put that in your resolution.

MR. LEVITON: Sure.

MR. KLAUSER: Make sure that you did what you thought was right.

 MR. LEVITON: Mr. Glass takes copious notes. He's all over that and Mr. Schertz is going to sleep well tonight and at this time I'm going to go out to the public and ask if there's anyone here who wants to cross examine Ms. Totten or address the board or ask Mr. Klauser a question now would be the time. Seeing none I'll close public. Oh I'm sorry sir I didn't see you.

MR. FAUZA: I'm a neighbor of ---

1	
2	MR. LEVITON: Please step forward before you address
3	us. You're going to need to be sworn in. Your testimony is
4	very welcome.
5	
6	MS. MOENCH: You can sit.
7	
8	MR. LEVITON: I'm just blind I missed you.
9	
10	MS. MOENCH: Do you want to sit here?
11	
12	MR. LEVITON: So Mr. Glass will swear you in. He's
13	going to ask you your name and your address and then we'll go
14	to you and see what your thoughts are.
15	
16	MR. GLASS: Please raise your right hand sir. Just
17	raise your right hand please. Do you swear or affirm that the
18	testimony you're about to provide is the truth, the whole
19	truth and nothing but the truth?
20	
21	MR. FAUZA: Yes I do.
22	
23	MR. GLASS: And please spell and state your name for
24	the record and your address.
25	
26	MR. FAUZA: It's Mauro Fauza M-A-U.
27	
28	MS. MOENCH: Say your first name again.
29	
30	MR. FAUZA: Mauro, M-A-U-R-O, last name Fauza F-A-U-
31	Z-A. I live at 154 Sweetmans Lane and that's where that
32	property there.
33	
34	MR. LEVITON: So Mr. Fouza your property is adjacent
35	to the proposed home to be built.
36	
37	MR. FAUZA: That's correct 154 yeah.
38	
39	MR. LEVITON: So if you're standing on Sweetmans Lane
40	and we're looking at the proposed home yours is to the left?
41	
42	MR. GLASS: We're looking at Al again.
43	
44	MR. FAUZA: Yes.
45	
46	MR. LEVITON: Yes Mr. Fauza is referencing exhibit
47	A1.

PAGE 43

1 MR. FAUZA: Yeah to the right ---. 2 3 MR. LEVITON: His home is to the right of the 4 proposed home to be built. Okay Mr. Fauza. 5 6 MR. FAUZA: So okay my concern is how this house is 7 going to fit properly there. I know it. I saw your testimony here, but it seems to me that it's going to be too narrow 8 house. We tried to have the neighborhood in the same ways that 9 we, how can I explain that, the same level of sizes and the 10 level of --- So my concern is this going to be with this 11 variances is it going to be a little house, one more little 12 house. There along our house so you're going to be in the 13 front also right? 14 15 16 MR. KLAUSER: Are you concerned, may I Mr. Chair? 17 18 MR. LEVITON: Yes you may. 19 20 MR. KLAUSER: Sorry about that. Are you concerned 21 that this house will be too small for the area? 22 MR. FAUZA: Yeah too small and too close to my house 23 24 actually right. 25 26 MR. KLAUSER: Doing some math that Lorali had done I 27 believe your lot is 93 feet wide. 28 29 MR. FAUZA: Right. 30 MR. KLAUSER: And your house is 53 feet wide. 31 32 33 MR. FAUZA: Yeah. 34 MR. KLAUSER: Which leaves you with forty feet which 35 means depending on how your house is situated you have about 36 twenty feet on either side of your house on your lot. So 37 you're actually in a very similar situation to the ---38 39 40 MR. FAUZA: To the other side. 41 42 MR. KLAUSER: We have about twenty feet on either side. 43 44 45 MS. MOENCH: Wait, wait wait I'm not on ---46 47 MR. KLAUSER: Thank you Janice. So this is Fauzer?

PAGE 44

MR. FAUZA: Yeah Fauza. 1 2 MR. KLAUSER: My last name is Klauser. So this is you 3 4 here. 5 6 MR. FAUZA: Yeah. 7 8 MR. KLAUSER: Okay and this is where the home is 9 proposed. 10 MR. FAUZA: Right. 11 12 13 MR. KLAUSER: This is your real property line. 14 MR. FAUZA: Yeah and then. 15 16 MR. KLAUSER: I think, okay. So if you look here 17 right that would be your rear property line here. 18 19 MR. FAUZA: Yeah. 20 21 22 MR. KLAUSER: Ball parking. Our house is going to be somewhere in this area. So we won't be directly next to you. 23 24 MR. FAUZA: There's another house here right? 25 26 MR. KLAUSER: There's a little house. This is crazy. 27 They have two houses on one property. 28 29 MR. FAUZA: Yeah but they use just that one I think 30 probably, the one that's still there. 31 32 MR. KLAUSER: So we won't be shoulder to shoulder 33 34 with you. 35 36 MR. FAUZA: Oh okay. 37 MR. KLAUSER: We'll be back a little bit. So in 38 addition to having the same kind of set back it looks like 39 40 you're a little more toward us, but in addition to having the same kind of set back. 41 42 43 MR. FAUZA: Right. 44 45 MR. KLAUSER: We'll be a little further back. So there'll be a little more. 46

MR. FAUZA: Okay.

1	
2	MR. KLAUSER: Breathing room.
3	
4	MR. FAUZA: Yeah alright I just want to make sure.
5	
6	MR. KLAUSER: We're not going to build right here
7	right next to you. If that's your concern we'll be back a
8	little bit and we will be twenty feet off.
9	
10	MR. FAUZA: Yeah okay.
11	
12	MR. KLAUSER: And our traffic movement is on this
13	side too. The driveway is over here.
14	
15	MR. FAUZA: Over there.
16	
17	MR. KLAUSER: So it's not over. This will kind of be
18	open because our septic system will be there.
19	MD ENITH. Week because this I think was a little
20 21	MR. FAUZA: Yeah because this I think was a little bit because I bought this house two or three years ago and I
22	think it was the same owner on both of them.
23	chilik it was the same owner on both of them.
24	MR. KLAUSER: Yeah you know it's interesting if you
25	look here.
26	TOOK HELE.
27	MR. FAUZA: Yeah he kept that yeah there was
28	
29	MR. KLAUSER: And that's what is on here to be
30	removed. That'll be gone.
31	
32	MR. FAUZA: Yeah okay alright, okay.
33	
34	MR. KLAUSER: Got it?
35	
36	MR. FAUZA: Alright.
37	
38	MR. KLAUSER: Thank you.
39	
40	MR. LEVITON: Thank you for your concerns Mr. Fauza.
41	MC MOENCH. I cot
42	MS. MOENCH: I got you.
43 44	MD KINIGED. Thanks for allowing that Mr. Chair
44 45	MR. KLAUSER: Thanks for allowing that Mr. Chair.
43 46	MR. LEVITON: Yeah Ms. Totten is the proposed home
47	consistent in feeling with the neighbor's home?
48	consession in recrising wrong one nergineer to nome.

```
MS. TOTTEN: Yes actually that was a question I had
1
   for Mr. Santo tonight. I said you're not building one of those
    great, big huge ones that look really tall. This is about a
    3,400 square foot home and two stories with a garage that's a
   front-facing garage so it will look like the others in the
5
6
    area.
7
8
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Totten. Is there anyone
    else who wants to address the board before I close public?
9
   Okay seeing no one I'm going to close public at this time and
10
   Mr. Glass do you require anything further?
11
12
              MR. GLASS: I do not. I just to the extent it needs
13
   to be said if the applicant has agreed if the board looks
14
    favorably on the application to a condition of approval that
15
   to the extent there's an old septic or underground tank
16
    located it would be decommissioned and remediated.
17
18
19
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you counselor. Having said that,
20
    I'll look to you gentlemen and ask for someone to make a
21
   motion.
22
23
              MR. SHALIKAR: I'll make a motion to approve.
24
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Shalikar. Will someone
25
26
   second that?
27
              MR. WECHSLER: I'll second.
28
29
30
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Wechsler and thank you
   Mr. Gregowicz.
31
32
   ROLL CALL
33
34
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
35
36
37
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
38
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal?
39
40
              MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes.
41
42
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?
43
44
45
              MR. SCHERTZ: Yes.
46
47
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?
```

48

map.

PAGE 47

MR. SHALIKAR: Yes. 1 2 3 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Pochopin? 4 5 MR. POCHOPIN: Yes. 6 7 MS. MOENCH: Mr. Wechsler? 8 9 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 10 MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton? 11 12 13 MR. LEVITON: Yes. 14 15 MR. KLAUSER: Thank you very much. 16 MR. LEVITON: Congratulations Mr. Klauser. 17 18 19 MR. KLAUSER: Have a lovely evening. 20 21 MR. ROSENTHAL: You as well. 22 MR. LEVITON: Go Mountainhawks. Board members I want 23 to call to your attention the fact that Janice has shared with 24 us on Google Drive a folder that has tools, very helpful for 25 new members. New members you're going to have I'm pretty sure 26 you know you're going to have to take a test. Did you know 27 that? You're going to have to take a class to be a board 28 29 member. I think you have a year. Janice is that right? They have a year? 30 31 32 MR. GLASS: Yeah. 33 34 MR. LEVITON: Janice how long do they have to take the test, new board members? 35 36 37 MS. MOENCH: --- almost like a year. 38 39 MR. LEVITON: Yeah you have a year and Janice will 40 help you. 41 MS. MOENCH: Sometime within the first year I 42 believe, but Nancy prefers that you get your feet wet a little 43 here, spend some time on the board. They'll take the class and 44 45 be like We want it to be more familiar to you and understand

why we're here and properly --- applications that --- on the

```
MR. LEVITON: Yeah it's great advice. Also Janice you
1
2
    now have heard I gave them the heads up about the folder that
    you shared with us that has the tools inside of it and I don't
3
    know, oh yeah, it's got all kinds of great things like ---
5
              MR. ROSENTHAL: You mean the answers?
6
7
              MR. LEVITON: Not the answers to the test that
8
    they're going to have to take, but I forget. It may have the
9
    M.L.U.L.. It may have like a cheat-cheat on what the variances
10
    are and what proofs they require. What do you call that folder
11
    in case somebody wants to search it? I'll do it now if you
12
    remember. I can't find it. I'm looking for it. I have no idea.
13
14
15
              MS. MOENCH: Open it ---
16
              MR. LEVITON: I can't because I don't know. I don't
17
    know how to find it.
18
19
20
              MS. MOENCH: Open your Google Drive.
21
22
              MR. LEVITON: My drive is open.
23
              MR. SCHERTZ: Go to the folder.
24
25
26
              MS. MOENCH: Which says zoning zoning?
27
28
              MR. WECHSLER: Those are my folders. You need to
29
    search in here.
30
31
              MS. MOENCH: I'll share it again.
32
33
              MR. WECHSLER: Okay.
34
              MS. MOENCH: How about that?
35
36
37
              MR. WECHSLER: Alright.
38
              MS. MOENCH: I don't remember what it's called
39
40
    either.
41
              MR. LEVITON: Okay so she'll share it. So what you
42
    want to do I you want to go to your Google account. Michael,
43
    Danny do you gentlemen have familiarity with Google and the
44
45
    way it works?
46
47
              MR. POCHOPIN: A little bit yeah.
```

```
MR. LEVITON: So you understand the nine dots and if
1
2
    you click on them you can get to your drive? Okay so when you
    sign into your Google account and I know you made one Dan so I
3
    thank you for that there are nine little dots in the upper
    right hand corner next to your name and when you click on that
5
    it'll provide you access to other things that Google offers
6
    like Google Photos, Gmail, Maps, and the most important thing
7
    for you is Drive, Google Drive because Google Drive is really
8
   it's storage for you in the cloud. You can make, it provides
9
    to you all kinds of tools like Microsoft products. They have
10
    like Microsoft Word. They have a Word document like Microsoft,
11
   Excel they have Sheets, Powerpoint they have something
12
    comparable. They are tons of tools and when Janice shares the
13
    folder you get an e-mail link. It opens up in your Drive and
14
15
    when you're in your Drive if you'll just go to the top she'll
   be sharing a new folder with you tons of tools, real important
16
    for you guys to familiarize with you. If you have nothing to
17
    do just open it up and look through it. It'll be helpful for
18
    when you take the course and when you need to pass the test.
19
    Josh, have you done that passed your test?
20
21
22
              MR. SHALIKAR: I have not, no.
23
24
              MR. LEVITON: How about the course?
25
26
              MR. SHALIKAR: No I haven't. I actually had no idea
27
    about it.
28
29
              MR. LEVITON: Oh my God.
30
31
              MR. SHALIKAR: I'm just looking it up, yeah.
32
33
              MR. LEVITON: You don't have to be embarrassed.
34
    That's my fault there.
35
36
              MR. SHALIKAR: It's okay.
37
38
              MR. LEVITON: I'm a horrible chairman.
39
40
              MR. SCHERTZ: Usually on a Saturday.
41
42
              MR. SHALIKAR: Perfect --- I have to look it up. I'll
    just talk to Jen. Yeah we'll all do it together. We'll all
43
44
    carpool.
45
46
              MR. LEVITON: Alright will someone move to adjourn?
47
48
```

MR. SHALIKAR: I move to adjourn.

PAGE 50